

Spinless Matter in Transposed-Equi-Affine Theory of Gravity *

P. P. Fiziev

Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics,
Sofia University,
Boulevard 5 James Bourchier, Sofia 1164,
Bulgaria

E-mail: fiziev@phys.uni-sofia.bg

December 10, 2017

Abstract

We derive and discuss the equations of motion for spinless matter: relativistic spinless scalar fields, particles and fluids in the recently proposed by A. Saa model of gravity with covariantly constant volume with respect to the transposed connection in Einstein-Cartan spaces.

A new interpretation of this theory as a theory with variable Plank "constant" is suggested.

We show that the consistency of the semiclassical limit of the wave equation and classical motion dictates a new definite universal interaction of torsion with massive fields.

1 Introduction

The Einstein-Cartan theory of gravity (ECTG) has a long history – see for example the review articles [1]–[3] and the huge amount of references therein. Despite of the obvious beauty of this theory and of the fundamental physical and geometrical ideas on which it was built there exist some long standing and well known problems in it.

In present article we consider one of them – the discrepancy between the results obtained via the use of the minimal coupling principle (MCP) in the

*Directed to the journal GENERAL RELATIVITY AND GRAVITATION

action principle and directly in the equations of motion: if one substitutes the covariant derivatives into the special relativistic equations of motion for flat space one reaches a result which differs from the one obtained when one substitutes the covariant derivatives in the action functional and then derives the equations of motion from *standard* action principle.

In the standard version of ECTG the usual variational principle is used after applying MCP in the action integral [1]. Then the interaction of the fields with different spins with torsion does not obey the MCP at the level of the equations of motion [1], [4]. This is equivalent to introduction of a strange torsion-force-like-terms in the equations of motion in different way for different spins. As a result the equivalence principle is violated.

To be specific, let us consider the simplest case of the spinless matter.

For scalar field $\phi(x)$ with mass m in the standard ECTG the MCP produces the action

$$\mathcal{A}[\phi(x)] = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g(x)|} \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu}(x) \nabla_\mu \phi(x) \nabla_\nu \phi(x) - m^2 \phi^2(x)) \quad (1)$$

in the four-dimensional Einstein-Cartan space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$, $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ being the metric tensor with signature $(+, -, -, -)$, $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)$ being the coefficients of the metric compatible connection: $\nabla_\alpha g_{\beta\gamma} \equiv 0$, ∇_α being the covariant derivative with respect to the affine connection with coefficients $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma \\ \alpha\beta \end{smallmatrix} \right\} - K_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$, torsion $S_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \Gamma_{[\alpha\beta]}^\gamma$, and contorsion $K_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = -S_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - S^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - S^\gamma_{\beta\alpha}$; $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma \\ \alpha\beta \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} + \partial_\beta g_{\mu\alpha} - \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta})$ being the Christoffel symbols.

For scalar field $\nabla_\alpha \phi \equiv \partial_\alpha \phi$ and performing standard variation of the action (1) we reach the equation of motion:

$$\overset{\{\}}{\square} \phi + m^2 \phi = \square \phi + m^2 \phi + 3S^\mu \nabla_\mu \phi = 0. \quad (2)$$

Here the trace of the torsion $S_\alpha = \frac{2}{3} S_{\alpha\mu}^\mu$ gives the torsion vector according to the notations of the reference [5] which we shall use further. In addition we use the relation $\square \phi = \overset{\{\}}{\square} \phi - 3S^\mu \partial_\mu \phi$ between the laplasian $\square = g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta$ and Laplas-Beltrami operator $\overset{\{\}}{\square} = g^{\alpha\beta} \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\alpha \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu)$ in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$, $\overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\alpha$ being the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Cevita connection with coefficients $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \gamma \\ \alpha\beta \end{smallmatrix} \right\}^1$.

If we consider the affine connection as a fundamental object which defines the very geometry of the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$, all equations of motion have to be written in terms of its absolute derivatives. Then the third term $3S^\mu \nabla_\mu \phi$ in the corresponding form of the equation (2) has to be considered as an additional

¹We shall use the mark $\{\}$ above the symbols to denote all objects: operators, quantities, etc. which correspond to the Levi-Cevita connection in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$.

force-like term, due to the torsion. It has to be introduced to compensate the natural torsion dependence of the scalar field dynamics generated by the direct application of the MCP to the special relativistic equation of motion of spinless field. The last procedure would lead to the equation of motion of scalar field in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$ which reads:

$$\square\phi + m^2\phi = 0. \quad (3)$$

One has to confess that the treatment of the equation (2) in the framework of the basic affine geometry of the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$ is quite unnatural. Of course, one may argue, that the pure metric geometry has got equal rights in the Einstein-Cartan spaces. But it seems to us that the use of the Levi-Cevita connection in the equations of motion in ECTG will be a step away from the basic philosophy of this theory.

The same happens for test spinless classical point particles in standard ECTG. According to MCP the action for test spinless particle with mass m in usual notations acquires the form

$$\mathcal{A}[x(t)] = -mc \int \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(x(t))\dot{x}^\mu(t)\dot{x}^\nu(t)} dt = -mc \int ds. \quad (4)$$

Now, the standard action principle leads to the *geodesic* equations of motion

$$mc^2 \left(\frac{d^2 x^\gamma}{ds^2} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \gamma \\ \alpha\beta \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} \right) = mc^2 \frac{D}{ds} \frac{dx^\gamma}{ds} - 2mc^2 S^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = 0. \quad (5)$$

But the direct application of the MCP to the special relativistic equations of motion of a test particle leads to *autoparallel* equations in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$:

$$mc^2 \left(\frac{d^2 x^\gamma}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} \right) = mc^2 \frac{D}{ds} \frac{dx^\gamma}{ds} = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $\frac{D}{ds}$ is the absolute derivative with respect to the affine connection.

Obviously the autoparallel equation (6) means a free motion of the test spinless particle in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$ with zero absolute acceleration: $a^\gamma = c^2 \frac{D}{ds} \frac{dx^\gamma}{ds} = 0$. This is the most natural translation of the usual dynamics of a test free particle to the ECTG and corresponds to the very physical notion of a "free test particle".

In contrast, the geodesic equations (5) imply the unnatural law of free motion: $ma^\gamma = \mathcal{F}^\gamma$. Hence, we actually introduce a specific "torsion force" $\mathcal{F}^\gamma = 2mc^2 S^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta$ ($u^\alpha = \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds}$ being the particle's four-velocity) to compensate the natural torsion dependence of the dynamics in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$ and to allow the free test particle to follow the usual extreme of the classical action (4).

