

Constants of motion for vacuum general relativity

Viqar Husain

*Center for Gravitational Physics and Geometry, Department of Physics,
The Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, PA 16802-6300, USA.**

(May 19, 1997)

Abstract

The 3+1 Hamiltonian Einstein equations, reduced by imposing two commuting spacelike Killing vector fields, may be written as the equations of the $SL(2, R)$ principal chiral model with certain ‘source’ terms. Using this formulation, we give a procedure for generating an infinite number of non-local constants of motion for this sector of the Einstein equations. The constants of motion arise as explicit functionals on the phase space of Einstein gravity, and are labelled by $sl(2, R)$ indices.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Fy, 04.60.Ds

Typeset using REVTeX

*Email: husain@phys.psu.edu

Einstein gravity for metrics with special symmetries have been much studied. One such reduced system is obtained by restricting attention to spacetimes with two commuting space-like Killing vector fields. This reduces Einstein gravity from a four to a two-dimensional field theory, but still with two local degrees of freedom. This reduction has been extensively studied starting from the initial work by Geroch [1], who showed that the reduced Einstein equations have an infinite set of hidden symmetries. Subsequent work contains other derivations of this result, a linearized system for the evolution equations containing a time dependent ‘spectral parameter’, and an identification of the algebra of the generators of the hidden symmetry [2–6]. All of this work uses the covariant form of the reduced Einstein equations, and the corresponding picture in the Hamiltonian formulation of Einstein gravity has so far not been presented.

On general grounds we expect that symmetries of the covariant equations have a phase space realization; the generators should arise as functionals of the phase space variables that Poisson commute with the Hamiltonian of the reduced theory - that is, as constants of motion. In this paper we present a procedure that accomplishes this.

There are two basic approaches for obtaining a Hamiltonian formulation of any theory obtained by symmetry reduction from a full theory.

In one approach one starts with the covariant equations of the full theory, reduces appropriately to obtain a set of reduced covariant equations, and then finds a Hamiltonian formulation of the reduced equations. *The Poisson bracket structure so obtained will in general not have any relation to the fundamental Poisson bracket of the unreduced theory.* This is so particularly for reductions to two dimensional field theories, because many such theories are known to have more than one Hamiltonian formulation. This feature is a known signature of ‘integrability’ [7,8], and it is likely to be a property of the Einstein equations reduced to two dimensions.

In the other approach one starts with the Hamiltonian formulation of the full theory, and performs the symmetry reduction at the Hamiltonian level. *This has the virtue of already having a Poisson bracket structure, which is the natural one for the full theory.* In the case of Einstein gravity, this would be either the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) Poisson bracket for the spatial metric and its conjugate momentum (in the ADM variables), or the Ashtekar Poisson bracket for the $SU(2)$ connection and its conjugate densitized triad (in the Ashtekar variables) [9].

There has been recent work on the reduced Einstein equations using both these approaches. The first has been used in Ref. [10], where the linearized system for these equations is used to extract constants of motion in a Hamiltonian formulation which is based on the one for the principal chiral model. This linearized system has also been used to extract constants of motion in a covariant approach [11]. The second has been used in Refs. [12–14] in the Ashtekar Hamiltonian formulation. In Ref. [14], two sets of three constants of motion are presented. One of these sets has also been given earlier in [12]. However the approach used in [14] does not allow an explicit determination on the phase space of any more than these six conserved quantities. In Ref. [13] the main result is a procedure for generating an infinite number of phase space functionals that have vanishing Poisson brackets with the reduced Hamiltonian (in a fixed time gauge). These functionals, however, are not constants of motion because of they have explicit time dependence. However, because their *only* time dependence is the explicit one, these functionals represent an infinite number of solutions of

the Hamiltonian evolution equations. A question left open in Ref. [13] is how constants of motion are to be extracted from the infinite set of solutions. If the functionals are simple enough, this amounts to merely identifying coefficients of powers of time in the solutions as the constants of motion. However, for the reduced Einstein equations it is not this simple, and an alternative approach is needed.

In this Letter we present a simple method for obtaining an infinite number of non-local constants of motion for the two-Killing field reduced Einstein theory *directly on the gravitational phase space*, using a form of the evolution equations derived by the author in Ref. [13]. The method is partly based on a recursive procedure given by Brezin et. al. [15] for obtaining non-local conserved charges of the principal chiral model. The charges for this latter model were initially derived by Luscher and Pohlmeyer [16] using a different method.

