

# Schwarzschild solution and Mach's principle

Sandro S. Costa  
 Instituto de Física Teórica – IFT  
 Universidade Estadual Paulista – UNESP  
 Rua Pamplona, 145 – Bela Vista  
 01405-900 – São Paulo – SP  
 Brazil

November 3, 2018

## Abstract

The purpose of this work is to show a possible reconciliation between Mach's principle and the Schwarzschild solution, using a description of this solution in terms of a new radial coordinate related to the behaviour of Unruh detectors.

## 1 Introduction

When beginning the study of General Relativity (see, for example, [1]) a student usually finds in his way two basic concepts:

- the Mach's principle, that can be stated as [1] “**the matter distribution determines the geometry; if there is no matter there is no geometry – a body in an otherwise empty universe should possess no inertial properties**”;
- the standard form of the Schwarzschild solution,

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 - \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $d\Omega^2 \equiv \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 + d\theta^2$ .

However, these concepts seem to be disconnected. It is not clear if the Mach's principle is obeyed or not in the Schwarzschild solution; even the Minkowski solution seems to ignore the idea of 'no matter, no geometry', since its expression for the interval contains a spatial part completely independent of matter.

The purpose of this work is, then, to obtain some kind of reconciliation between Mach's principle and the classical solutions of General Relativity (Kerr, Schwarzschild and Minkowski), using a description of this solutions in terms of a new radial coordinate  $\omega$ , which, in the case of the Kerr metric, is defined in the simple relation

$$r = 2 \cosh \frac{\omega}{2} (m \cosh \frac{\omega}{2} + a \sinh \frac{\omega}{2}) . \quad (2)$$

For the special case of Schwarzschild solution this relation is simplified,

$$r = 2m \cosh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} , \quad (3)$$

giving an interval with the spatial part of the metric directly related to the existence of the mass:

$$ds^2 = \tanh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} dt^2 - 4m^2 \cosh^4 \frac{\omega}{2} [d\omega^2 + d\Omega^2] . \quad (4)$$

In this case one possible physical interpretation of this coordinate, as showed here, suggests that Unruh detectors – theoretical devices used in the context of Quantum Field Theory – can play a crucial role in the comprehension of the geometry of spacetime.

The work is organized as follows: the next section, '**Mass and space**', shows how to obtain the idea of 'a space generated by mass' from an expression of the Kerr interval and its correspondences with Minkowski and Schwarzschild metrics. The section '**Unruh detectors**' simply presents the rate of excitation of an Unruh detector placed in the Schwarzschild space and converts it to the new set of coordinates; it is in this conversion that one can suggest an interpretation for the coordinates linked with the behaviour of the detector. The last section, '**Conclusions**', presents some comments and ideas, discussing the connection between this 'new' representation of the Schwarzschild metric and the Mach's principle.

Through all this work natural units –  $\hbar = c = k = G = 1$  – are used; the metric signature is  $(+ - - -)$ .

## 2 Mass and space

### 2.1 Horizon functions and Kerr metric

One of the most generic solutions of General Relativity is the Kerr solution, which has two parameters,  $a$  and  $m$ , related respectively with the rotation and mass of a black hole; this solution can be written as [1]

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} [dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\varphi]^2 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} [(r^2 + a^2) d\varphi - a dt]^2 - \rho^2 \left[ \frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2 \right]$$

where

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$$

and the ‘horizon function’ [2],  $\Delta$ , is

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2mr + a^2 \quad . \quad (5)$$

Using the coordinate transformation given by equation (2) one has

$$\Delta = (m \sinh \omega + a \cosh \omega)^2$$

and thus the expression for the interval becomes

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - [a^2 ds_a^2 + m^2 ds_m^2 + ds_{am}^2] \quad (6)$$

where

$$ds_a^2 = (\cosh^2 \omega - \sin^2 \theta) (d\omega^2 + d\theta^2) + \cosh^2 \omega \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \quad (7)$$

