

EDO-EP-10
March 1997

Quantum Instability of Black Hole Singularity in Three Dimensions

ICHIRO ODA[†]

Edogawa University, 474 Komaki, Nagareyama City, Chiba 270-01, JAPAN

ABSTRACT

We study an internal structure of (2+1)-dimensional black hole with the neutral scalar matter in the spherically symmetric geometry by using a quantum theory of gravity which holds in the both vicinities of the singularity and the apparent horizon. A special attention is paid to the quantum-mechanical behavior of the singularity in the black hole. We solve analytically the Wheeler-DeWitt equation of a minisuperspace model where the ingoing Vaidya metric is used as a simple model representing a dynamical black hole. The wave function obtained in this way leads to interesting physical phenomena such as the quantum instability of singularity and the Hawking radiation. It is also pointed out a similarity between the singularity in (2+1)-dimensional black hole and the inner Cauchy horizon in (3+1)-dimensional Reissner-Nordstrom charged black hole.

[†] E-mail address: sjk13904@mgw.shijokyo.or.jp

There is no doubt that understanding the unsolved problems associated with quantum black holes gives us some insight into a construction of a theory of quantum gravity. These open problems include the information loss paradox, the fate of the endpoint of black hole evaporation, and the statistical mechanical origin of black hole entropy e.t.c. [1]

It is an interesting idea that the physical features of a black hole can be attributed to the properties of the singularity and/or the event horizon. Actually, in those days, Thorne et al. [2] have to a certain extent developed this idea as the Membrane Paradigm where the stretched horizon, in the replacement of the event horizon, plays a fundamental role in describing various properties of a black hole, afterward being rediscovered by a different viewpoint [3, 4]. One of motivations in this article is not only to push forward with this idea but also to extend it to a direction that the quantum-mechanical properties of the singularity as well as the horizon essentially determine an overall physical behavior of quantum black holes.

However, it seems to be difficult to construct a quantum theory of black holes. One of the standard approaches adopted so far is to reduce an infinitely many dynamical degrees of freedom to be finite ones by considering the simpler models, the minisuperspace models [5], and then construct a quantum mechanics of this system with only finitely many physical degrees of freedom. Though some minisuperspace models are surely effective and soluble, they are not so useful owing to a wildly singular behavior at the curvature singularity in applying them for study of a quantum mechanics near the singularity of black holes in four dimensions .

Recently, motivated by an interesting idea of Tomimatsu [6], in a series of papers we have developed a minisuperspace model of quantum black holes [7-9]. Our attentions were paid to the quantum-mechanical properties of black holes only in the vicinity of the apparent horizons. As mentioned above, our philosophy is that the black hole physics can be in essence understood in terms of a quantum theory holding near both the singularity and the horizon, thus our purpose has

been reached only halfway so far.

It was recently discovered that there are black hole solutions in the anti-de Sitter spacetime in three dimensions, and clarified that the singularity is not the curvature singularity but has a rather mild character [10]. Thus it might be possible to argue various quantum aspects of the singularity by means of the (2+1)-dimensional black hole. This is indeed the case as seen later. In particular, we will see that the singularity is quantum-mechanically unstable and becomes the curvature singularity under a slight matter perturbation.

We start with the three dimensional action that is of the form

$$S = \int d^3x \sqrt{-^{(3)}g} \left[\frac{1}{16\pi G} (^{(3)}R + \frac{2}{l^2}) - \frac{1}{8\pi} ^{(3)}g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi \right], \quad (1)$$

where the cosmological constant Λ is related to the scale parameter l by $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{l^2}$, and Φ is a real scalar field. To exhibit explicitly the three dimensional character we put the suffix (3) in front of the metric tensor and the curvature scalar. In the previous work [9], we have considered the more general matter contents, but we will confine ourselves to the action (1) for simplicity. We will follow the conventions adopted in the MTW textbook [11] and use the natural units $G = \hbar = c = 1$. The Greek indices μ, ν, \dots take 0, 1 and 2, and the Latin indices a, b, \dots take 0 and 1.