We shall call the relations like (2) and (5) *equations of geodesic type*, and the relations like (3) and (6) – *equations of autoparallel type*.

The above paradox in description of the free motion of test particles forces one to make a choice what to consider as a more fundamental:

1) the free motion as a motion without external forces of any nature, and hence, with zero absolute acceleration; or

2) the free motion as a motion on geodesic lines with extremely length, according to the *standard* action principle.

It is quite obvious that the first alternative has a more profound physical character. The only argument to chose the second one is the fact, that the action principle follows from quantum mechanics as a fundamental principle for classical motion [6],[7]. But there is no guarantee that the quantum mechanics leads to the usual form of action principle in affine connected spaces with nonzero torsion. Moreover it is found that Feynman path integral leads to the Schrödinger equation of autoparallel type in such spaces [8], [9]. We shall not give here the derivation of the right variational principle in general affine connected spaces from quantum mechanics. Instead in the present article we accept and investigate the first alternative following other reasons.

The autoparallel motion of test particle in ECTG was proposed in [10] and derived from formally modified variational principle as early as in [11]². One has to add that in Weitzenböck affine flat spaces with torsion a new variational principle for classical particle trajectories was found recently [12],[13],[14]. It leads after all to autoparallel motion of the particles and gives a proper development of the concept of "quantum equivalence principle" [8], [15], [16]. Once more a formal modification of the variational principle in spaces with torsion was examined in [17]. Very recently the autoparallel motion of nonrelativistic particle was derived from proper generalization of the Gauss' principle of least constraint in [18].

Nevertheless, at present one can't exclude the possibility for geodesic motion. Therefore we shall take into account this type of motion for spinless matter, too. The point is to develop both conceptual possibilities to the form which will admit a comparison with the experimental evidences, or will recover their theoretical (in)consistency.

For example, there exist the following consistency problem in the affine connected space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(x)\}$. In the Riemannian space the geodesic equation (5) for test particles with mass m follows from the scalar field equation (2) with the same mass in a semiclassical limit, See for example [19]. One expects to see the same property in the case of nonzero torsion in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(x)\}$, too. But the naive generalization of the corresponding procedure does not lead to the expected result. Indeed, representing the field ϕ in a form $\phi = A \exp(i\varphi)$ with some real amplitude A and real phase φ we can write

²We were not able to find the original Timan's article and refer to it following the second article in the reference [11].

down $\square\phi = \phi \left(\frac{\square A}{A} - g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \varphi \partial_\beta \varphi \right) + i \frac{\phi}{A^2} \nabla_\alpha (A^2 g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \varphi)$. Now the autoparallel equation (3) in the semiclassical limit $\frac{\square A}{A} \approx 0$ yields the eikonal equation

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \varphi \partial_\beta \varphi = m^2 \quad (7)$$

which seems to correspond to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for classical action function $S = \hbar\varphi$ of the *geodesic* equation (5), not of the autoparallel one (6), See for details Section 7 of the present article. In addition we reach the autoparallel type of conservation law $\nabla_\alpha j^\alpha = 0$ for the current $j_\alpha = A^2 \nabla_\alpha \varphi$.

We shall give a possible solution of this consistency problem in the last Section of the present article.

2 Transposed-Equi-Affine Theory of Gravity

Recently a new interesting modification of the ECTG was proposed by A. Saa in [20]–[24]. An unexpected solution of the problem with minimal coupling principle *for fields* was discovered. As a result we have at first a possibility to derive in presence of nonzero torsion the same equations of motion for fields using MCP both in action principle and directly in the equations of motion³. It turns out that these equations are equations of autoparallel type and we reach a new theory of fields in Einstein-Cartan spaces, which needs to be developed further. Especially, we have to include in this theory the law of motion of test classical particles and of classical fluids, to be able to reach results, comparable with experimental evidences. This is the subject of the present paper.

The main idea of the articles [20]–[24] is to make the volume-element $d^4 Vol$ compatible with the affine connection in the four-dimensional Einstein-Cartan space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$ using the compatibility condition [24]:

$$\mathcal{L}_v (d^D Vol) = (\nabla_\mu v^\mu) d^D Vol, \quad (8)$$

\mathcal{L}_v being the Lee derivative in direction of the arbitrary vector field v^α , $d^D Vol$ being the volume D-form in the space of dimension D . In the case of ECTG $D = 1 + 3 = 4$, but for a moment we shall write down the formulae for an arbitrary dimension D . It turns out that the condition (8) is consistent if and only if the torsion vector $S_\alpha = \frac{2}{D-1} S_{\alpha\mu}{}^\mu$ ⁴ is potential:

$$S_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha \Theta \equiv \partial_\alpha \Theta, \quad (9)$$

³A similar result was reached very recently in different way in [25]–[27] in the framework of an interesting re-formulation of the standard theory of gravity in terms of Weitzenböck spaces.

⁴We use the Schouten's normalization conventions [5] which differs from the original ones in [20]–[24] and seem to make more apparent some relations.

where $\Theta(x)$ is the corresponding potential. Then the Saa's condition (8) leads to the form:

$$d^D Vol = f(x) d^D x = e^{-(D-1)\Theta} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x \quad (10)$$

of the volume element compatible with the affine connection in Einstein-Cartan space.

Up to the inessential choice of the normalization of the Θ field the same volume element was used in [8] to ensure the hermicity of the quantum hamiltonian in the Schrödinger equation of autoparallel type under condition (9), too.⁵

The geometrical and the physical meaning of the Saa's compatibility condition (8) is not completely clear. In the original articles [20]–[24] it is commented in a slightly incorrect way as a condition for covariantly constant volume under parallel displacement in the affine space. Indeed, from condition (8) it follows that $\partial_\alpha f - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\mu f = 0$ for the scalar density $f = e^{-(D-1)\Theta} \sqrt{|g|}$, but this means that it is covariantly constant with respect to the *transposed* connection with coefficients $(\Gamma^T)_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma$, i.e. $\nabla_\alpha^T f = 0$. In presence of nonzero torsion this is definitely different from the condition $\nabla_\alpha f = \partial_\alpha f - \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\mu f = 0$, which is fulfilled in the Einstein-Cartan spaces for the usual volume element with $f_0 = \sqrt{|g|}$ [5]. In other words, in Einstein-Cartan spaces the usual volume element is covariantly constant due to the metric compatibility of the connection and there is no need to make any changes to ensure constancy of the volume under parallel displacement with respect to the basic metric connection. As we see, one still has to recover the true meaning of the Saa's compatibility condition (8).