$$ds_m^2 = 4 \cosh^4 \frac{\omega}{2} [d\omega^2 + d\Omega^2] \quad (8)$$

$$ds_{am}^2 = 4m \cosh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} \left[ a \sinh \omega (d\omega^2 + d\Omega^2) + \frac{(dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\varphi)^2 (a \tanh \frac{\omega}{2} + m)}{4 \cosh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} (m \cosh \frac{\omega}{2} + a \sinh \frac{\omega}{2})^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta} \right] \quad (9)$$

and

$$d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \quad .$$

The connection between this solution and Minkowski and Schwarzschild metrics is simple: when only  $a = 0$ , one shall obtain Schwarzschild solution; when both  $a = 0$  and  $m = 0$  one shall obtain Minkowski solution. These correspondences will be seen next.

## 2.2 Minkowski metric

When  $m = 0$  expression (6) becomes

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2 \left[ (\sinh^2 \omega + \cos^2 \theta) (d\omega^2 + d\theta^2) - \cosh^2 \omega \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right]. \quad (10)$$

This expression is easily obtained from the standard Minkowski metric: the Minkowski metric is, in spherical coordinates,

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - \left[ dr^2 + r^2 (\sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 + d\theta^2) \right]. \quad (11)$$

This expression for the interval of Minkowski space can be seen as a particular case of an oblate ellipsoidal metric [3], which contains an asymmetry in the plane  $xy$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ y &= (r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ z &= r \cos \theta \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

*i.e.*,

$$ds^2 = \left[ dt - \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta d\varphi \right] \left[ dt - \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta d\varphi \right] - (r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2 \right] \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Delta \equiv r^2 + a^2 \quad . \quad (14)$$

In this case the surfaces with constant  $r$  are oblate ellipsoids of rotation, obeying the equation

$$\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\Delta} + \frac{z^2}{r^2} = 1 \quad (15)$$

It is easy to see that if one chooses a change of coordinates such that

$$\Delta = a^2 \cosh^2 \omega \quad (16)$$

one can rewrite the metric as in expression (10); in this expression it is clear that when the parameter  $a$ , responsible for the perturbation in the plane  $xy$ , vanishes the metric becomes dependent only on the time coordinate. This is a result which follows the idea that the space is generated by perturbations in the vacuum; almost the same procedure can be used to obtain the solution for a perturbation of the vacuum by a spherical distribution of mass.

### 2.3 Schwarzschild metric: external solution

When  $a = 0$  expression (6) becomes

$$ds^2 = \tanh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} dt^2 - 4m^2 \cosh^4 \frac{\omega}{2} [d\omega^2 + d\Omega^2].$$

The connection between this one and the standard form of Schwarzschild solution is also easy: the standard Schwarzschild metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{r^2} \left[ dt - \frac{r^2 \sin \theta d\varphi}{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \left[ dt + \frac{r^2 \sin \theta d\varphi}{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] - r^2 \left[ d\theta^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\Delta} \right] \quad (17)$$

where we use a new definition for the function  $\Delta$ , containing only a term linearly proportional to the radius,

$$\Delta \equiv r^2 - 2mr \quad (18)$$

One can choose now the coordinate transformation

$$\Delta = m^2 \sinh^2 \omega \quad (19)$$

– which, in turn, implies in the expression (3)

$$r = 2m \cosh^2 \frac{\omega}{2}$$

– obtaining

$$ds^2 = \tanh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} dt^2 - 4m^2 \cosh^4 \frac{\omega}{2} [d\omega^2 + d\Omega^2]$$

where it was used that  $\sinh^2 \omega = 4 \cosh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} \sinh^2 \frac{\omega}{2}$ , and  $d\Omega^2 \equiv \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 + d\theta^2$ .

This expression is the solution presented in the introduction as equation (4); it shall be noticed that this solution is valid only for  $r \geq 2m$ , and it presents only one apparent singularity: when  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ , the spatial part of the metric ‘explodes’; but this is a problem of the coordinates, since the curvature scalar is everywhere null, including the points where  $r = 2m$ .