We first give an outline of the derivation of the relevant reduced Einstein equations. This reduction is presented in Ref. [12], and the evolution equations in a particular time gauge ('Gowdy gauge') are studied further in Ref. [13], where details omitted here may be found. The Ashtekar phase space variables are the canonically conjugate pair (A_a^i, \tilde{E}^{ai}) , where $i, j, \dots = 1, 2, 3$ are internal $SU(2)$ indices, and $a, b, \dots = 1, 2, 3$ are three-space indices. These variables satisfy the fundamental Poisson bracket $\{A_a^i(\vec{x}, t), E^{bj}(\vec{y}, t)\} = i\delta(\vec{x}, \vec{y})\delta^{ij}$, and are subject to the first class Hamiltonian, spatial-diffeomorphism and Gauss constraints [9]. The main steps in the reduction to the two-Killing field sector we are interested in are:

(i) In a local coordinate system (x, y, θ, t) , require that the phase space variables depend only on one angular coordinate θ , and time t . This amounts to imposing two commuting spacelike Killing vector fields $(\partial/\partial x)^a$ and $(\partial/\partial y)^a$, and gives a 1+1 field theory on $S^1 \times R$.

(ii) Set some pairs of the phase space variables to zero: $A_\theta^1 = A_\theta^2 = A_x^3 = A_y^3 = 0$ and $\tilde{E}^{\theta 1} = \tilde{E}^{\theta 2} = \tilde{E}^{x 3} = \tilde{E}^{y 3} = 0$. This amounts to a partial gauge fixing, and solving the corresponding constraints. The resulting system is first class - there is still a Hamiltonian constraint, one remaining diffeomorphism constraint (for the S^1 θ direction), and a $U(1)$ remnant of the $SU(2)$ Gauss law constraint. This is the reduction performed in [12].

(iii) Fix a time gauge and a θ coordinate fixing condition to obtain a reduced Hamiltonian, and then derive the Hamiltonian evolution equations for certain combinations of the original phase space variables [13]. The time gauge used in Ref. [13] is the same as the Gowdy gauge [17]. The reduced $U(1)$ Gauss law remains first class, while the spatial-diffeomorphism constraint, together with its gauge fixing condition, form a second class pair of constraints. Hence Dirac brackets (which in this case happen to be the same as the usual brackets) are used to derive the evolution equations.

These steps lead to the following 1+1 dimensional field theory [13]. The canonical phase space variables are the pairs $A_\alpha^I(\theta, t), E^{\beta J}(\theta, t)$, where $I, J \dots = 1, 2$ and $\alpha, \beta, \dots = x, y$. The Hamiltonian density is

$$H = \frac{i}{\alpha} [\epsilon^{IJ} E^{\alpha J} \partial A_\alpha^I + \frac{1}{2t} (K_\alpha^\beta K_\beta^\alpha - K^2)], \quad (1)$$

where $\partial \equiv \partial/\partial\theta$,

$$K_\alpha^\beta := A_\alpha^I \tilde{E}^{\beta I}, \quad K := K_\alpha^\alpha, \quad (2)$$

and $\epsilon^{IJ} := \epsilon^{3IJ}$ is defined from the $SU(2)$ anti-symmetric tensor ϵ^{ijk} . The fundamental phase space variables are further subject to the first class constraint $J = 0$, and the pair of second class constraints $K + \alpha = 0$ (α is a spacetime independent constant), and $E^{\alpha I} \partial A_\alpha^I = 0$, where

$$J_\alpha^\beta := \epsilon^{IJ} A_\alpha^I E^{\beta J}, \quad J := J_\alpha^\alpha. \quad (3)$$

The particular combinations of phase space variables mentioned in (iii), which give a useful form of the evolution equations, are

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2}(K_y^x + K_x^y), \quad L_2 = \frac{1}{2}(K_x^x - K_y^y), \quad L_3 = \frac{1}{2}(K_y^x - K_x^y), \quad (4)$$

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2}(J_y^x + J_x^y), \quad J_2 = \frac{1}{2}(J_x^x - J_y^y), \quad J_3 = \frac{1}{2}(J_y^x - J_x^y), \quad (5)$$

A property of these variables is that they are invariant under the reduced Gauss law $J = 0$, obtained after the steps (ii) and (iii) stated above. Because we will be working only with these variables in the rest of the paper, we change notation slightly, and refer to them as L_i and J_i , with $i, j, \dots = 1, 2, 3$; from this point on the indices i, j, \dots are *not* the internal $SU(2)$ indices of the basic canonical variables.