Let us make the most general spherically symmetric reduction for the metric

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= ^{(3)}g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \\ &= g_{ab} dx^a dx^b + \phi^2 d\theta^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with the two dimensional metric g_{ab} and the radial function ϕ being the function of only the two dimensional coordinates x^a , and the angular variable θ ranging from 0 to 2π . An integration over the angular variable θ after substituting (2) into (1)

yields the following effective action in two dimensions:

$$S = \frac{1}{8} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \phi \left(R + \frac{2}{l^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \phi g^{ab} \partial_a \Phi \partial_b \Phi. \quad (3)$$

It was pointed out that the gravitational sector in this action has a curious feature that it is cast into the topological BF theory, which is a peculiar feature in the s-wave reduction from three to two dimensions [9].

For later convenience, let us derive the equations of motion arising from the action (1):

$$R + \frac{2}{l^2} - 2g^{ab} \partial_a \Phi \partial_b \Phi = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b \phi - g_{ab} \nabla_c \nabla^c \phi + g_{ab} \frac{1}{l^2} \phi + 2\phi (\partial_a \Phi \partial_b \Phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \partial_c \Phi \partial^c \Phi) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\partial_a (\sqrt{-g} \phi g^{ab} \partial_b \Phi) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Since we would like to discuss the canonical formalism of the action (1), particularly, in the vicinity of the black hole singularity, our interest lies in the interior of the (2+1)-dimensional black hole, consisting of region bounded between the singularity and the horizon. The important point here is that in the interior the role of time x^0 and space x^1 is exchanged owing to the signature structure of the metric tensor so that one has to foliate the interior of a black hole by a family of spacelike hypersurfaces, for instance, $x^1 = r = \text{const.}$ This canonical formalism has been discussed in detail in ref.[7] in the case of the four dimensional gravity, so we will write only the results adapted for the present purpose.

By using a proper ADM splitting of (1+1)-dimensional spacetime

$$g_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \alpha \\ \alpha & \frac{\alpha^2}{\gamma} - \beta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

the normal unit vector orthogonal to the hypersurface $x^1 = \text{const}$

$$n^a = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta\gamma}, -\frac{1}{\beta} \right), \quad (8)$$

and the trace of the extrinsic curvature

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_a (\sqrt{-g} n^a), \\ &= -\frac{\gamma'}{2\beta\gamma} + \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\beta\gamma} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta\gamma^2} \dot{\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

the action (3) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^2x \frac{1}{4} \beta \sqrt{\gamma} \left(-K n^a \partial_a \phi + \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta\gamma} \dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{l^2} \phi \right) \\ &\quad + \int d^2x \frac{1}{4} \beta \sqrt{\gamma} \phi \left[(n^a \partial_a \Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma} (\partial_0 \Phi)^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} = \partial_0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} = \partial_1$ are also denoted by an overdot and a prime, respectively. The canonical conjugate momenta can be read off from the action (10). They are

$$p_\Phi = -\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \phi n^a \partial_a \Phi, \quad (11)$$

$$p_\phi = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{4} K, \quad (12)$$

$$p_\gamma = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{\gamma}} n^a \partial_a \phi. \quad (13)$$

Then the Hamiltonian can be calculated to be

$$H = \int dx^0 (\beta H_0 + \alpha H_1), \quad (14)$$

where the constraints H_0 and H_1 are explicitly given by

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}\phi} p_\Phi^2 - 8\sqrt{\gamma} p_\phi p_\gamma + \frac{1}{4} \partial_0 \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{4} \frac{1}{l^2} \phi + \frac{\phi}{4\sqrt{\gamma}} (\partial_0 \Phi)^2, \quad (15)$$

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma} p_\Phi \partial_0 \Phi + \frac{1}{\gamma} p_\phi \dot{\phi} - 2\dot{p}_\gamma - \frac{1}{\gamma} p_\gamma \dot{\gamma}. \quad (16)$$

We now turn our attention to a canonical quantization when applied to the (2+1)-dimensional dynamical black hole. To begin with, let us introduce the two dimensional coordinates x^a by

$$x^a = (x^0, x^1) = (v - r, r), \quad (17)$$

where the advanced time coordinate is defined by $v = t + r^*$ with the tortoise coordinate $dr^* = \frac{dr}{-g_{00}}$. In this coordinate system, we fix the gauge freedoms corresponding to the two dimensional reparametrization invariances by the gauge conditions

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ab} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \alpha \\ \alpha & \frac{\alpha^2}{\gamma} - \beta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -(-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2}) & 1 + M - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \\ 1 + M - \frac{r^2}{l^2} & 2 + M - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the black hole mass M is the function of the two dimensional coordinates x^a , on the other hand, the scale parameter l is a constant. Then the two dimensional line element takes a form of the Vaidya metric corresponding to the three

dimensional black hole without rotation

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{ab}dx^a dx^b, \\ &= -(-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2})dv^2 + 2dvdr, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

which we will use as a model representing a dynamical black hole.