## 2.4 Schwarzschild metric: internal solution

In order to extend the range of the solution given in expression (4) one can extend the domain of the angular coordinate  $\omega$  into the set of complex numbers:  $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\Sigma$ . Then, the adequate coordinate transformation turns out to be

$$r = 2m \left| \cosh \left( \frac{\omega + i\Sigma}{2} \right) \right|^2 = 2m \left[ \left( \cosh \frac{\omega}{2} \cos \frac{\Sigma}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \sinh \frac{\omega}{2} \sin \frac{\Sigma}{2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (20)$$

In this case the range of the coordinates is such that outside the surface  $r = 2m$ , *i.e.*, for  $r \geq 2m$ ,  $\Sigma = 0$  and  $0 \leq \omega < \infty$ ; and for  $r \leq 2m$ ,  $\omega = 0$  and  $0 \leq \Sigma \leq 2\pi$ .

Though this use of a system with complex coordinates can be seen as an artificial procedure, it has a simple 3-dimensional analogue: a system constituted by a 3-dimensional hemisphere ‘glued’ by its circular border in a plane that has a circle removed; 2-dimensional beings walking in this surface can use a system of coordinates that describes their distances from the center of the hemisphere – that always changes for paths *in the plane* with a radial component – and another system of coordinates to describe the movements inside the hemisphere, where the distance from the center of the hemisphere is always constant. Therefore, assuming equation (20) one can write in one expression the solution for the two regions of the space,

$$ds^2 = \frac{\sinh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\Sigma}{2}}{\cosh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\Sigma}{2}} dt^2 - 4m^2 \left| \cosh \left( \frac{\omega + i\Sigma}{2} \right) \right|^4 \times \left\{ \frac{\left( \sinh \frac{\omega}{2} \cosh \frac{\omega}{2} d\omega - \sin \frac{\Sigma}{2} \cos \frac{\Sigma}{2} d\Sigma \right)^2}{\left( \cosh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\Sigma}{2} \right) \left( \sinh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\Sigma}{2} \right)} + d\Omega^2 \right\} \quad (21)$$

always observing the right domain of the coordinates: the space, though embedded in a 5-dimensional frame, is *everywhere* 4-dimensional.

### 3 Unruh detectors

An Unruh detector is a two-level device that can be characterized by its energy gap  $E$ ; this detector, when immerse in a bath of particles, acts as a filter, counting only the particles that possess the exact energy to fit in its gap. Such detector, when in rest in a bath of scalar particles of temperature  $T$ , gives a response proportional to the Planckian distribution,

$$n = \frac{1}{e^{E/T} - 1} \quad , \quad (22)$$

as one should expect. However, in Minkowski vacuum, an Unruh detector with constant acceleration  $a$  also sees a Planckian distribution of particles, corresponding to a thermal bath with temperature  $\frac{a}{2\pi}$ ; this strange – and quite unexpected – behaviour of the detector can be explained by the idea that the particles seen by the detector are not ‘real’: they are particles created by the energy supplied to accelerate the detector<sup>1</sup>.

In the Schwarzschild vacuum it can be shown – see [4] or [5] – that an Unruh detector, fixed in a point around the central massive body, also gives a response proportional to a Planckian distribution of particles of temperature

$$T = \left[ 64\pi^2 M^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} . \quad (23)$$

Notice that this temperature never goes to zero, even when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . In terms of the angular coordinate  $\omega$  this can be written as

$$T = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \coth \frac{\omega}{2} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{4M} \quad (25)$$

is the superficial gravity associated with a black hole.

---

<sup>1</sup>For a full explanation about Unruh detectors see, for example, [4].

From this last result, equation (24), one can see an easy interpretation for the radial coordinate  $\omega$ : it is a coordinate analogous to the angle  $\theta$ , defined as *rapidity* in the context of Special Relativity, since<sup>2</sup>

$$\beta_{(relativity)} \equiv \frac{v}{c} \equiv \tanh \theta$$

and, in this case,

$$\beta_{(thermodynamics)} \equiv \frac{1}{kT} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} \tanh \frac{\omega}{2} .$$

Thus, the coordinate  $\omega$  rescales the Schwarzschild metric in function of the temperature of the black hole.