The fundamental Poisson bracket for the canonically conjugate pair lead to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{L_i(\theta, t), L_j(\theta', t)\} &= i f_{ij}{}^k L_k(\theta, t) \delta(\theta, \theta'), \\ \{L_i(\theta, t), J_j(\theta', t)\} &= i f_{ij}{}^k J_k(\theta, t) \delta(\theta, \theta'), \\ \{J_i(\theta, t), J_j(\theta', t)\} &= -i f_{ij}{}^k L_k(\theta, t) \delta(\theta, \theta'), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $f_{ij}{}^k$ are $sl(2, R)$ structure constants. Therefore the i, j, \dots are naturally $sl(2, R)$ indices.

We now consider the evolution equations for the six phase space variables L_i and J_i . These are the only Gauss invariant degrees of freedom that have non-trivial evolution after the (partial) gauge fixing in (iii). Our purpose is to extract constants of motion associated with their evolution.

The evolution equations for L_i and J_i , derived using the the Hamiltonian (1), are [13]

$$\dot{L}_i - J'_i = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{J}_i - L'_i + \frac{2}{\alpha t} f_i{}^{jk} L_j J_k = 0, \quad (8)$$

where α is the constant (parameter) which arises from the θ coordinate fixing condition we have used, and $'$ denotes the θ derivative.

These equations may be put in a matrix form by using the $sl(2, R)$ generators

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_3 = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

which satisfy the relations

$$[g_i, g_j] = \frac{1}{\alpha} f_{ij}{}^k g_k, \quad g_i g_j = \frac{1}{2\alpha} f_{ij}{}^k g_k. \quad (10)$$

Defining the matrices

$$A_0 := 2L_i g_i, \quad A_1 := 2J_i g_i, \quad (11)$$

the evolution equations (7-8) become

$$-\partial_0 A_0 + \partial_1 A_1 = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0 + \frac{1}{t} [A_0, A_1] = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $\partial_0 \equiv \partial/\partial t$ and $\partial_1 \equiv \partial/\partial \theta$.

We are now ready to derive constants of motion associated with the evolution equations (12-13), which have been obtained, as outlined above, using Dirac brackets after partial gauge fixing of reduced Einstein gravity.

Consider first the simpler equations of the principal chiral model

$$-\partial_0 A_0 + \partial_1 A_1 = 0; \quad F_{01} \equiv \partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0 + [A_0, A_1] = 0. \quad (14)$$

These are equations on a flat two-dimensional Lorentzian spacetime with topology R^2 . There is no factor of $1/t$ multiplying the commutator in these equations, unlike in (13), and therefore the procedure we are about to review needs modification for it to be applicable to the reduced Einstein equations. This procedure, given by Brezin et. al. [15] for generating non-local conserved currents $J_a^{(n)}$ for the first order pair of equations (14), (hereafter referred to as BIZZ), uses the covariant derivative $D_a = \partial_a + A_a$, ($a, b, \dots = 0, 1$), and proceeds as follows:

(i) The first current is

$$J_a^{(1)} := D_a \lambda^{(0)} = [A_a, \lambda^{(0)}], \quad (15)$$

where $\lambda^{(0)}$ is a constant 2×2 matrix. Its conservation follows immediately from the first of equations (14), which in turn implies that there is a matrix $\lambda^{(1)}$ such that $J_a^{(1)} = \epsilon_a{}^b \partial_b \lambda^{(1)}$. ϵ^{ab} is the 2-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor with $\epsilon^{01} = +1 = -\epsilon^{10}$.

(ii) The second current is defined by $J_a^{(2)} := D_a \lambda^{(1)}$. Its conservation follows from the second of equations (14) and the definition of $\lambda^{(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{ab} \partial_a J_b^{(2)} &= \eta^{ab} D_b \partial_a \lambda^{(1)} = \eta^{ab} D_b \epsilon_a{}^c J_c^{(1)} = \eta^{ab} D_b \epsilon_a{}^c D_c \lambda^{(0)} \\ &= \epsilon^{cb} D_b D_c \lambda^{(0)} = [D_1, D_0] \lambda^{(0)} = -F_{01} \lambda^{(0)} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\eta^{ab} = \text{diag}(-, +)$ is the 2-dimensional Minkowski metric.

