

QUANTUM GRAVITY: THE AXIOMATIC APPROACH, A POSSIBLE INTERPRETATION.

Martine PERRIN, Guy BURDET

Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS Luminy
Case 907, F-13288 Marseille cedex 9, France
email: perrin@cptsu2.univ-mrs.fr

Abstract

Twenty years ago, by extending the Wightman axiom framework, it has been found possible to quantize only a conformal factor of the gravitational field. Gravitons being excluded from this quantum scalar field theory, numerous attempts were done to give a valuable description of what could be quantum gravity. In this talk we present a family of Lorentz manifolds which can be foliated by isotropic hypersurfaces and pose severe restrictions on the form of the energy-momentum tensor in Einstein's equations. They can be associated to gravitational waves "without gravitons" in a vacuum described by two cosmological functions, but not to a massless particle flow. From this cross-checking with the previous remark, a "very" primordial quantum cosmological scenario is proposed.

*... it is important that everyone does **not** follow the same approach, work with the same set of prejudices. In a field like quantum gravity where the experimental data is so scarce, diversity of ideas is all the more important. The advice that Richard Feynman gave to younger researchers at CERN on his way back from Stockholm in 1965 seems especially apt in the context of quantum gravity :*

It's very important that we do not all follow the same fashion. ...It's necessary to increase the amount of variety ... and the only way to do it is to implore you few guys to take a risk with your lives that you will be never heard of again, and go off in the wild blue yonder and see if you can figure it out.

A.Ashtekar, LesHouches, 1992.

One of the long-standing challenges of contemporary theoretical physics is the unification of Einstein's general relativity theory with quantum theory, an unsolved problem for over sixty years. If a considerable amount of progress has been made in our understanding of quantum processes occurring in a strong gravitational field, a quantum theory of the gravitational field itself still does not exist.

After the astonishing ability of the quantum electrodynamics to survive, the fifties ended over a great hope, the axiomatic approach of the general theory of quantized fields known as the Wightman's axioms that one briefly recalls to be self-consistent.

I. The axiomatic field theory (for a neutral scalar field) [1].

Zeroth axiom : The *space of states* is the set of unit rays in a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

First axiom : The *scalar quantum field* is an operator valued tempered distribution on the Minkowski spacetime $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$ i.e. $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{3,1}, op(\mathcal{H}))$. The set \mathcal{S} of test functions (C^∞ -functions f of rapid decrease in $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$) is mapped into linear operators $\{\mathcal{L}(f)\} \subset op(\mathcal{H})$ defined on a domain D of vectors dense in \mathcal{H} , independent of f and such that $\mathcal{L}(f) D \subset D$.

Second axiom : There is a unitary representation $U(a, \Lambda)$ of the Poincaré group such that

$$U(a, \Lambda)\mathcal{L}(f)U^{-1}(a, \Lambda) = \mathcal{L}(f_{(a, \Lambda)}),$$

and keeping the domain D invariant (*covariance*).

Consider the translation of vector a , the spectral decomposition of $U(a, 0)$ on \mathcal{H} is

$$U(a, 0) = \int e^{i(p, a)} dE(p)$$

where $E(p)$ denotes the unic projection-valued spectral measure determined by $U(a, \Lambda)$, and (p, a) is the scalar product relative to the Minkovski metric. The energy-momentum operator is then defined by $P = \int p.dE(p)$.

Third axiom : $\{p = 0\}$ is an eigenvalue of P with multiplicity one and the corresponding eigenspace $\Psi_o \in \mathcal{D}$ is invariant under all symmetry transformations, and unique up to a constant phase factor (*uniqueness of the vacuum*). The rest of the spectrum of P lie in or on the plus light-cone (*spectral condition*).

Fourth axiom : If the supports of f_1 and $f_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ are space-like separated then (*locality*)

$$[\mathcal{L}(f_1), \mathcal{L}(f_2)] = 0.$$

Fifth axiom : The set D_o obtained from Ψ_o by applying polynomials in the smeared fields, is a dense set in \mathcal{H} (*cyclicity of the vacuum*).

