

DECOHERENCE OF VACUUM FLUCTUATIONS IN COSMOLOGY

MILAN MIJIĆ

*Department of Physics and Astronomy,
California State University Los Angeles, CA 90032,
and, Institute for Physics, P.O. Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Yugoslavia*

A calculation of the one-mode occupation numbers for vacuum fluctuations of massive fields in De Sitter space shows that their use for the generation of classical density perturbations in inflationary cosmology very much depends on their masses and conformal couplings. A new mechanism for the decoherence of relatively massive fields, $2 < m^2/H_0^2 < 9/4$, has been identified. Similar analysis of the power law inflation shows that production of adiabatic density perturbations may not take place in models with power $p < 3$.

Decoherence of vacuum fluctuations in inflationary cosmology¹ is at the foundation of the current paradigm for structure formation. General arguments for classical behavior of fluctuations larger than the Hubble radius² usually appeal to the existence of Gibbons-Hawking temperature of De Sitter space, or to the rapid stretching of these modes which collects them in a kind of infrared condensate. Attractive as they are, such arguments were never very explicit, and leave some puzzles. For instance, what about the decoherence of fluctuations of very massive fields? Both mentioned arguments seem independent on mass, yet stochastic approach to inflationary phase³ apparently shows that the random walk of coarse-grained fields takes place only for fields with mass low compared to the Hubble scale.⁴ It seems therefore appropriate to look for a more explicit insight into this process.

Results reported here are based on the investigation of particle production of free fields in expanding universe.⁵ Similar lines of reasoning were pursued in Ref.'s 6-7. The key physical mechanism in all of these cases is rapid expansion of the spacetime background, so that classical behavior emerges even in the case of free fields. This is in contrast with the more frequent studies of the decoherence based on the construction of a suitable reduced density matrix for the subsystem of interest. For application of this later idea to fluctuations in inflationary universe see Ref. 8.

Our method is to evaluate the one-mode occupation number when the wavelength of the mode exceeds the Hubble radius. If the occupation number is large we will have classical behavior. For fluctuations of massive fields in spatially flat De Sitter space the results are as follows.

(a) $m^2/H_0^2 < 2$, and $2 < m^2/H_0^2 \leq 9/4$. The one-mode occupation number at times after the mode crosses the Hubble radius grows as,

$$n(z) \sim \left(\frac{\lambda_{phys}(z)}{H_0^{-1}} \right)^{2\nu} . \quad (1)$$

Here, $z \equiv -k\eta$ is a new time variable (k is fixed). $\lambda_{phys} \equiv S(\eta)k^{-1}$ is the physical wavelength, and parameter ν measures the mass in Hubble units: $\nu^2 \equiv 9/4 - m^2/H_0^2$. Since the occupation number diverges each mode forms a classical condensate. For $m^2/H_0^2 < 2$ this happens because modes outside the Hubble radius roll along the upside-down potential.⁶ For $2 < m^2/H_0^2 \leq 9/4$ the potential is upside-right at all times, including the times later than the Hubble crossing time, but the occupation number still diverges due to the dominance of just one mode (as opposed to simultaneous presence of both) as the amplitude of this upside-right oscillator settles to the minimum. In both cases the same criteria for classical behavior are satisfied.

(b) $m^2/H_0^2 = 2$. Minimally coupled field with this mass is equivalent to conformally coupled massless field, so there is no particle production whatsoever.

(c) $m^2/H_0^2 > 9/4$. In this case the late time behavior of $n(z)$ is purely oscillatory,

$$n(z) = A_0 + A_c \cos[2|\nu| \log(z/2) - 2\Phi_\Gamma] + A_s \sin[2|\nu| \log(z/2) - 2\Phi_\Gamma] . \quad (2)$$

Φ_Γ is phase fixed by the mass. The potential is upside right in this case, but both oscillatory modes must be kept. This leads merely to oscillations in some finite particle number, not to its divergence. One can show that the amplitude is bounded as $n(z) \leq f \equiv A_0 + (A_c + A_s)^{1/2} \ll 1$, for $m^2/H_0^2 - 9/4 \geq 1$.

(d) *Non-minimal coupling.* The effect of adding an $\xi R\phi^2/2$ term is particularly simple in case of a flat De Sitter background: in all the expressions above one should replace m^2 with $m^2 + 12\xi H_0^2$. The behavior of the occupation number as parameterized by different values of this quantity is the same as before. The basic physics of the phenomena is unchanged.

For quantum fluctuations of inflaton that drives the power law inflation $a \sim t^p, p > 1$, one finds that the convenient characteristic parameter is the power of expansion p . The corresponding characteristic values are as follows: for $m^2/H_0^2 = 9/4$ in De Sitter case we have $p = 3$ in case of power law inflation, while $m^2/H_0^2 = 2$ corresponds to $p = p_+ \equiv (7 + \sqrt{33})/4 \approx 3.186$. The equation of motion, boundary conditions and solutions are the same. From the analysis of the De Sitter case one deduces the following decoherence properties of vacuum fluctuations in power law inflation:

(a) $p > p_+$, and $3 \leq p < p_+$. The occupation number diverges as the wavelength exceeds the Hubble radius. For $p > p_+$ the oscillators are upside-down, and the argument of Guth and Pi⁶ applies. For $3 \leq p < p_+$ the oscillators are always upside-right but the occupation number nevertheless diverges due to the dominance of just one mode.

(b) $p = p_+$. Fluctuations in this case are the same as that for a massless minimally coupled field, and there is no particle production.

(c) $p < 3$. The oscillators are upside-right, but classical solutions are oscillatory and both modes must be kept. The occupation number is finite, but oscillatory, and always smaller than unity.

Therefore, only the power law inflation of type (a) has classical adiabatic perturbations. These results may also have some consequence for the suspected increase of power towards large scales in models of extended inflation.

To conclude, we find the traditional particle production to be a simple and explicit mechanism, sufficient to describe evolution of vacuum fluctuations into classical perturbations. The same method may be applied to study of the decoherence in any Robertson-Walker spacetime.

References

1. A. Guth, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981).
2. E. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, (Addison Wesley, 1990); A. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*, (Harwood Academic Press, 1990).
3. A.A. Starobinsky, in *Current Topics in Field Theory, Quantum Gravity and Strings*, H.J. de Vega and N. Sanchez (eds.), Springer (1986).
4. M. Mijic, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 6434 (1994).
5. M. Mijić, Cal State LA report, (to appear in *Phys Rev D*).
6. A. Guth and S-Y. Pi, *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 1899 (1985).
7. D. Polarski and A.A. Starobinsky, *Class. Quan. Grav.* **13**, 377 (1996); J. Lesgourges, D. Polarski and A.A. Starobinsky, report gr-qc/9611019.
8. M. Sakagami, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **79**, 443 (1989); R. Brandenberger, R. Laflamme, and M. Mijić, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28**, 2311 (1990); Y. Nambu, *Phys. Lett. B* **276**, 11 (1992); B.L. Hu, J.P. Paz, and Y. Zhang, in *The Origin of Structure in the Universe*, 227, E. Gunzig and P. Nardone (eds.), (Kluwer, 1993); H. Kubotani, T. Uesugi, M. Morikawa, and A. Sugimoto, Ochanomizu University report, (1996).