(iii) The n th. current is defined by $J_a^{(n)} := D_a \lambda^{(n-1)}$, and its conservation follows by assuming that the $(n-1)$ th. current is conserved, using steps like those in (ii). This completes the proof by induction.

We now turn to the reduced Einstein equations (12-13), and show how to obtain their conserved currents, and from these the constants of motion. There are two important differences from the principal chiral model equations discussed above. The first is that the reduced Einstein equations are on a spacetime with topology $R \times T^3$. The second is that the curvature of A_a is not zero; there is a ‘source’ term. Indeed, Eqn. (13) may be rewritten in the equivalent forms

$$F_{01} = (1 - \frac{1}{t})[A_0, A_1] = (1 - t)(\partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0). \quad (17)$$

Our approach is based on the first two steps of the BIZZ procedure. The first conserved current is equivalent to the equation of motion (12). We use a modified version of the second step to obtain a *non-conserved* second current. This current is then modified by adding certain ‘correction’ terms to make it conserved. This leads, as will see, to two sets of conserved charges, each labelled by $\mathfrak{sl}(2, R)$ indices. An infinite heirarchy of charges can then be obtained from these by calculating successive Poisson brackets, using the fundamental Poisson bracket of the gravitational phase space variables. The infinite set of charges so obtained have successively higher degrees of non-locality, and are therefore manifestly independent of one another.

The first conserved current is of course exactly the same as (15):

$$K_a^{(1)} \equiv D_a \lambda^{(0)}, \quad (18)$$

where as before $\lambda^{(0)}$ is a constant matrix. Now since $K_a^{(1)}$ is conserved, we can write

$$K_a^{(1)} = \epsilon_a^b \partial_b \lambda^{(1)} + \partial_a \phi^{(1)} \quad (19)$$

for some $\lambda^{(1)}$, with $\phi^{(1)}$ chosen to satisfy $\square \phi^{(1)} = 0$. This change from the BIZZ procedure on R^2 is necessary in order to make $\lambda^{(1)}$ a continuous function on the circle. The potential $\lambda^{(1)}$ is given by

$$\lambda^{(1)}(\theta, t) = - \int_0^\theta d\theta' K_0^{(1)}(\theta', t) + \int_0^\theta d\theta' \partial_0 \phi^{(1)}(\theta', t) - \int_0^t dt' K_1^{(1)}(0, t') \quad (20)$$

To avoid the arbitrariness introduced by $\phi^{(1)}$, we set

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{C}{2\pi} t \quad (21)$$

where the constant C is fixed by the continuity of $\lambda^{(1)}$ to the value of the first conserved charge

$$C \equiv Q^{(1)} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta [A_0(\theta, t), \lambda^{(0)}]. \quad (22)$$

We now proceed to find the second conserved current. Set

$$j_a^{(2)} := D_a \lambda^{(1)}, \quad (23)$$

Now $j_a^{(2)}$ is *not* conserved because the equation of motion (17) is not a flat connection condition. Its divergence is

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{ab} \partial_a j_b^{(2)} &= \eta^{ab} D_b \partial_a \lambda^{(1)} = \epsilon^{ab} D_a (K_b^{(1)} - \partial_b \phi^{(1)}) \\ &= \epsilon^{ab} D_a D_b \lambda^{(0)} - \epsilon^{ab} [A_a, \partial_b \phi^{(1)}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab} [F_{ab}, \lambda^{(0)}] - \epsilon^{ab} [A_a, \partial_b \phi^{(1)}] \\ &= (1-t) \epsilon^{ab} [\partial_a A_b, \lambda^{(0)}] - \epsilon^{ab} [A_a, \partial_b \phi^{(1)}] \\ &= \epsilon^{ab} \partial_a [(1-t) A_b, \lambda^{(0)}] + \epsilon^{ab} \delta_a^0 [A_b, \lambda^{(0)}] - \epsilon^{ab} [A_a, \partial_b \phi^{(1)}] \\ &= \epsilon^{ab} \partial_a [(1-t) A_b, \lambda^{(0)}] + \epsilon^{ab} \delta_a^0 [A_b, \lambda^{(0)}] + \frac{Q^{(1)}}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Now the essential observation that gives another conserved current is that the second term on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (24) may be rewritten as a total divergence. We already know from (19) that $[A_a, \lambda^{(0)}]$ may be written as a total divergence. Similarly, because A_a is conserved and $Q^{(1)}$ is a constant, we can write