The affine space is called *equi-affine* if the volume element is covariantly constant, i.e. if $\nabla_\alpha f = 0$. But this is not the case for Saa's condition (8), which is equivalent to the similar relation with respect to the transposed connection: $\nabla_\alpha^T f = 0$. Therefore we shall call the affine space *transposed-equi-affine*, when the condition (8) is fulfilled. The corresponding theory of gravity will be called a *transposed-equi-affine theory of gravity* (TEATG).

The most important mathematical consequence of the condition (8) is the following generalized Gauss' formula:

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^D Vol (\nabla_\mu v^\mu) = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^{D-1} \Sigma_\mu v^\mu. \quad (11)$$

This formula leads to the autoparallel type of equations of motion for all kind of fields in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$, derived from the standard action principle for a *nonstandard action integral*:

$$\mathcal{A}_{tot} = \mathcal{A}_G + \mathcal{A}_M = \frac{1}{c} \int d^4 Vol \mathcal{L}_G + \frac{1}{c} \int d^4 Vol \mathcal{L}_M. \quad (12)$$

⁵Essentially the same volume element, but described in a different form was used to ensure the hermicity of the quantum hamiltonian for hydrogen in Kustaanheimo-Stiefel coordinates as early as in [28]. This procedure for hydrogen leads actually to a space with torsion, See [8] and the references therein.

In accordance with the formula (10) here $d^4Vol = e^{-3\Theta}\sqrt{|g|}d^4x$. Hence, due to the form of the volume element in TEATG the lagrangian densities for gravity and for matter are

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_G &= e^{-3\Theta}\sqrt{|g|}\mathcal{L}_G, \\ \Lambda_M &= e^{-3\Theta}\sqrt{|g|}\mathcal{L}_M.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Using the standard conventions we write down the lagrangian of gravity:

$$\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{c^2}{2\kappa}R = -\frac{c^2}{2\kappa}\left(\overset{\{\}}{R} + 6\nabla_\mu S^\mu + 12S_\mu S^\mu - \tilde{K}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{K}^{\mu\nu\lambda}\right),\tag{14}$$

c being the velocity of light, κ being the Einstein constant. As usual here $R = g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}$, $R_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\mu\alpha\beta}{}^\mu$, $R_{\alpha\beta\mu}{}^\nu = 2\left(\partial_{[\alpha}\Gamma_{\beta]\mu}^\nu + \Gamma_{[\alpha|\sigma|}^\nu\Gamma_{\beta]\mu}^\sigma\right)$ are the scalar curvature, Ricci tensor and curvature tensor of the affine connection; $\tilde{K}_{\mu\nu\lambda} = K_{\mu\nu\lambda} + 2g_{\mu[\nu}S_{\lambda]}$ is the traceless part of the contorsion: $\tilde{K}^\mu{}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{K}^\mu{}_{\nu\mu} \equiv 0$. It is connected with the nonzero spin matter and vanish in vacuum, or in presence only of spin zero matter [20].

In the present article we will include only spin zero matter in the lagrangian \mathcal{L}_M . Therefore we put $\tilde{K}_{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv 0$. This leads to a semi-symmetric affine connection [5]:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma = S_{[\alpha}\delta_{\beta]}^\gamma.\tag{15}$$

The basic properties of this special type of affine geometry under additional condition (9) are given in the Appendix.

Then using the generalized Gauss' formula (11) we obtain the variations of the action of gravity and action of matter with respect to metric g and torsion potential Θ :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_g\mathcal{A}_G &= -\frac{c}{2\kappa}\int d^4Vol\ \delta g^{\mu\nu}(G_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu S_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\sigma S^\sigma), \\ \delta_g\mathcal{A}_M &= \frac{1}{2c}\int d^4Vol\ \delta g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}, \\ \delta_\Theta\mathcal{A}_G &= \frac{3c}{2\kappa}\int d^4Vol\ \delta\Theta(R + 2\nabla_\sigma S^\sigma), \\ \delta_\Theta\mathcal{A}_M &= -\frac{3}{c}\int d^4Vol\ \delta\Theta\left(\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Theta}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

and the equations of motion for the geometric fields $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and Θ in a form:

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\Theta - g_{\mu\nu}\square\Theta &= \frac{\kappa}{c^2}T_{\mu\nu}, \\ \square\Theta &= \frac{\kappa}{c^2}\left(\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Theta}\right) - \frac{1}{2}R.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Here $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor for the affine connection, its trace is $G = g^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} = -R$; $T_{\mu\nu} = \delta\mathcal{L}_M/\delta g^{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric energy-momentum tensor of the matter, its trace is $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$; and in accordance with the relation (9) $\nabla_\sigma S^\sigma = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\Theta = \square\Theta$.

Two types of additional relations may be derived from the equations (17):

1) Algebraic consequences:

Taking trace of the both sides of the first equation, and combining the result with the second one we obtain

$$\nabla_\sigma S^\sigma = \square\Theta = -\frac{2\kappa}{c^2}\left(\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Theta} + \frac{1}{2}T\right) \quad (18)$$

and

$$R = \frac{2\kappa}{c^2}\left(3\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Theta} + T\right). \quad (19)$$

The equation (18) shows that under proper boundary conditions in presence only of spinless matter the torsion is completely determined by its distribution. Therefore it is convenient to use this equation as an equation of motion instead of the second equation in the system (17) [20].

The equation (19) shows that in the case under consideration the Cartan scalar curvature is completely determined by the matter distribution, too.