Finally to make from the axiomatic field theory a *particle theory*, it is necessary to have in mind the following

Tacit axiom : The one-particle asymptotic states can be created from Ψ_o by some polynomial in the smeared fields, and, in the absence of bound states, the spaces of asymptotic states ($\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty}$ and $-\infty$) coincide with \mathcal{H} (*asymptotic completeness*).

A characteristic feature of the axiomatic field theory is that the quantum field operator does not exist as an operator defined at each point of spacetime, only smeared fields make sense mathematically. From this rigorous formalism many efforts have yielded a number of by-products and very general insights into the structure of the theory which concretize, for instance, in the algebraic approach to QFT, Ref.[2]. But, except free fields, no interesting models are known. Then a question was asked, is it possible to extend this scheme to include the gravitational quantum field?

2. The axiomatic approach to quantum gravity [3].

To stay in the spirit of general relativity, it is necessary that the gravitational field dictates the geometry of spacetime. This implies that the gravitational field is an operator valued covariant symmetric tensor distribution with a canonically associated light-cone field in order to define the locality and insure the uniqueness of the vacuum. So let us recall some definitions.

Let V be a four-dimensional connected paracompact manifold, a *cone field* \mathcal{C} on V is a mapping which associates to every $x \in V$ a cone $\mathcal{C}_x \subset T_x V$ (where cone means the set of isotropic vectors in x for a Lorentzian metric g said *compatible* with \mathcal{C}). A C^∞ path is said to be *physically spacelike* if there is no timelike or isotropic (with respect to g) C^∞ path connecting any couple of points of the path. Two points of V are said to be *physically spacelike separated* if there exists a physically spacelike C^∞ path joining the two points, but two points of V are said to be *spacelike separated* if they cannot be joined by a timelike or isotropic C^∞ path. Then g is said to be *strongly geodesically complete* if any two timelike or physically spacelike separated points are joined by a complete geodesic. Denote by $S^2\mathcal{D}(V)$, the set of C^∞ twice-contravariant symmetric tensor fields times twisted four-forms on V (following the terminology of Ref.[4]) with compact support and with the \mathcal{D} -space topology. If F is a topological vector space over \mathbf{C} , let $S^2\mathcal{D}'(V, F)$ be the set of the linear continuous applications over $S^2\mathcal{D}(V)$ with values in F . Finally denote by $\mathcal{D}(V)$ the set of C^∞ twisted four-forms on V with compact support. Then $\mathcal{G} \in S^2\mathcal{D}'(V, F)$ is said an F -valued distribution metric if the map $\mathcal{C} : x \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_x$ is a cone field and \mathcal{C} will be called the *cone field associated with \mathcal{G}* . Moreover the "classical" metric g and the quantum one's \mathcal{G} are correlated through

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{C} \equiv \{X \in TV | \mathcal{G}(X \otimes X \otimes f) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(V)\} = \{X \in TV | g(X, X) = 0\}.$$

Now a definition for the *gravitational quantum field* in an axiomatic form can be given.

The *axiom* (0) is kept preserved. The *axiom* (1) is also preserved but now the gravitational field is a strongly geodesically complete distribution metric $\mathcal{G} \in S^2\mathcal{D}'(V, op(\mathcal{H}))$.

The *axiom* (2) is adapted as follows

Second axiom : For every $x \in V$, there is a unitary representation $U_x(a, \Lambda)$ of the Poincaré group such that

$$U_x(a, \Lambda) \mathcal{G}((X \otimes Y + Y \otimes X) \otimes f) U_x^{-1}(a, \Lambda) = \mathcal{G}((X \otimes Y + Y \otimes X) \otimes f_{(a, \Lambda)}),$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(V)$, $X, Y \in T_x V$, and keeping the domain $D_x = \mathcal{H}_x \cap D$ invariant ((local)covariance).

Now the spectral decomposition of $U_x(a, 0)$ on \mathcal{H}_x is given by

$$U_x(a, 0) = \int e^{i(p,a)_{gx}} dE_x(p)$$

the integral being taken over $T_x V$. The energy-momentum operator is then defined by

$$P_x = \int p \cdot dE_x(p).$$

Concerning the *axiom* (3) there is just one comment: the vacuum state Ψ_o is invariant under $U_x(a, \Lambda)$ and $\Psi_o \in D_x$, but is independent of x . Moreover the total spectrum of the energy-momentum operator is equal to \mathcal{C}_x .