At this point it seems to be appropriate to make some comments on a canonical quantization of a different sort of minisuperspace model of a black hole in order to explain why we have to make use of the present rather general formalism to examine the properties of a dynamical black hole. Recall that now we take account of only the interior of a spherically symmetric black hole, for which we may choose the three dimensional line element to be

$$ds^2 = -N(r)^2 dr^2 + a(r)^2 dt^2 + \phi(r)^2 d\theta^2. \tag{20}$$

This minisuperspace model has a dependency on only the r variable in the components of the metric tensor as a natural generalization of the solution satisfying the vacuum Einstein equation in three dimensions. To this minisuperspace model we can easily perform a canonical quantization without needing to appeal to the above general canonical formalism. Here let us briefly mention how to quantize the system (20). Without matter field, under the metric ansatz (20) the action (10) takes a form

$$S = L \int_0^{r_+} dr \left(-\frac{1}{N} a' \phi' + \frac{1}{l^2} N a \phi \right), \tag{21}$$

where we have defined to be $L = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-T}^T dt$ with the infrared cutoff T , and r_+ denotes the radius of the horizon. The canonical conjugate momenta corresponding to the

dynamical variables a and ϕ become

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_a &= -\frac{L}{N}\phi', \\ \pi_\phi &= -\frac{L}{N}a',\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

and the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = -\frac{N}{L}\pi_a\pi_\phi - LN\frac{1}{l^2}a\phi\tag{23}$$

Then the Hamilton equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned}a' &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \pi_a} = -\frac{N}{L}\pi_\phi, \\ \phi' &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \pi_\phi} = -\frac{N}{L}\pi_a, \\ \pi'_a &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial a} = LN\frac{1}{l^2}\phi, \\ \pi'_\phi &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} = LN\frac{1}{l^2}a.\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

In addition, varying (23) with respect to N we learn that

$$-L\pi_a\pi_\phi - L\frac{1}{l^2}a\phi = 0.\tag{25}$$

It is relatively straightforward to show that the solution that satisfies with (24) and (25) is the solution discovered by Bañados et al. [10] in the case of the spherically symmetric geometry under suitable boundary conditions. The canonical quantization is easily done by following the conventional procedure. After setting up the gauge conditions and the canonical commutation relations among (π_a, a) and (π_ϕ, ϕ) , we need to solve an operator equation of the Hamiltonian constraint (25), that is, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Because of its simple structure, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation can be disentangled though we skip a detailed analysis of it at present.

Of course, the above discussion can be generalized to include various kinds of matter fields without difficulty. However, it might be not impossible but is very intricate to quantize a minisuperspace model such that the metric tensor depends on the general two dimensional coordinates x^a . This situation is in fact encountered in considering a dynamical black hole. This is our reason why we have constructed a rather general formalism.

To make a quantization at all events, it seems to be natural to rely on some approximation method in order to solve the constraints analytically. Though the usual approximation method must be a perturbation theory, one runs into problems of unrenormalizability in trying to quantize (2+1)-dimensional gravity in the metric formulation. Recently, however, Tomimatsu [6] proposed an interesting approximation method of constraints that has been applied for various problems by us [7-9]. His key idea is to solve the Hamiltonian and supermomentum constraints only in the vicinity of the apparent horizon of a black hole. In this paper, we will extend his idea to solve the constraints near the singularity.

To save a space, let us consider quantum gravity near the singularity $r = 0$ and the apparent horizon $r = r_+ \equiv l\sqrt{M}$ at the same time. Near the both places, it seems to be physically reasonable to assume that

$$\Phi \approx \Phi(v), \phi \approx r, M \approx M(v), \quad (26)$$

which can be proved to be consistent with the equations of motion (4)-(6) [7]. Then, from (18) and (26) we learn

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= M - \frac{r^2}{l^2}, \\ &\approx \begin{cases} M(v) & \text{for } r \approx 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } r \approx r_+ = l\sqrt{M} \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and $\alpha = 1 + \gamma$, $\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. And the canonical conjugate momenta are expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} p_\Phi &\approx -\frac{1}{2}\phi\partial_v\Phi, \\ p_\phi &\approx -\frac{1}{8\gamma}\partial_v M, \\ p_\gamma &\approx -\frac{1}{8}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