2) Differential consequences:

Calculating the covariant divergence of the both sides of the first equation and taking into account:

- i) the definition of the Einstein tensor $G_{\alpha\beta}$;
 - ii) the identity $\nabla_{[\alpha}\nabla_{\beta]}v^\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{2}R_{\alpha\beta\sigma}{}^\gamma v^\sigma - S_{\alpha\beta}{}^\sigma\nabla_\sigma v^\gamma$ which takes place for arbitrary vector field v^γ ;
 - iii) the identity $\nabla_\sigma G_\alpha^\sigma + 2G_\alpha^\sigma S_\sigma = 0$ which follows from the Bianchi identity for semi-symmetric connection: $\nabla_{[\lambda}R_{\alpha\beta]\mu}{}^\nu = -2S_{[\lambda}R_{\alpha\beta]\mu}{}^\nu$ – see Appendix;
- we derive a new important consequence from dynamical equations (17):

$$\nabla_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma + T_\alpha^\sigma S_\sigma = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa}RS_\alpha. \quad (20)$$

This equation gives one more relation between torsion and matter distribution which is not studied in TEATG up to now. It is a generalization of the well known local conservation law $\overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma = 0$ for energy-momentum tensor in general relativity, where $S_\alpha \equiv 0$ and may be rewritten in a form

$$\overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma = 3\left(T_\alpha^\sigma + \left(\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Theta}\right)\delta_\alpha^\sigma\right)S_\sigma. \quad (21)$$

To have a complete set of dynamical equations one has to add to the above relations the equations of motion of the very matter. This will be done in the next sections by proper choice of the matter lagrangian and of the corresponding variational principle.

3 Scalar field in TEATG

Consider the scalar field $\phi(x)$ with lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(x)\nabla_\mu\phi(x)\nabla_\nu\phi(x) - \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi^2(x) - V(\phi(x)). \quad (22)$$

Putting $\mathcal{L}_M = \mathcal{L}_\phi$ in the action (12) and using usual variational principle based on the generalized Gauss' formula (11) we obtain the autoparallel type of field equation

$$\square\phi + m_\phi^2\phi + V'(\phi) = 0. \quad (23)$$

The energy-momentum tensor and its trace are:

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla_\beta\phi - g_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{L}_\phi, \quad T = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi - 4\mathcal{L}_\phi. \quad (24)$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Theta} + \frac{1}{2}T = \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi^2 + V(\phi)$ and $3\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_M}{\delta\Theta} + T = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi + \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi^2 + V(\phi)$. Hence,

$$\square\Theta = -\frac{2\kappa}{c^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi^2 + V(\phi)\right), \quad (25)$$

$$R = \frac{2\kappa}{c^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi + \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi^2 + V(\phi)\right). \quad (26)$$

As a consequence we obtain the universal relation

$$\square\Theta + R = \frac{\kappa}{c^2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi \quad (27)$$

which does not depend on the mass $m_\phi = \text{const}$ and on the self-interaction $V(\phi)$ of the scalar field ϕ . The equation of motion (23) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma &= 2S_\alpha^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi = \left(g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi\delta_\alpha^\sigma - \nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla^\sigma\phi\right)S_\sigma, \quad \text{or} \\ \nabla_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma &= 3S_\sigma\nabla^\sigma\phi\nabla_\alpha\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Substitution of this result into relation (20) and the use of the equation (26) shows that in the case of scalar field with arbitrary mass m_ϕ and arbitrary self-interaction $V(\phi)$ the equation (20) is identically fulfilled in TEATG.

One may turn back the last result: the equation of motion (23) of the scalar field with an energy-momentum tensor (24) may be derived from relation (20) which follows from the Bianchi identity (76) in TEATG just in the same way as in the general relativity. In other words, the nonlinear field equations for geometric fields (17) via the Bianchi identity (76) imply the equation of motion (23) of the scalar field defined by the energy-momentum tensor (24). Hence, the matter field equation (23) may be considered as a compatibility condition of the equations (17) for the geometric fields $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and Θ .

4 Relativistic Fluid in TEATG

The change of such a basic notion as the volume element in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$, as suggested in [20]-[24], requires a very careful analysis.

In the framework of TEATG we develop the relativistic fluid's theory using both Euler variables $x = \{x^\alpha\}$ of the local frame, and Lagrange variables \vec{r} of the co-moving frame following the reference [29] (but in the slightly different notations of the reference [30] which are accepted throughout the present article). We denote by $u^\alpha(x)$ the velocity field, normalized according to the equation $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = 1$. Let $x^\alpha(t, \vec{r})$ denote the trajectory of a fluid's particle in Lagrange variables. Then the relation $\dot{x}^\alpha = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu} u^\alpha(x)$ when considered as a system of ordinary differential equations for $x^\alpha(t, \vec{r})$ under initial conditions $t_{in} = 0, \{x_{in}^{\alpha=1,2,3}\} = \vec{r}$, according to Liouville theorem imply the equality:

$$\partial_\alpha \left(J^{-1} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu} u^\alpha \right) = 0, \quad (29)$$

where $J = \frac{D(x(t, \vec{r}))}{D(t, \vec{r})}$ is the jacobian of the transition from Euler to Lagrange variables. The existence of this result reflects only the structure of the space $\mathcal{M}^{(4)} \ni \{x^\alpha\}$ as a differentiable manifold and does not depend on its metric, or on the affine connection⁶. In this sense it presents an universal relation.

The lagrangian of the fluid with internal pressure p will be taken in standard form:

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu = -\varepsilon = -\mu c^2 - \mu \Pi, \quad (30)$$

$\mu(x)$ being properly defined fluid's density in Euler variables, Π being the elastic potential energy of the fluid: $d\Pi = -pd(\frac{1}{\mu})$, where the symbol " d " denote a differential form, which is not exact.

The main problem of the present theory of the relativistic fluid is the choice of the continuity condition, which together with the lagrangian (30) actually defines what we mean by "fluid", as well as the choice of the variational principle for fluid's particles' trajectories. As we shall see, there exist different possibilities and at present we are not able to reach unambiguously a theory of particles in the TEATG. Moreover, due to the choice of the fluid's definition a different interpretations of the very theory are possible.

The continuity condition describes the conservation of the fluid's matter. In its four dimensional form it reads

$$\int_{\partial\Delta^{(1,3)}} d^3\Sigma_\alpha \mu(x) u^\alpha(x) = 0, \quad (31)$$

⁶The metric tensor enters into equation (29) "incidentally" because of the normalization of the four-velocity field $u^\alpha(x)$.

where $d^3\Sigma_\alpha$ is a proper three-dimensional surface element, which depends on the choice of the four-dimensional volume element d^4Vol via the Gauss' formula (11), and $\Delta^{(1,3)} \in \mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$ is an arbitrary domain. The relation (31) shows that the continuity condition actually does not depend on the very metric and connection of the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$, but just on the choice of the volume element ⁷.