The *axiom* (4) is conserved with f_1 and $f_2 \in S^2 \mathcal{D}(V)$.

The *axiom* (5) is unaltered.

The consequences of this formulation, where the gravitational quantum field generates its own background geometry, are studied in Ref.[3]. The first result which stems from the axiom (1) is the following: *A necessary and sufficient condition for \mathcal{G} to be a distribution metric is that*

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}g \text{ où } \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}'(V, op(\mathcal{H}))$$

i.e. the gravitational quantum field \mathcal{G} , being a distribution metric, must be the product of a usual (scalar) operator-valued distribution \mathcal{F} times a classical metric g , there is only one quantum degree of freedom: the spin 0 field \mathcal{F} !

A second result comes from the covariance axiom (2). It has been shown in Ref.[3] that: *the covariance principle is valid if and only if g is conformal to a flat metric.*

But the covariance axiom must be modified, indeed the Poincaré group appears in relativity as the isometry group of the Minkowski space, and, in the above framework, we have to take into account for the presence of the "classical" metric. This can be done by prescribing the covariance under the isomorphism group of the "classic" geometrical structure, as advocated by the authors of Ref.[5], but with the restriction that it exists at least a one-dimensional translation subgroup to be able to define the vacuum state. So amended, the above axiomatic approach leads to a description of the quantum gravity as the semiclassical theory of a scalar quantum field in a background Lorentzian manifold, i.e. as a semiclassical theory, widely studied, see for instance Ref.[6], but it is generally not believed that this framework can provide an exact description of nature.

In Sect.3, a geometrical structure is exhibited which goes in the same way as the above "amended" axiomatic, then an interpretation of the very early quantum cosmology is proposed in Sect.4.

3. The geometry of isotropic hypersurfaces (*ISHYPS*) foliated Lorentzian manifolds and the Einstein's field equations [7].

Let us consider a Lorentzian manifold (V, g) endowed with an isotropic vector field ξ , i.e. $g(\xi, \xi) = 0$, and a one-dimensional family $\{\Sigma\}$ of *ishyps* such that the "degenerate" metric β induced by g on each Σ has its kernel generated by the line field $[\xi] = \{\lambda\xi, \lambda \in \mathbf{R} - \{0\} | \beta(\xi, \cdot) = 0\}$.

Then, at each point x of any Σ , the cone of isotropic directions has a first order contact with Σ but the tangent space $T_x\Sigma$ does not contain any time-like vector, the future light cone of x being entirely on one side of Σ , and the past light cone entirely on the other side.

Let $Gl(V)$ be the principal fiber bundle of linear frames on V . Then the presence of the metric tensor g leads to the reduction of $Gl(V)$ to the bundle of orthonormal frames $O(V)$, moreover we have to keep fixed the line field, which introduces an other subbundle $G(V)$, the structure group G of which is a semi-direct product $\mathbf{R}^2 \rtimes (SO(1, 1) \otimes SO(2))$. Therefore $G(V)$ is the *adapted* frame bundle to the triplet $(V, g, [\xi])$. However a notion of *teleparallelism* traces back to Weizenbock (1923) and was introduced into physics by Einstein, Ref.[8], in which is brought into play a connection into an other subbundle denoted by $G_T(V)$, where $G_T = SO(2) \subset G$. Now, if one looks for subbundles of $G(V)$ which contain $G_T(V)$, two possibilities appear. Firstly a subbundle with structure group $G_I = \mathbf{R}^2 \rtimes SO(2)$ with the property that the reduced canonical connection parallel transports the vector field ξ , then generalized *pp*-waves are an example of spacetimes admitting such a reduction. Secondly a subbundle $G_R(V)$ the property of which being that the reduced canonical connection is a connection on any *ishyps* Σ . It has been denamed *the radiation - connection* and $G_R = SO(1, 1) \otimes SO(2)$. Underlying spacetime manifolds, compatible with such a reduction, are isometric to the direct product $V_{2,0} \times V_{1,1}$ of two bidimensional spaces, one of them $V_{2,0}$ being space-like and Riemannian, the other one $V_{1,1}$ being time-like and Lorentzian, see Ref.[9]. Then any *ishyps* Σ can be viewed as $\Sigma = V_{2,0} \times \gamma$ where γ is an integral curve of the vector field ξ , light-like in $V_{1,1}$ as well as in V .