It is remarkable that near the singularity and the apparent horizon the two constraints become proportional to each other

$$\begin{aligned} -\gamma H_1 &\approx \sqrt{\gamma} H_0, \\ &\approx \frac{2}{\phi} p_\Phi^2 + \gamma p_\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Here let us make some remarks. First of all, just at the apparent horizon γ becomes zero, thus in order to avoid this singular behavior we assume γ to be a small but finite constant [7]. This assumption is equivalent to the statement that we restrict ourselves to the interior near but not at the apparent horizon of a black hole. Of course, such an assumption is unnecessary in the vicinity of the singularity. Secondly, the most interesting fact that is special to the (2+1)-dimensional black hole is that we can construct quantum gravity even near the singularity. We can easily check that the present formulation makes no sense in trying to deal with curvature singularity existing as in the four dimensional black holes. Finally, it is also of interest to point out that the same forms of the constraint and the canonical conjugate momenta are shared near the singularity and the apparent horizon. The only difference exists in the value which γ takes.

Imposing the constraint (29) as an operator equation on the state, we have the well-known Wheeler-DeWitt equation

$$i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\phi} = -\frac{2}{\gamma\phi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\Phi^2}\Psi, \tag{30}$$

which we can rewrite as the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian $H = p_\Phi^2$

and the time $T = \frac{2}{\gamma} \log \phi$ in the superspace

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial T} = H \Psi = p_{\Phi}^2 \Psi. \quad (31)$$

To find a special solution of this Wheeler-DeWitt equation, let us use the method of separation of variables. Then the solution is

$$\Psi = (B e^{-\sqrt{A}\Phi(v)} + C e^{\sqrt{A}\Phi(v)}) e^{i \frac{A}{\gamma} T}, \quad (32)$$

where A , B , and C are integration constants. Without losing a generality, let us take the boundary condition $C = 0$. Under the definition of an expectation value $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ of an operator \mathcal{O}

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{\int d\Phi |\Psi|^2} \int d\Phi \Psi^* \mathcal{O} \Psi, \quad (33)$$

it is straightforward to evaluate

$$\langle \partial_v M \rangle = - \frac{16A}{\langle \phi \rangle}, \quad (34)$$

where we have used the equation (28) or (29). Note that this result never depends on the value of γ , which is singular just at the apparent horizon. This result holds true near both the singularity and the apparent horizon.

Different choices of the integration constant A yield different physical pictures. If one chooses the constant A to be a negative constant, e.g., $-\frac{1}{16}k_1^2$, this equation represents the absorption of the external matters by a black hole

$$\langle \partial_v M \rangle = \frac{k_1^2}{\langle \phi \rangle}, \quad (35)$$

for which the physical state has a form of the scalar wave propagating in black

hole in the superspace

$$\Psi = Be^{-i\frac{1}{4}|k_1|\Phi(v)-i\frac{k_1^2}{16\gamma}T}. \quad (36)$$

On the other hand, if one takes the constant A to be a positive constant, e.g., $\frac{1}{16}k_2^2$, (34) means the Hawking radiation [12]

$$<\partial_v M> = -\frac{k_2^2}{<\phi>}, \quad (37)$$

for which, as expected from the physical viewpoint, this time the physical state has an exponentially damping form in the classically forbidden region showing the quantum tunneling

$$\Psi = Be^{-\frac{1}{4}|k_2|\Phi(v)+i\frac{k_2^2}{16\gamma}T}. \quad (38)$$

Now let us consider a physical situation where the neutral matters come in black hole across the horizon from the outside and approach the singularity. Our main concern is to investigate quantum behaviors of (2+1)-dimensional spherically symmetric black hole near the singularity in such a situation. The equation (35) tells us that as the matters approach the singularity the increase rate of black hole mass has a tendency to diverge infinitely. This phenomenon of an infinite divergence of the local mass function inside black hole under an incorporation of matters is somewhat similar to the mass inflation [13, 8] in the four dimensional Reissner-Nordstrom black hole although their relation is not clear at present.

