

Why we can not see the curvature of the quantum state space?

P. Leifer

Mortimer and Raymond Sackler Institute of Advanced Studies
Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel
e-mail:leifer@ccsg.tau.ac.il

Abstract

A new type of gauge quantum theory (superrelativity) has been proposed. This differs from ordinary gauge theories in sense that the affine connection of our theory is constructed from first derivatives of the Fubini-Study metric tensor. That is we have not merely analogy with general relativity but this construction should presumably provide a unification of general relativity and quantum theory.

Here we shall discuss the physical meaning of metric properties of the projective Hilbert space and manifestation of its nontrivial physical character.

Key words: projective Hilbert space, Fubini-Study metric, curvature of the space of pure quantum states

1 Introduction

Gauge fields of modern gauge theories can not be expressed in terms of more fundamental fields [1]. In my previous works [2-4] we dealt with a geometrical approach to the unification of quantum theory and general relativity. This based on the tangent fiber bundle over complex projective Hilbert space $CP(N)$ of the pure quantum states. The linear connection (Christoffel symbol) in this theory as well as connection in general relativity expressed in terms of field of metric tensor. But now one should mean a metric relationships not in spacetime but rather in space of the pure quantum states, in the projective Hilbert space equipped with the Fubini-Study metric.

If one wishes to endow the projective Hilbert space by physical (dynamical) contents then the curvature of this manifold should have an experimental manifestation. We shall clarify here some ansatz which has already been used in a latent form in my previous works [2-5] and will briefly touch upon a possible experimental test of the evidence of the sectional curvature in the projective Hilbert space.

2 Generalized Kähler structure in $CP(N)$

Let us introduce *generalized Kähler structure*; its real part is *generalized Fubini-Study metric* and its imaginary part is *symplectic structure* over projective Hilbert space $CP(N)$.

The physical motivation for introduction such structure is that arbitrary normalization of wave function is acceptable for probabilistic interpretation of quantum mechanics but this is not sufficient for our purpose—field foundation of quantum scheme and description of isolated non-local quantum particles. In particular in relativistic case there is the problem of normalization because density need not be positive value and this is not a probability density but a charge density of field configuration.

Therefore one has some important hints to develop this direction in quantum physics. Namely:

1. The Einstein's formula $E^2 = m^2c^4 + c^2\vec{p}^2$ is source of relativistic wave equations of quantum theory. But we should remember that this formula was obtained from the classical point of view in the framework of conception of material point. Under consistent approach one should obtain this formula as a *result of classical approximation in quantum theory*.

2. The hypothesis of field mass together with Einstein's formula leads to numerical estimation of spatial extent of quantum particles (classical radius). But approximate equivalency of classical radius of quite different kinds of elementary particles such as electron and proton, for example, maybe is evidence that there is some unified field in the spirit de Broglie–Schrödinger–Bohm.

3. Divergences of local quantum theory is artefact and consistent theory should not contain these divergences. Latests arise under perturbative approach in the framework of local linear quantum field theory over such noncompact functional manifold as ordinary Hilbert space. The renormalization process is effectively *delocalization of carriers of dynamical variables* and we shall show that this process may be reformulated as a dynamics in a compact manifold of the generalized coherent states. Here we deal with particular case of Kähler manifold—projective Hilbert space with local coordinates (2.12).

The physical meaning of the metric relationships in the projective Hilbert space has already been discussed in many works [6-9]. Notwithstanding we should return to this question since we propose quite different physical interpretation of metric, connection and curvature of this space.