Now, let us examine the impact of the radiation connection over the Einstein's field equations $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}$, more precisely over the right hand side of the equations, i.e. the *physical* tensor \mathcal{T} , since the Einstein's tensor \mathcal{E} is strongly constrained by the above described product structure of the spacetime.

It has been shown, in Ref.[9], that the 2-covariant tensor \mathcal{T} is characterized by only two suitably differentiable functions over V . This two functions, denoted by χ and λ , are such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} (a) & Tr_g \mathcal{E} = \chi \\ (b) & \mathcal{E}(\xi) = \lambda g(\xi) \end{cases}$$

The first relation shows that $\chi = -S$, S denoting the scalar curvature of (V, g) , and, from the second, one deduces that the light-like vector field is solution of the equation $\xi \lrcorner \mathcal{E}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{E}(\xi)$, \mathcal{E} denoting the mixed tensor here.

Then, by choosing an isotropic 1-form θ (i.e. $g^{-1}(\theta, \theta) = 0$) such that $\xi \lrcorner \theta = 1$, $g^{-1}(\theta)$ is an isotropic vector field transversal in each point of V to the *ishyps* which contains the point, and the *physical* 2-covariant tensor \mathcal{T} can be written as

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{T} = \left(\frac{\chi}{2} - \lambda\right) g - \left(\frac{\chi}{2} - 2\lambda\right) (g(\xi) \otimes \theta + \theta \otimes g(\xi)).$$

From the above expression, a standard family of spacetimes admitting the *radiation* *-connection* clearly appears, they are the *Einstein's spacetimes* obtained by taking

$$\chi = 4\lambda = -S = \text{constante},$$

so $\mathcal{T} = \lambda g$ ($= -\frac{1}{4}Sg$). From this remark, it is suggested that χ and λ can be interpreted as *cosmological functions*.

Then, in Ref.[7], it was proposed to associate the above described geometrical framework to the inflationary period of the Universe and to speak of *primordial gravitational waves*. Moreover, it should be noticed that a fluid of massless particles moving along ξ cannot be described by the expression (2.1) of \mathcal{T} . Hence we are faced with a geometrical scheme from which gravitons are manifestly excluded, a situation already met in the axiomatic approach to quantum gravity, whence the idea to bring together both frameworks.

4. The quantum scalar field confronted with the radiation structure [10].

The dynamics for the \mathcal{F} field does not proceed from the axiomatic described in Sect.3, so it has to be postulated. By taking into account for the preponderant part of *ishyps* into our investigation, we are led to propose an *isotropic* dynamics for \mathcal{F} (something like the Dirac's *light front form*). For every *ishyps* Σ , one considers the algebra \mathcal{A}_Σ generated by the physical observables measured in Σ . The dynamics is then defined by \star -isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma'}$ deduced from field equations. Let us note that the causality condition which tells us that everything we can quantize in the metric tensor is the scalar factor \mathcal{F} , takes a particular aspect in our approach. There is a causal dependence between two connected sets \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' belonging to the same isotropic geodesic. If \mathcal{O} is in the future of \mathcal{O}' , then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}')$. On the other hand if \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' belong to two different isotropic geodesics respectively, they are spacelike separated and the *locality property* is recovered [11]

$$(4.1) \quad [\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}')] = 0.$$

At this stage we must adhere to the principle of simplicity by using natural objects of the radiation structure only, then, tentatively, we may write the field equations as follows

Dynamical "axiom" : The classical background spacetime (V, g, ξ) is isometric to $V_{2,0} \times V_{1,1}$ which satisfies the Einstein's field equations with the following *physical* tensor

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{T} = \left(\frac{\chi}{2} - \lambda\right) g - \left(\frac{\chi}{2} - 2\lambda\right) (g(\xi) \otimes \theta + \theta \otimes g(\xi)).$$

The quantum factor of the metric is minimally coupled to the classical background through the differential system

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} (a) & \tilde{\xi}(\mathcal{F}) = \kappa \mathcal{F} \\ (b) & \tilde{\Delta} \mathcal{F} = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\kappa \in \mathbf{C}$.