$$[A_a, \frac{Q^{(1)}}{2\pi}] = \epsilon_a^b \partial_b \bar{\lambda}^{(1)} + \partial_a \bar{\phi}^{(1)}, \quad (25)$$

where $\bar{\lambda}^{(1)}$ is defined exactly as $\lambda^{(1)}$ is in Eqn. (20), but with $[A_a, Q^{(1)}/2\pi]$ replacing $K_a^{(1)} = [A_a, \lambda^{(0)}]$, and the constant

$$\bar{C} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta [A_0(\theta, t), \frac{C}{2\pi}] \quad (26)$$

replacing C in (21). Therefore the second term on the r.h.s of Eqn. (24) becomes

$$\epsilon^{ab} \delta_a^0 [A_b, \lambda^{(0)}] + \frac{Q^{(1)}}{2\pi} = -\delta_0^a \partial_a (\lambda^{(1)} + \bar{\lambda}^{(1)}) + \epsilon^{0a} \partial_a (\phi^{(1)} + \bar{\phi}^{(1)}). \quad (27)$$

We may now identify the second conserved current. It is

$$K_a^{(2)} \equiv j_a^{(2)} - \epsilon_a^b (1-t) [A_b, \lambda^{(0)}] - \delta_a^0 (\lambda^{(1)} + \bar{\lambda}^{(1)}) + \epsilon_{0a} (\phi^{(1)} + \bar{\phi}^{(1)}). \quad (28)$$

Hence the second conserved charge is

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(2)} &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta K_0^{(2)} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left\{ t [\lambda^{(0)}, A_1(\theta, t)] + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} ([A_0, C] - C - \bar{C}) \right. \\ &\quad + [\left(\int_0^\theta d\theta' A_0(\theta', t) + \int_0^t dt' A_1(0, t') \right), \lambda^{(0)} + \frac{C}{2\pi}] \\ &\quad \left. - [A_0(\theta, t), [\left(\int_0^\theta d\theta' A_0(\theta', t), \lambda^{(0)} + \int_0^t dt' A_1(0, t') \right), \lambda^{(0)}]] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Peeling off the matrices g_i allows these charges to be rewritten as two sets labelled by $sl(2, R)$ indices:

$$Q_i^{(1)} = f_i{}^{jk} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta L_j(\theta, t) \lambda_k \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i^{(2)} = & -\pi(C_i + \bar{C}_i) + f_i{}^{jk} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left\{ t \lambda_j J_k(\theta, t) + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} L_j(\theta, t) C_k \right. \\ & + \left(\lambda_k + \frac{C_k}{2\pi} \right) \left(\int_0^\theta d\theta' L_j(\theta', t) + \int_0^t dt' J_j(0, t') \right) \\ & \left. - L_j(\theta, t) f_k{}^{lm} \lambda_m \left(\int_0^\theta d\theta' L_l(\theta', t) + \int_0^t dt' J_l(0, t') \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where we have written the constant matrix as $\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda_i g_i$, with constant λ^i .

The $Q_i^{(1)}$ are known from earlier work [12]. Their Poisson brackets form the $sl(2, R)$ algebra, and so they cannot be used to obtain new conserved charges via Poisson brackets. Indeed, they just rotate the $Q_i^{(2)}$ among themselves. New charges can however be obtained by taking Poisson brackets of the $Q_i^{(2)}$ with themselves. Since the charges are evaluated on a fixed time surface, the usual rule

$$\{Q_i^{(2)}, Q_j^{(2)}\}_t = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left(\frac{\delta Q_i^{(2)}}{\delta A_a^I(\theta, t)} \frac{\delta Q_j^{(2)}}{\delta E_I^a(\theta, t)} - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right) \quad (32)$$

for taking Poisson brackets may be used. It is easy to see that $f_i{}^{jk} \{Q_j^{(2)}, Q_k^{(2)}\}$ will give constants of motion, $Q_i^{(3)}$, containing terms of one higher degree of non-locality than $Q_i^{(2)}$; that is, they will contain terms with three spatial integrals, two of which are nested within the full space integral. Calculating successive Poisson brackets in this way will therefore yield an infinite set of conserved charges $Q_i^{(n)}$, with the new charges containing more nested spatial integrals than their predecessors. The integer n labelling the charges therefore gives their ‘degree of non-locality’.