First we will discuss the infinitesimal interval in separable Hilbert state related to some linear Hermitian traceless operator $\hat{D} \in AlgSU(N+1)$. This operator creates some interval $d_{\hat{D}}l^2$ in sense that

$$d_{\hat{D}}l^2 = d\Psi_a^* d\Psi^a = \langle d\Psi | d\Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | \hat{D}^+ \hat{D} | \Psi \rangle d\theta^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where θ is real-number group parameter. For instance, if $\hat{D} = \hat{H}$ is Hamiltonian then

one has

$$d_{\hat{H}}l^2 = d\Psi_a^* d\Psi^a = \hbar^{-2} < \Psi | \hat{H}^+ \hat{H} | \Psi > dt^2. \quad (2.2)$$

We should note now that every nonzero vector of the Hilbert space has the isotropy group $H = U(1) \times U(N)$ that leaves this vector intact. That is transformations which act on state vector effectively lie in the coset $G/H = SU(N+1)/S[U(1) \times U(N)]$. Furthermore, one-parameter transformations in θ from G/H drives state vector along a geodesic in $CP(N)$ [2-5]. Therefore one can transform the scalar product by the ansatz of “squeezing” full state vector to the vacuum form [5]

$$\begin{aligned} < \Psi | \hat{D}^+ \hat{D} | \Psi > = < \Psi | \hat{G} \hat{G}^{-1} \hat{D}^+ \hat{G} \hat{G}^{-1} \hat{D} \hat{G} \hat{G}^{-1} | \Psi > = \\ < 0 | \hat{D}'(\Psi)^+ \hat{D}'(\Psi) | 0 >. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The first “squeezing” unitary matrix is

$$\hat{G}_1^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cos\phi_1 & e^{i\alpha_1} \sin\phi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & -e^{-i\alpha_1} \sin\phi_1 & \cos\phi_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

This matrix acts on the general vector

$$|\Psi > = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \Psi^{N-1} \\ \Psi^N \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

with the result

$$\hat{G}_1^+ |\Psi > = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \Psi^{N-1} \cos\phi_1 + \Psi^N e^{i\alpha_1} \sin\phi_1 \\ -\Psi^{N-1} e^{-i\alpha_1} \sin\phi_1 + \Psi^N \cos\phi_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

Now one has solve two “equations of annihilations” [5] $\Re\Psi'^N = 0$ and $\Im\Psi'^N = 0$ in order to eliminate Ψ'^N and to find α'_1 and ϕ'_1 . That is one will have a squeezed state

vector

$$|\Psi' \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \Psi^{N-1} \cos \phi'_1 + \Psi^N e^{i\alpha'_1} \sin \phi'_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.7)$$

The next step is action of the matrix with the shifted transformation block

$$\hat{G}_2^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cos \phi_2 & e^{i\alpha_2} \sin \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & -e^{-i\alpha_2} \sin \phi_2 & \cos \phi_2 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

on the vector (2.7) and evaluation α'_2 and ϕ'_2 and so on till the initial vector (2.5) will be reduced to the vacuum form

$$|\Psi_0 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega} \sum_{a=0}^N |\Psi^a|^2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

That is $|\Psi_0 \rangle = \hat{G}^{-1} |\Psi \rangle$, where $\hat{G} = \hat{G}_1 \hat{G}_2 \dots \hat{G}_N$. It is clear that this process of “squeezing” is equivalent to the reduction of quadric (2.1) to main axes as

$$d_{\hat{D}} l^2 = \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{D}'(\Psi)^+ \hat{D}'(\Psi) | \Psi_0 \rangle = R^2 (|D'_{00}(\Psi)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N |D'_{0i}(\Psi)|^2) d\theta^2. \quad (2.10)$$

This contains two part: the first term is interval along the subalgebra of the isotropy group of the vector $|\Psi_0 \rangle$ and the second one is interval in the tangent space to the coset itself. We saw that diagonalization of the quadric (2.1) of the infinitesimal interval in the state space which related to some dynamical variable \hat{D} , connected with the coset structure $G/H = SU(N+1)/S[U(1) \times U(N)]$. That is here the full unitary symmetry $SU(N+1)$ has become spontaneously broken down to the isotropy group $U(1) \times U(N)$. From the topological point of view G/H -structure is equivalent to the structure of the projective Hilbert space $CP(N)$ [10]. This paves the way to the invariant study of the spontaneously broken unitary symmetry. Elements of tangent space to the coset will be functions of state vector during ansatz of “squeezing”