Obviously the differential operators $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}$ being defined by duality, Rel.(4.2 a-b) mean that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} (a) & \langle \tilde{\xi}\mathcal{F}, f \rangle = - \langle \mathcal{F}, \xi(f) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}, \kappa f \rangle \\ (b) & \langle \tilde{\Delta}\mathcal{F}, f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}, \Delta_{conf}(f) \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the behaviour of \mathcal{F} along the vector field ξ is governed by Rel.(4.3 a) with the eigenvalue κ to be interpreted. In Rel.(4.3 b), the Laplacian associated to the conformal class of g is denoted by Δ_{conf} and is defined as usually by

$$(4.4) \quad \Delta_{conf} = \Delta_g + \frac{1}{6} S$$

where the standard Laplacian associated to g is given by

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta_g = g^{-1}(\nabla_R, d),$$

∇_R denoting the covariant derivative associated to the radiation connection, and d the external derivative.

However consequences of the G_R -reduction have not yet been fully exploited. The isotropic 1-form θ can be used to construct a quasi-inverse β_θ to the first fundamental form β induced by g over any Σ . This quasi-inverse is defined by the property that the contraction of β with $\beta\beta_\theta$ gives again β_θ , which leads to

$$(4.6) \quad \beta\beta_\theta = \mathbf{1} - \theta \otimes \xi.$$

Then, over any Σ , a Laplacian denoted by Δ_β can be defined

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta_\beta = \beta_\theta (\nabla_R, d).$$

Modulo the choice of θ , the Laplacian Δ_g can be splitted up under the following form

$$(4.8) \quad \Delta_g = \Delta_\beta + 2(\nabla_R)_{g^{-1}(\theta)} \otimes \xi.$$

By taking into account for this decomposition of Δ_g the differential system (4.3) is replaced by a parabolic type differential equation

$$(4.9) \quad B_g^* f := \left(\kappa(\nabla_R)_{g^{-1}(\theta)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta_\beta + \frac{1}{6} S \right) \right) f = 0.$$

But the operator B_g^* can be considered as the adjoint of a differential operator B_g defined over the distributions of V as follows

$$(4.10) \quad \langle B_g \mathcal{F}, f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}, B_g^* f \rangle \quad \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}'(V) \text{ et } f \in C_0^\infty(V).$$

Then B_g can be inserted into the system (4.2), and one gets a parabolic type dynamic for the quantum field operator \mathcal{F} given by the homogeneous equation

$$(4.11) \quad B_g \mathcal{F} = 0$$

which controls the evolution of \mathcal{F} along the isotropic vector field $g^{-1}(\theta)$ which points out of Σ .

Remarks

- (1) - Existence and uniqueness theorems are known about quantum field theory of null hyperplanes, but in the Minkovski space (see for instance Ref.[12]).
- (2) - In Ref.[13], it is shown that the wave equation always admits one and only one elementary solution which furnishes the distribution solution of a Cauchy problem by a composition with the initial data but on a globally hyperbolic manifold.
- (3) - Let us return to the proposed dynamics in its initial form. Rel.(4.2 b) is a particular case of the scalar wave equation studied in Ref.[14], the theorem (4.5.1) of which asserts the existence of fundamental solutions. Then, according to Ref.[13], the solutions of the homogeneous equation (4.2 b) can be obtained by convoluting the propagator with a scalar distribution. Finally one has to select among these solutions, the ones (if they exist) which satisfy the eigenvalue equation (4.2 a).

By way of conclusion: a speculation about the quantum origin of the Universe.

Let us try to insert into a cosmological scenario the above described scheme. At large scale, the Universe, such as one experiences it, is classic and well interpreted by general relativity. Otherwise the scientific community is ready to have been persuaded of the quantum origin of the Universe. In such a case we have to acknowledge for the existence of a spell through which quantum described phenomena, such as matter creation, are attended by the classical spacetime creation, the spacetime in the heart of which the matter settles down to result in the Universe we belong to. This spell can agree with the inflation time widely advocated to-day. In the course of this brief (less than 10^{-36} sec.) interlude, it is predicted that every spacelike area, occupied by the primordial matter, increased by a 10^{100} scale factor before to reach its classical expansion speed, during which only small scale quantum effects take place.