4.1 Relativistic Fluid in a Strict Saa's Model

If we take seriously the volume element (10) as an universal volume element in TEATG, we must use it in the continuity condition, too. Then according to generalized Gauss' formula (11) we can rewrite the relation (31) as $\int_{\Delta^{(1,3)}} d^4Vol \nabla_\alpha (\mu(x)u^\alpha(x)) = 0$, or in a form the following continuity equation of an *autoparallel* type:

$$\nabla_\alpha (\mu(x)u^\alpha(x)) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Now, the comparison of the universal relation (29) with the equation (32), written in a form $(e^{-3\theta}\sqrt{|g|})^{-1} \partial_\alpha (e^{-3\theta}\sqrt{|g|}\mu(x)u^\alpha(x)) = 0$, brings us to the following explicit expression for the fluid's density in Lagrange variables:

$$\mu = \mu_0(\vec{r}) \left(J e^{-3\theta} \sqrt{|g|} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}. \quad (33)$$

Here $\mu_0(\vec{r})$ is the fluid's density in the co-moving system (i.e. $\mu_0(\vec{r})$ is the analog of the rest mass of the particles).

As a consequence we obtain the action for single particle from the fluid's action $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \int d^4Vol \mathcal{L}_\mu$ putting into formula (30) $\Pi = 0$ and into equation (33) $\mu_0(\vec{r}) = m_0 \delta(\vec{r})$. This way we reach the action integral (4) which does not feel the torsion of the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$ in contrast to the case of action integrals for fields in TEATG. Actually the action integral for dust matter ($\Pi = 0$) does not feel the torsion, too, due to the relation (33) which follows the continuity equation (32) based on the volume element (10).

Then, using equations (12), (16), (30), (33) and the procedure described in [29], we reach the usual form of the fluid's energy-momentum tensor [29], [30]:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\alpha\beta} &= (\varepsilon + p)u^\alpha u^\beta - p g^{\alpha\beta}, \\ T &= \varepsilon - 3p. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

After an additional calculation which gives $\mathcal{L}_\mu - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_\mu}{\delta \Theta} = p$ we are ready to write down explicitly the equations of motion (17) of geometric fields $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and Θ

⁷The relation (31) may be rewritten in the language of differential forms using Hodge star operator which itself depends just on the choice of the volume element [24].

and the additional relations (19), (20), (21) in presence of fluid:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} + (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \square) \Theta &= \frac{\kappa}{c^2} \left((\varepsilon + p) u^\mu u^\nu - p g^{\mu\nu} \right), \\ \square \Theta &= -\frac{\kappa}{c^2} (\varepsilon - p); \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$R = \frac{2\kappa}{c^2} \varepsilon, \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma &= (\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\alpha^\sigma - u^\sigma u_\alpha) S_\sigma, \quad \text{or} \\ \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma &= 3(\varepsilon + p) u^\sigma u_\alpha S_\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

To derive the fluid's equation of motion we need to calculate the variation $\delta_x \mathcal{A}_\mu = \int d^4 \text{Vol} \delta_x \mathcal{L}_\mu$ under variation of the trajectories of fluid's particles. The key step in this direction is the calculation of the variation $\delta_x \mu$, which may be represented according to the equality (33) in a form:

$$\delta_x \mu = \delta_x \mu_1 + \delta_x \mu_2 = -\mu \frac{\delta_x (J e^{-3\theta} \sqrt{|g|})}{(J e^{-3\theta} \sqrt{|g|})} + \mu \frac{\delta_x (\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu})}{\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}}. \quad (38)$$

We obtain for the first term $\delta_x \mu_1 = -\nabla_\alpha (\mu \delta x^\alpha)$ applying the corresponding procedure of the reference [29] to the formula (33). This result is determined completely by the choice of the volume element (10) in the continuity condition (31).

The second term $\delta_x \mu_2 = \mu \frac{\delta_x (\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu})}{\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}}$ requires a justification of the variational principle for particle trajectories. If we accept the usual variational principle with fixed boundary for particle trajectories, as we did for fields according to the articles [20]-[24], we will have

$$\delta_x \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{d}{dt} \delta_x = 0. \quad (39)$$

Then we obtain a geodesic motion for a single free test particle according to the equation (5) with torsion force $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = mc^2 (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \nabla_\beta \Theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x \mu &= -\nabla_\alpha (\mu \delta x^\alpha) + \mu u_\alpha u^\beta \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\beta (\delta x^\alpha) \\ &= -\nabla_\alpha \left(\mu (\delta_\beta^\alpha - u^\alpha u_\beta) \delta x^\beta \right) - \left(\mu u^\beta \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\beta u_\alpha \right) \delta x^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Now we calculate the variation $\delta_x \mathcal{L}_\mu = -\delta_x \varepsilon$ of the lagrangian (30). A straightforward generalization of the procedure, described for this purpose in [29] in which one must take into account the new relation (40) results to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x \mathcal{L}_\mu &= \nabla_\alpha \left((\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\beta^\alpha - u^\alpha u_\beta) \delta x^\beta \right) \\ &+ \left((\varepsilon + p) u^\beta \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\beta u_\alpha - (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \partial_\beta p \right) \delta x^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Hence, using the standard variational principle $\delta_x \mathcal{A}_{tot} = 0$, based on the generalized Gauss' formula (11), one reaches the following equation of motion of *geodesic* type for the fluid:

$$(\varepsilon + p)u^\beta \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\beta u_\alpha = (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\beta p. \quad (42)$$

Making use of the formula (9) we can write down this equation in a form

$$(\varepsilon + p)u^\beta \nabla_\beta u_\alpha = (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \left(\nabla_\beta p + (\varepsilon + p) \nabla_\beta \Theta \right). \quad (43)$$

It is not hard to check that the additional condition (37) follows from the equations (34) and (43). We may convert this statement and derive the fluid's equation of motion (43) from the equation (34) as a definition of the energy-momentum tensor and from the relation (37), which follows the Bianchi identity just as in the general relativity.

We see that the Saa's program to comply the use of the MCP in the equations of motion with the use of the MCP in the action principle introducing a new universal volume element (10) fails in the case of relativistic fluid. If we use the new volume element in the continuity condition, we get continuity equation (32) of autoparallel type, but from a standard variational principle with the same volume element in action integral we obtain the geodesic type equation of motion (43) with torsion force density $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = (\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \nabla_\beta \Theta$.

Hence, the Saa's program turns to be not self-consistent in its original form. Different modifications of this program may be suggested.