. In that sense local (in a functional space) dynamical variables arise. But invariant properties of the interval should be independent of a choice of the dynamical variable \hat{D} . There is a direct method of introduction of the local dynamical variables in the projective Hilbert space in local coordinates [2-5]. It corresponds the well known “active” point of view on transformations of state vectors. Now we looking for invariant properties of the infiniteesimal interval δL^2 in the original Hilbert space as local dynamical variables have already been discussed [2-5].

We start with ordinary decomposition of a state vector of quantum system in some orthogonal basis $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{a=0}^N \Psi^a |a\rangle$ where $\sum_{a=0}^N |\Psi^a|^2 = R^2$, ($0 \leq a \leq N$) or $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \Psi^a |a\rangle$ where $\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} |\Psi^a|^2 = R^2$, ($0 \leq a < \infty$). The generalized stereographic projection from the center of the sphere $\sum_{a=0}^N |\Psi^a|^2 = R^2$ onto the complex hyperplane Π give us relationships between coordinates of a point of the sphere in the original Hilbert space $\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^a, \dots, \Psi^N$ and coordinates $\Pi^1, \dots, \Pi^i, \dots, \Pi^N$ of its projection onto the hyperplane

$$\Psi^0 = \lambda(R, \Pi)R, \quad \Psi^1 = \lambda(R, \Pi)\Pi^1, \quad \Psi^2 = \lambda(R, \Pi)\Pi^2, \dots, \Psi^N = \lambda(R, \Pi)\Pi^N, \dots \quad (2.11)$$

Then one has ($1 \leq i \leq N$ or $1 \leq i < \infty$)

$$\Pi^1 = R \frac{\Psi^1}{\Psi^0}, \quad \Pi^2 = R \frac{\Psi^2}{\Psi^0}, \dots, \Pi^i = R \frac{\Psi^i}{\Psi^0}, \dots, \Pi^N = R \frac{\Psi^N}{\Psi^0}, \dots \quad (2.12)$$

and $\lambda^2(\sum_{i=1}^N |\Pi^i|^2 + R^2) = R^2$ or $\lambda^2(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\Pi^i|^2 + R^2) = R^2$. The “squeezing factor” $\lambda(R, \Pi)$ one can express from these equations

$$\lambda(R, \Pi) = \frac{R}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}} \quad (2.13)$$

or, for $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lambda(R, \Pi) = \frac{R}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}}. \quad (2.14)$$

Hereafter we will use only finite value of indexes $0 \leq a, b, \dots, d \leq N$ and $1 \leq i, k, \dots, s \leq N$ remembering that in typical cases the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ may be achieved. Now we can express homogeneous coordinates Ψ in local coordinates Π :

$$\Psi^0 = \frac{R^2}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}}, \dots, \quad \Psi^i = \Pi^i \frac{R}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}}, \dots \quad (2.15)$$

It is easy to evaluate ($a = 0$)

$$\frac{\partial \Psi^0}{\partial \Pi^i} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{R^2 \Pi^{*i}}{\left(\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}\right)^3}, \quad \frac{\partial \Psi^0}{\partial \Pi^{*k}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{R^2 \Pi^k}{\left(\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}\right)^3} \quad (2.16)$$

and for other components ($a \geq 1$) one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Psi^a}{\partial \Pi^i} &= R \left(\frac{\delta_i^a}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Pi^a \Pi^{*i}}{\left(\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}\right)^3} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \Psi^{*a}}{\partial \Pi^{*k}} &= R \left(\frac{\delta_k^a}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Pi^{*a} \Pi^k}{\left(\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2}\right)^3} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (2.17)$$