## 4 Conclusions

The proposal of this work was to show an ‘alternative’ interpretation of the Schwarzschild solution, beginning by a description of this solution in terms of a set of coordinates that contains an ‘angular radial’ coordinate  $\omega$ ; the physical meaning of such coordinate can be seen in the study of the behaviour of an Unruh detector placed in a fixed point around the spherical mass distribution, associated with a damping of the energies of the scalar particles ‘seen’ by the detector (since  $|E \tanh \frac{\omega}{2}| \leq E$ ).

Following this description one can suggest that mass generates the space, in a sense that in vacuum there must be no other distinguishable direction than the direction of time; to put it in another – very heterodox – way, one can suggest that space is a consequence of the presence of a mass (*i.e.*, is the vacuum a physical expression of the gravitational field or simply the scenery where gravity plays?).

Surely, this is not the only interpretation of the expression (4)

$$ds^2 = \tanh^2 \frac{\omega}{2} dt^2 - 4m^2 \cosh^4 \frac{\omega}{2} [d\omega^2 + d\Omega^2]$$

for the interval of Schwarzschild space: one can say that all that was done was to use mass as a simple geometric parameter, exactly as it is done in the

---

<sup>2</sup>Here the constants  $c$  and  $k$  appear just to remind the usual definitions of  $\beta$ , both in Special Relativity and Thermodynamics.



obtention of the isotropic form of the Schwarzschild solution<sup>3</sup>,

$$ds^2 = \left[ \frac{1 - \frac{m}{2\rho}}{1 + \frac{m}{2\rho}} \right]^2 dt^2 - \left( 1 + \frac{m}{2\rho} \right)^4 \left[ d\rho^2 + \rho^2 (\sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 + d\theta^2) \right]$$

in order to obtain a solution that reminds the Euclidean 3-space for hiper-surfaces of constant time.

The approach here presented, however, even if results in a expression for the interval similar to the isotropic form, tries priority to emphasize the importance of the time coordinate in *any* space-time that is empty, what recalls the Mach's principle: *there is no meaning in the idea of absolute motion*; the expression (4) just states the importance of the proper time – and *it is the proper time that is essential in the understanding of the behaviour of an Unruh detector*.

Another observation to be done is that the apparent explosion of the spatial part of the metric present in this expression when  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$  can be avoided only if one makes  $m \rightarrow 0$ ; this can be seen as expressing the idea that the influence of mass is infinite in distance, and thus no one can go to an infinite ‘angular distance’ from it. Again this is a restatement of the Mach principle.

To finish, it is worth to note that the expression (10) for the vacuum interval with anisotropy

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2 \left[ (\sinh^2 \omega + \cos^2 \theta) (d\omega^2 + d\theta^2) - \cosh^2 \omega \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right]$$

can also be seen as a representation of the Mach's principle, if one associates a rotation with the parameter  $a$ ; this rotation – a rotation of a particle without mass – is a kind of absolute movement that can be detected, since it generates a centrifugal acceleration<sup>4</sup>.

## Acknowledgements

This work was completely supported by “Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo” – FAPESP. The author also must thanks to Prof.

---

<sup>3</sup>The relation between the common spherical and the isotropic coordinates is  $r = \rho \left( 1 + \frac{m}{2\rho} \right)^2$ .

<sup>4</sup>In [6] appears a very clear and simple discussion of the Mach's principle that, as a matter of fact, served as a ‘seed’ for this work.

Roberto A. Kraenkel and to Armando Bernui, who, among other people in IFT, CBPF, UFRN and UFPB, made possible the participation of the author in a workshop – “A Estrutura Topológica do Universo” (The Topological Structure of the Universe) – in Natal, Brazil, where this work finally became mature.

## References

- [1] D’Inverno, R. – *Introducing Einstein’s Relativity*, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [2] Chandrasekhar, S. – *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [3] Landau, L.D.; Lifshitz, E.M. – *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Pergamon Press, 1975.
- [4] Birrell, N.D.; Davies, P.C.W. – *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [5] Hinton, K.J. – J. Phys. A: **16** (1983) 1937-1946.
- [6] Harrison, E.R. – *Cosmology: the Science of the Universe*, Cambridge University Press, 1981.