4.2 Modification of the Variational Principle for Particles

We may try to overcome the above described problem modifying the variational principle for particles in presence of torsion. Following the reference [11], we can *postulate* instead of the commutation relation (39) the new one⁸:

$$\left(\delta_x \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{d}{dt} \delta_x \right) x^\alpha = 2S_{\mu\nu}{}^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \delta x^\nu. \quad (44)$$

The same commutation relation was *derived* in presence of nonzero torsion in a teleparallel Weitzenböck space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$ (i.e. when Cartan curvature tensor vanish) in [12], [13] and used in the case of relativistic particles in [14] to derive the autoparallel type equations of motion (4) in this case. Unfortunately, in the general case with nonzero torsion and nonzero Cartan curvature is not clear up to now how to prove that the relation (44) take place, or that it must be replaced with some more general one. Therefore in the present article we

⁸Recently in [15], [17] it was proposed once more to postulate the commutation relation (44).

briefly outline only some possible consequences of this modification of variational principle for particles trajectories.

The basic new result which follows from equation (44) is that now we obtain for the term $\delta_x \mu_2$ in formula (38) $\delta_x \mu_2 = \mu u_\alpha u^\beta \nabla_\beta (\delta x^\alpha)$. Hence, now

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x \mathcal{L}_\mu &= \nabla_\alpha \left((\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\beta^\alpha - u^\alpha u_\beta) \delta x^\beta \right) \\ &+ \left((\varepsilon + p) u^\beta \nabla_\beta u_\alpha - (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \partial_\beta p \right) \delta x^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and the modified variational principle for fluid's particles after all brings us to the equation of motion of an *autoparallel* type:

$$(\varepsilon + p) u^\beta \nabla_\beta u_\alpha = (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \nabla_\beta p. \quad (46)$$

Together with the continuity equation (32) the new equation of motion (46) leads to the following local conservation law for the fluid's energy-momentum tensor (34):

$$\nabla_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma = 0, \quad (47)$$

which looks like a natural generalization of the corresponding local conservation law in the general relativity.

But in contrast to the previous case of geodesic motion of the fluid the autoparallel equation (46) is compatible with the identity (37) only if the additional requirement $(\delta_\alpha^\sigma - u^\sigma u_\alpha) S_\sigma = 0$ is fulfilled, i.e. if the torsion vector is parallel to the velocity of the fluid:

$$S_\alpha = -\sigma u_\alpha, \quad (48)$$

$\sigma(x)$ being some new scalar field to be determined. Then the condition (9) permits us to rewrite the relation (48) in a form $d\Theta = -\sigma u_\alpha dx^\alpha$ which according to the Frobenius theorem yields the restriction $\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda} u_\mu \partial_\nu u_\lambda \equiv 0$ for the four-velocity u_α of the fluid.

The equation of motion of the field Θ in the system (35) together with continuity equation (32) gives an equation for the field σ : $u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha (\frac{\sigma}{\mu}) = \kappa \frac{\varepsilon - p}{\mu c^2}$. It may be solved in Lagrange variables in a form $\sigma = \kappa \mu \int \frac{\varepsilon - p}{\mu c^2} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu} dt$. In the case of a dust matter this gives $\sigma = \kappa \mu \int \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu} dt$ and shows that in the variant of theory under consideration the point particle will look like a specific space-time (autoparallel) line defect with torsion vector defined by relation (48) which is similar but not identical to space-time dislocation [31].

4.3 Theory with Usual Volume Element in the Continuity Condition for Fluid

Another type of modification of the Saa's original idea may be reached if we accept to use the usual volume element $dV_0 = d^4x\sqrt{|g|}$ in the integral form of the continuity condition (31) and the Saa's modified volume element (10) in the action integrals (12).

The same consideration as in Section 4.1 now gives the continuity equation

$$\nabla_\alpha^T (\mu(x)u^\alpha(x)) \equiv \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\alpha (\mu(x)u^\alpha(x)) = 0. \quad (49)$$

It may be interpreted both as an equation of autoparallel type with respect to the transposed affine connection, or as a geodesic type of relation, and for the fluid's density imply the equality

$$\mu = \mu_0(\vec{r}) \left(J\sqrt{|g|} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu} \quad (50)$$

instead of the relation (33).

Putting this expression into the standard fluid's lagrangian (30) we derive the same form (34) of the fluid's energy-momentum tensor because the new fluid's density (50) depends on the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ in the same way as the density (33)⁹. But in contrast to (33) the fluid's density (50) does not depend on the torsion potential Θ and this yields a new fluid's dynamics. As a result the very fluid's lagrangian (30) becomes independent of torsion potential and the whole dependence of the fluid's action on it goes into the factor $e^{-3\theta}$ in the Lagrange density $\Lambda_\mu = e^{-3\theta}\sqrt{|g|}\mathcal{L}_\mu$ just as in the case of other fields in formulae (13). In particular, we get for the single particle an action

$$\mathcal{A}[x(t)] = -mc \int e^{-3\theta} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(x(t))\dot{x}^\mu(t)\dot{x}^\nu(t)} dt = -mc \int e^{-3\theta} ds \quad (51)$$

instead of the action (4).

The fluid's density (50) is precisely the same as in the general relativity. Hence, if we accept the standard variational principle based on the commutation relation (39) for particles, we can share without changes the result of the reference [29]

⁹ In the variant of the theory developed in the present section we would have to replace the Saa's volume element d^4Vol with the usual one d^4Vol_0 in the second of the equations (16) which defines the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$, too. Then the factor $e^{-3\Theta}$ would be absorbed in it and the formulae with $T_{\mu\nu}$ would be changed correspondingly. Here we prefer to keep as far as possible an uniform treatment of all variants of the TEATG and therefore we use without changes the second of the equations (16) as a definition of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$.

for the variation of the fluid's lagrangian and rewrite it in terms of the affine connection:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x \mathcal{L}_\mu = & \\ \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\alpha \left((\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\beta^\alpha - u^\alpha u_\beta) \delta x^\beta \right) + \left((\varepsilon + p) u^\beta \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\beta u_\alpha - (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \partial_\beta p \right) \delta x^\alpha = & \\ \nabla_\alpha \left((\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \delta x^\beta \right) + & \\ \left((\varepsilon + p) u^\beta \nabla_\beta u_\alpha - (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) (\nabla_\beta p - 2(\varepsilon + p) \nabla_\beta \Theta) \right) \delta x^\alpha. & \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