Therefore one can express infinitesimal invariant interval in the original Hilbert space as follows

$$\delta L^2 = \delta_{ab} \delta \Psi^a \delta \Psi^{*b} = G_{ik*} \delta \Pi^i \delta \Pi^{*k} = \sum_a \frac{\partial \Psi^a}{\partial \Pi^i} \frac{\partial \Psi^{*a}}{\partial \Pi^{*k}} \delta \Pi^i \delta \Pi^{*k}. \quad (2.18)$$

That is the generalized metric tensor of the original flat Hilbert space in the local coordinates Π is

$$\begin{aligned}G_{ik*} &= \sum_{a=0}^N \frac{\partial \Psi^a}{\partial \Pi^i} \frac{\partial \Psi^{*a}}{\partial \Pi^{*k}} = \\ R^2 \left[\frac{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2) \delta_{ik} - \Pi^{*i} \Pi^k}{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\sum_s |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2) \Pi^{*i} \Pi^k}{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2)^3} \right] &= \\ R^2 \frac{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2) \delta_{ik} - \frac{3}{4} \Pi^{*i} \Pi^k}{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2)^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.19)$$

This metric tensor contains two parts: the first one which arises from the geometry of the projective Hilbert space $CP(N)$; if all Π^i are small in comparison with R then the term

$$\frac{R^2}{4} \frac{\Pi^{*i} \Pi^k \sum_s |\Pi^s|^2}{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2)^3} \quad (2.20)$$

is negligible. In this case one has the commonly known Fubini- Study metric tensor for $R = 1$ [10]. In the general case this term should be taken into account. The second part arises from the derivatives of the component Ψ^0 which is orthogonal to the hyperplane Π .

3 Discussion

It is clear now why so difficult to see the evidence of the curvature of the projective Hilbert space in physical experiment. For small Π^i the full invariant interval

$$\delta L^2 = R^2 \frac{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2) \delta_{ik} - \frac{3}{4} \Pi^{*i} \Pi^k}{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2)^2} \quad (3.1)$$

in original Hilbert space is numerically very close to the interval

$$dl^2 = R^2 \frac{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2) \delta_{ik} - \Pi^{*i} \Pi^k}{(\sum_{s=1}^N |\Pi^s|^2 + R^2)^2} \quad (3.2)$$

in the projective Hilbert space $CP(N)$. Notwithstanding we think that it is possible to find a trace of the non-zero sectional curvature of $CP(N)$ in optic experiments for the measuring of Aharonov-Anandan phase for light rays with different parameters. This should be truly “geometric phase” effect in distinction from all previous “topological phase” effects as it depends not only on a topology of the way in the projective Hilbert space but on the metric properties of the latest as well. It will be discussed together with the physical meaning of the radius R of the sectional curvature $1/R^2$ elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely thank Lawrence P.Horwitz for useful discussions.

References

- [1] S.Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, vol.II*, (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- [2] P.Leifer, Superrelativity as a unification of quantum theory and relativity (II), Preprint gr-qc/9612002.
- [3] P.Leifer, Superrelativity as a unification of quantum theory and relativity, Preprint quant-ph/9610030.
- [4] P.Leifer, Quantum theory Requires Gravity and Superrelativity, Preprint gr-qc/9610043.
- [5] P.Leifer, Dynamics of the spin coherent state, Ph.D. Thesis, Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of the UkrSSR Academy of Science, Kharkov, 1990.
- [6] Wei-Min Zhang, Da Hsuan Feng, Phys.Rep. **252** 1 (1995).
- [7] R. Cirelli, A. Mania', L. Pizzocchero, Int.Mod.Phys.,**6** , No.12, 2133 (1991).
- [8] A.M. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [9] M.V. Berry, *The Quantum Phase, Five Years After* in Geometry Phases in Physics, Ed. Alfred Shapere, Frank Wilczek,(World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [10] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol.II*, (Interscience Publishers, New York-London-Sydney, 1969).