Therefore the quantum axiomatic, grounded on the uniqueness of the cone field in classical and quantum formalism as detailed in Sect.2, seems well adapted to treat at once phenomena hinging on both descriptions. To explain how the quantum field \mathcal{F} can be matter engendering, we shall base arguments upon dimensional analysis of the described dynamics in Sect.4. If in Rel.(4.9), the dimension $[L^{-2}]$ is attributed to the spacial Laplacian Δ_β , and if one decides to measure the quantum evolution with a classical time unit by imposing the dimension $[T^{-1}]$ to $(\nabla_R)_{g^{-1}(\theta)}$ one gets $[\kappa] = [L^{-2}T]$, the dimension of a mass quotiented by an action. So it is natural to suppose that κ is proportional to m/\hbar , and to set $\kappa = i\frac{m}{\hbar}$. Hence the quantum evolution is piloted by the Schrödinger equation (4.11) and follows an isotropic direction of the budding classical spacetime.

But it should be noticed that the original tensor \mathcal{T} is modified by the appearance of matter created by the quantumfield. However it is allowable to suppose that the to-day "observed" little cosmological constant is the relic of the primordial tensor \mathcal{T} from which the initial structure ("past horizon") of our (at large classical) spacetime has been shaped.

References

- [1] R.F. STREATER and A.S. WIGHTMAN, *PCT, Spin, Statistics, and all that*, Benjamin Inc. N.Y., (1964).
- [2] R. HAAG, D. KASTLER, *J.Math.Phys.* **5**, 848-861 (1964).
- [3] M. FLATO, J. SIMON, *Physica Scripta* **3**, 53-59 (1971).
M. FLATO, J. SIMON, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 332-341 (1972).
M. FLATO, J. SIMON, D. STERNHEIMER, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **28**, 385-387 (1972).
M. FLATO, J. SIMON, D. STERNHEIMER, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **47**, 114-118 (1974).
- [4] G.de RHAM, *Variétés différentiables*, Hermann Ed. Paris (1955).
L. SCHWARTZ, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann Ed. Paris (1966).
- [5] B. de WITT, *Phys. Rep.* **19 C**, 6, 297-357 (1975)
J. DIMOCK, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **77**, 219-228 (1980).
- [6] A. ASHTEKAR, A. MAGNON, *Proc.Roy.Soc. A* **346**, 375-394 (1975).
P. HAJICEK, in *Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics II* (eds K.Bleuler, H.Petry, A.Reetz), 535-566, Springer (1978).
C.ISHAM, 459-512, *-idem-*.
- [7] G.BURDET, M.PERRIN, *Monographie Erasmus "Mathematics and Fundamental Applications"* **50** (1992).
G.BURDET, T. PAPAKOSTAS, M.PERRIN, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **26**, 225-232 (1994) and *Int. Journal Modern Physics D.* **3**, 163-16. (1994).
- [8] R. WEINTZENBOCK, *Invariantentheorie*, Moordhoff Groningen, (1923).
A. EINSTEIN, *Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. (Berlin)*, *Phys.-Math. Kl.* **217**, 224-227 (1928).
- [9] G. BURDET, M.PERRIN, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **25**, 39-4. (1992).
- [10] G. BURDET, M.PERRIN, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **30**, 317-3.. (1994).
- [11] R. HAAG, *Local Quantum Physics*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer Verlag, (1992).
- [12] H. LEUTWYLER, J.R. KLAUDER, L. STREIT, *Nuov.Cim.* **LXVI A**, 536-554 (1970).
R. A. NEVILLE, F. ROHRlich, *Nuov.Cim.* **1 A**, 625-643 (1971).
- [13] A. LICHNEROWICZ, *Propagateurs et Commutateurs en Relativité Générale*, Publications Mathématiques I.H.E.S. n°10 (1960),
Y. CHOQUET-BRUHAT, *Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations on a Manifold*, Battelles Rencontres 1967, Ed. by C.M. de WITT et J.A. WHEELER, p. 84-106, Benjamin Inc. Voir aussi Y. BRUHAT, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, **64**, 191-228 (1964).
- [14] F.G. FRIEDLANDER, *The wave equation on a curved space-time*, Cambridge University Press (1975).