This yields the fluid's equation of motion

$$(\varepsilon + p) u^\beta \nabla_\beta u_\alpha = (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \nabla_\beta p + \mathcal{F}_\alpha \quad (53)$$

which is not of autoparallel type, nor of geodesic one and includes the torsion force density $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = -2(\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \nabla_\beta \Theta$.¹⁰

Now we have $\mathcal{L}_\mu - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_\mu}{\delta \Theta} = -\varepsilon$. Then the equations of motion (17) of the geometric fields $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and Θ and the additional relations (19), (20), (21) in presence of fluid with density (50) are:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} + (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \square) \Theta &= \frac{\kappa}{c^2} \left((\varepsilon + p) u_\mu u_\nu - p g_{\mu\nu} \right), \\ \square \Theta &= \frac{\kappa}{c^2} (\varepsilon + 3p); \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$R = -\frac{2\kappa}{c^2} (2\varepsilon + 3p), \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma &= -(\varepsilon + p) (2\delta_\alpha^\sigma + u^\sigma u_\alpha) S_\sigma, \quad \text{or} \\ \overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma &= -3(\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) S_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

The equation of motion (53) is compatible with the identity (56) without any additional restrictions and may be derived using this identity, the dynamical equations (54) of the geometric fields, the form of the energy-momentum tensor (34), and the continuity equation (49).

One must confess that this modification of the Saa's program is not completely successful, due to the torsion force \mathcal{F}_α in the equation (53). Nevertheless it is quite curious, because it leads to a new physical interpretation of the TEATG, as we shall see in the Section 6 of present article.

¹⁰We shall not write down the fluid's equation of motion which one reaches if one uses once more the modified variational principle with the commutation relation (44). In this case the first covariant derivative in the equation (52) will be $\overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\alpha$, and the second one $-\nabla_\alpha$. As a result the corresponding equation will have the form (53) but the torsion force will have a coefficient $3 = D - 1$ instead of the coefficient $2 = D - 2$. Hence, the four variants of fluid's theory in TEATG, described in present article yield the torsion force $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = -q(\varepsilon + p) (\delta_\alpha^\beta - u_\alpha u^\beta) \nabla_\beta \Theta$ with $q = -1, 0, 2, 3$ in the fluid's equation (53).

5 Local Energy-Momentum Conservation

In the previous Sections we saw that in TEATG both the absolute divergence of the matter energy-momentum tensor with respect to the basic affine connection and its absolute divergence with respect to the Levi-Cevita one do not vanish in general – See equations (28), (37), and (56). The only exception was the second variant of fluid's theory – See equation (47), where an additional restriction (48) on the torsion vector occurs.

It is well known that in the general relativity still exist some difficulties with the conservation of the energy-momentum. At present stage of affairs the corresponding situation in TEATG is worst – we have no even a local conservation of these fundamental physical quantities. But there is a chance to have at least a local conservation law

1) of autoparallel type:

$$\nabla_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma = 0 \quad (57)$$

if in addition we superimpose the condition

$$T_\alpha^\sigma S_\sigma = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa} R S_\alpha \quad (58)$$

for nontrivial solutions of the torsion field equation; or

2) of geodesic type:

$$\overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\sigma T_\alpha^\sigma = 0 \quad (59)$$

for nontrivial solutions if in addition we superimpose the condition

$$T_\alpha^\sigma S_\sigma = - \left(\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Theta} \right) S_\alpha. \quad (60)$$

These additional requirements mean that to have a local conservation law similar to that one in general relativity the torsion vector must be an eigenvector of the matter's energy-momentum tensor with eigenvalue $\frac{c^2}{2\kappa} R = \left(3\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Theta} + T \right)$, or $(-1) \left(\mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Theta} \right)$ respectively.

The local conservation laws (57) and (59) lead to different consequences. A priori it is not obvious which one of them to chose in TEATG, if any. There exists a possibility to superimpose some other additional restriction on the torsion vector, too. If we require no additional conditions like (58), or (60), developing the theory only on the bases of the equation (20), this would mean that we have to look for a new conservation law of the energy-momentum of the whole system, including a properly defined energy-momentum of the geometric fields $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and Θ , i.e. we will be forced to associate with these fields some new *physical* degrees of freedom which carry a part of the energy-momentum of the whole

system of matter and geometric fields. For a sensible decision of this problem a further development of the physical content of the theory is needed. We could make the right choice between different alternatives only after considering the corresponding consequences for some specific physical problems. In addition the compatibility of the accepted constraints (if any) with the previous equations must be investigated. In the present article we give only some preliminary notes on the last problems.

5.0.1 The Case of Scalar field

It turns out that the scalar field energy-momentum tensor (24) has got two different eigenvalues, precisely these we need: 1) the eigenvalue $\frac{c^2}{2\kappa}R$ – with eigenvector $\nabla_\alpha\phi = \partial_\alpha\phi$; and 2) the eigenvalue $(-1)\left(\mathcal{L}_\phi - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi}{\delta\Theta}\right)$ – with eigenvector t_α – an arbitrary vector which is orthogonal to $\nabla_\alpha\phi$: $g^{\alpha\beta}t_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi = 0$. Hence, for scalar field both additional conditions (58) and (60) are possible. Then:

- a) In the case of the condition (58) we will have $S_\alpha = \partial_\alpha\Theta = -\sigma\partial_\alpha\phi$, i.e. the torsion vector must be longitudinal with respect to $\nabla_\alpha\phi$, which imply $\Theta = \Theta(\phi)$.
- b) In the case of the condition (60) we will have $g^{\alpha\beta}S_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi = g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\Theta\nabla_\beta\phi = 0$, i.e. the torsion vector must be transversal with respect to $\nabla_\alpha\phi$.

In both cases the dynamics simplifies significantly and seems to be compatible with the additional conditions, but this needs further study.

5.0.2 The Case of Spinless fluid

The energy-momentum tensor (34) for the fluid in all cases of fluid's dynamics has two different eigenvalues, too, precisely: 1) the eigenvalue ε – with eigenvector u_α ; and 2) the eigenvalue $(-p)$ – with eigenvector t_α – an arbitrary vector which is orthogonal to $\nabla_\alpha\phi$: $g^{\alpha\beta}t_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi = 0$. Next consideration depends on the variant of fluid's dynamics we accept:

I. In the case of fluid's dynamics described in Section 4.1 we have $\frac{c^2}{2\kappa}R = \varepsilon$, $(-1)\left(\mathcal{L}_\mu - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_\mu}{\delta\Theta}\right) = -p$. Hence, we may superimpose each of the conditions (58), or (60). Then:

a) In the case of the condition (58) we will have $S_\alpha = \partial_\alpha\Theta = -\sigma u_\alpha$, i.e. the torsion vector must be longitudinal with respect to the four-velocity u_α of the fluid.

b) In the case of the condition (60) we will have $g^{\alpha\beta}S_\alpha u_\beta = 0$, i.e. the torsion vector must be transversal with respect to the four-velocity u_α of the fluid.