We note a few further points: (i) The method we have given may be used to extend the BIZZ procedure for the chiral model on R^2 to the model on $R \times S^1$. (ii) It does not seem easy to give a recursive BIZZ procedure for the reduced Einstein equations because it becomes increasingly difficult to rewrite the right hand sides of the successive non-conserved currents as a sum of t and θ derivatives. Fortunately, as we have seen, this difficulty is overcome by noting that the second set of conserved charges are all that are needed to generate an infinite set of charges via Poisson brackets. Although it is not necessary, the same may be possible for the principal chiral model in a Hamiltonian formulation. (iii) The method seems extendible to a larger class of ‘generalized’ chiral models – those with ‘source’ terms, where the r.h.s. of (17) can be other functions of t, θ, A_0, A_1 , and not just the specific one that arises for the reduced Einstein equation. This will of course depend on the specific form of the source, and will not work for all sources. (iv) All the constants of motion we have obtained, except for $Q^{(1)}$, have explicit time dependence. This is not unexpected because the reduced Hamiltonian is itself time dependent. $Q^{(1)}$ is time independent because it Poisson commutes with the time dependent part of the Hamiltonian. (v) One can also ask for symmetries of the reduced Hamiltonian – that is, phase space functionals, perhaps with explicit time dependence, that Poisson commute with the reduced Hamiltonian. An infinite set of such symmetries has been obtained in [13].

In summary, we have presented a procedure which allows the extraction of non-local constants of motion for vacuum Einstein gravity reduced by imposing two commuting spacelike Killing vector fields. The procedure relies on the resemblance of the *Hamiltonian* Einstein equations with the *covariant* principal chiral model equations. The method may be applicable for a class of ‘generalized’ chiral models, for which the curvature of the two dimensional gauge field A_a is not flat. Since the constants of motion carry $sl(2, R)$ indices, the Poisson algebra of the infinite set of constants of motion will be an $sl(2, R)$ loop algebra. The procedure seems applicable to the usual metric variables as well, and some work is in progress [18]. The explicit realization of constants of motion we have presented may be of use for certain quantization schemes, where one seeks representations of a classical algebra of observables.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Abhay Ashtekar for discussions and collaboration on the metric variable version of the equations discussed here. I also thank Guillermo Mena-Marugan and Charles Torre for helpful critical comments. This work was supported by NSF grant PHY-9514240, the Eberly Research Funds of the Pennsylvania State University, and by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada in the Mathematics Department of the University of New Brunswick.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Geroch, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 394 (1971).
- [2] W. Kinnersley, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1529 (1977); W. Kinnersley and D. Chitre, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1538 (1977).
- [3] D. Maison, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 521 (1978).
- [4] V. A. Belinskii and V. E. Zakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP **48**, 985 (1978); **50**, 1 (1979).
- [5] I. Hauser and F. J. Ernst, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 362, 1738 (1979); J. Math. Phys. **21**, 1126, 1418 (1980).
- [6] Y. S. Wu and M. L. Ge, J. Math. Phys. **24**, 1187 (1983).
- [7] A. Das, *Integrable models*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- [8] L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987).
- [9] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2244 (1986); Phys. Rev. D **36**, 1587 (1987); *Non-perturbative canonical gravity*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [10] D. Korotkin and H. Samtleben, *Poisson realization and quantization of the Geroch group*, preprint gr-qc/9611061.
- [11] N. Manojlovic and B. Spence, Nucl. Phys. **B423**, 243 (1994).
- [12] V. Husain and L. Smolin, Nucl. Phys. **B327**, 205 (1989).
- [13] V. Husain, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4327 (1996).
- [14] S. Mizoguchi, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 6788 (1995).
- [15] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, J. Zinn-Justin and J. B. Zuber, Phys. Lett. **82B**, 442 (1979).
- [16] M. Luscher and K. Pohlmeyer, Nucl. Phys. **B137**, 46 (1978).
- [17] R. H. Gowdy, Ann. Phys. **83**, 203 (1974).
- [18] A. Ashtekar and V. Husain, in preparation.