Nevertheless, let us proceed with this analogy further. In the mass inflation scenario, the exponential rise of the local mass function makes the inner Cauchy horizon unstable and change to the curvature singularity, as a result of which, it is prohibited that we travel to other universes with asymptotically flat spacetime regions via the charged wormhole. What becomes of the case of (2+1)-dimensional black hole? This question may easily be answered by using the machinery that we

have developed in this paper. Let us consider to evaluate an expectation value of the scalar curvature. From the equation of motion (4), it becomes

$$\langle R \rangle = -\frac{2}{l^2} + 2 \langle g^{ab} \partial_a \Phi \partial_b \Phi \rangle. \quad (39)$$

On physical grounds, one expects quantum matter field Φ to become to have a dependency on not only v but also r variables through the strong quantum fluctuations near the singularity. Then the quantum field Φ can be expanded around the classical background $\langle \Phi(v) \rangle$ as follows:

$$\Phi(v, r) \approx \langle \Phi(v) \rangle + \delta\Phi(v, r), \quad (40)$$

where $\delta\Phi(v, r)$ denotes the quantum fluctuation. Now we can calculate the expectation value of the scalar curvature whose result is given by

$$\langle R \rangle = -\frac{2}{l^2} + \frac{2|k_1|}{\langle \phi \rangle} \partial_r \delta\Phi, \quad (41)$$

up to the leading approximation level with respect to $\delta\Phi$. Thus we arrive at an important conclusion that if there is a slight matter perturbation near the singularity from the outside the expectation value of the scalar curvature diverges at the singularity $r = 0$ in quantum gravity although the scalar curvature is finite classically there. It is worth mentioning here that $\langle R \rangle$ is strictly finite at the apparent horizon as long as $\partial_r \delta\Phi|_{r=r_+} < +\infty$.

Finally, let us consider the case of the Hawking radiation (37) and (38). At first sight, one may be anxious that in this case $\langle R \rangle$ also becomes the curvature singularity at the origin. However, an analogous calculation to (41) leads to

$$\langle R \rangle = -\frac{2}{l^2} - i \frac{2|k_2|}{\langle \phi \rangle} \partial_r \delta\Phi. \quad (42)$$

Note that the second term in the right-hand side of this equation is purely an imaginary number in contrast with a real number in (41). Obviously, this result

has relevance to a decaying character of evaporating black hole, thus is harmless in the present formulation.

To summarize, in this article we have considered (2+1)-dimensional spherically symmetric black hole from a point of view of quantum instability of singularity. Once matters are incorporated into black hole, the curvature singularity is formed at the origin of black hole by the quantum effects. We believe that this conclusion is extremely general, and independent of any matter fields that we consider. It seems to be interesting to clarify the relation between the singularity in (2+1)-dimensional black hole and the inner Cauchy horizon in (3+1)-dimensional Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. In this respect, the works by Hiscock about evaporating black holes might be helpful [14]. We hope to return to this problem in near future.

References

- [1] D.Page, in Proceedings of the Fifth Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics, edited by R.B.Mann et al. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- [2] K.S.Thorne, R.H.Price and D.A.Macdonald, Black Hole: The Membrane Paradigm (Yale University Press, 1986).
- [3] G.'t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 138; Phys. Scripta **T15** (1987) 143; ibid. **T36** (1991) 247.
- [4] I.Oda, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D1** (1992) 355; Phys. Lett. **B338** (1994) 165; Mod. Phys. Lett. **A10** (1995) 2775.
- [5] B.S.DeWitt, Phys. Rev. **160** (1967) 1113.
- [6] A.Tomimatsu, Phys. Lett. **B289** (1992) 283.
- [7] A.Hosoya and I.Oda, "Black Hole Radiation inside Apparent Horizon in Quantum Gravity", Prog. Theor. Phys. (in press).

- [8] I.Oda, “Mass Inflation in Quantum Gravity”, EDO-EP-8, gr-qc/9701058.
- [9] I.Oda, “Evaporation of Three Dimensional Black Hole in Quantum Gravity”, EDO-EP-9.
- [10] M.Bañados, C.Teitelboim, and J.Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849;
M.Bañados, M.Henneaux, C.Teitelboim, and J.Zanelli, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1506.
- [11] C.W.Misner, K.S.Thorne, and J.A.Wheeler, Gravitation (Freeman, 1973).
- [12] S.W.Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. **43** (1975) 199.
- [13] E.Poisson and W.Israel, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990) 1796.
- [14] W.A.Hiscock, Phys. Rev. **D23** (1981) 2813, 2823.