II. In the case of fluid's dynamics described in Section 4.2 the modified action principle for fluid's particles yields the condition (58). Then it is the only possible additional condition and leads to the torsion vector which is longitudinal with respect to the four-velocity u_α of the fluid.

III. In the case of fluid's dynamics described in Section 4.3 we have $\frac{c^2}{2\kappa}R = 2\varepsilon + 3p$ which *is not* an eigenvalue of the energy-momentum tensor, and the only possibility to superimpose an additional condition of the discussed kind is to use the eigenvector u_α which this time corresponds to the eigenvalue $(-1)\left(\mathcal{L}_\mu - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_\mu}{\delta\Theta}\right) = \varepsilon$. Hence, now the only possible additional requirement is the condition (60), which leads to the longitudinal torsion vector with respect to the four-velocity u_α and to the local energy-momentum conservation law which is compatible with the use of the *usual volume element* in its integral form.

As we see, all types of fluid's dynamics under consideration permit a longitudinal torsion vector. Under this additional condition in all cases the torsion force density vanish and we will reach the autoparallel equation of motion for the fluid (46) with the restriction $\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda}u_\mu\partial_\nu u_\lambda \equiv 0$ on the four-velocity field u_α . Hence, the autoparallel motion for the fluid may be reached with the help of these additional requirements.

In the only case I.b when torsion vector may be transversal, the torsion force density will have the form $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = (\varepsilon + p)\nabla_\alpha\Theta$.

The results of the present Section show that the additional conditions like relations (58), or (60) which are needed in TEATG to ensure the local conservation of the energy-momentum of matter only, are possible from algebraic point of view. Their physical consequences and their compatibility with the full set of dynamical equations need further investigation.

6 A Possible Interpretation of the Torsion Potential Θ in TEATG

The variant of fluid's dynamics described in Section 4.3 deserves a special attention because it admits a new curious interpretation of TEATG. In it we have to deal with two volume elements: the usual one $d^4Vol_0 = d^4x\sqrt{|g|}$, and the modified one $d^4Vol = d^4x\sqrt{|g|}e^{-3\Theta}$. The usual volume element is needed for calculations of integrals when we study the conservation of the fluid's matter and energy-momentum. The modified volume element is used *only* for calculations of the corresponding *action integrals* for geometric fields, for matter fields, and for particles (See formulae (12),(22),(30),(51)). According to the articles [20] – [24] we have the same result for the other matter fields: for gauge fields and for spinor fields. The kinetic part of their lagrangians do not depend on the torsion potential Θ (according to the MCP in the lagrangian of spinor fields one uses the full affine connection in the space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x)\}$, but because of the specific structure of the kinetic part of this lagrangian only the traceless part of the contorsion tensor $\tilde{K}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$ enters in it). Just the same happens in action of the spinless fluid and of the spinless particles if we choose the third variant of dynam-

ics for them. Then the formulae (13) show that in such a variant of TEATG the torsion potential Θ will enter into the action of all matter fields and particles under consideration uniformly – via the multiplier $e^{-3\Theta}$ in the lagrangian densities $\Lambda_M = e^{-3\Theta} \sqrt{|g|} \mathcal{L}_M$. In the same manner it enters into the action of the geometric fields for which $\Lambda_G = e^{-3\Theta} \sqrt{|g|} \mathcal{L}_G$, but here exists an additional dependence on the potential Θ , because it appears in the lagrangian \mathcal{L}_G , too (See formula (14)).

This situation calls for a new interpretation of the torsion potential Θ as a quantity which describes the space-time variations of the Plank "constant" according to the law

$$\hbar(x) = \hbar_\infty e^{3\Theta(x)}, \quad (61)$$

\hbar_∞ being the Plank constant in vacuum far from matter¹¹.

Indeed, according to the first principles described in [6], [7], we actually need lagrangians and action integrals to write down the quantum transition amplitude in a form of Feynman path integral on the histories of all fields and particles. In the variant of TEATG under consideration it has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{D} \left(g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Theta(x), \phi(x), x(t), \dots \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{\hbar_\infty} \int d^4x (\Lambda_G + \Lambda_M) \right) = \\ & \int \mathcal{D} \left(g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Theta(x), \phi(x), x(t), \dots \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{\hbar_\infty} \int d^4x e^{-3\Theta(x)} (L_G + L_M) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Now it is obvious that in TEATG the very Plank constant \hbar may be included in the factor $e^{(D-1)\Theta(x)}$, but more important is the observation that we must do this, because the presence of this *uniform* factor in the formula (62) means that we actually introduce a local Plank "constant" at each point of the space-time. Indeed, if the geometric field $\Theta(x)$ changes slowly in a cosmic scales, then in the framework of the small domain of the laboratory we will see an effective "constant": $\hbar(x) \approx \hbar_\infty e^{3\Theta(x_{laboratory})} = const = \hbar$.

It can be easily seen that the Saa's model for geometric fields $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Theta(x)$ in vacuum is equivalent to the Brans-Dicke theory [32], [33] in vacuum with parameter $\omega = -\frac{4}{3} = -\frac{D}{D-1}$. The corresponding Brans-Dicke scalar field $\Phi = e^{-(D-1)\Theta(x)}$ in vacuum replaces the Θ field in Saa's model. It is well known that the solutions for the scalar field in Brans-Dicke theory outside the matter go fast to a constant [32], [33]. Hence, the same property will have the Θ field in Saa's model and the value of this field far from matter is some constant Θ_∞ which may be incorporated in a natural way into the value of Plank constant. If we do this, we may accept the value $\Theta_\infty \equiv 0$ as an universal asymptotic value of the Θ field outside the matter, and the standard experimental value of the Plank constant approximately as an asymptotic value \hbar_∞ of the new field $\hbar(x)$.

¹¹In space-time with dimension D we will have $\hbar(x) = \hbar_\infty e^{(D-1)\Theta(x)}$.

This change of the physical interpretation of the theory is quite serious and needs detailed consideration. In the present article we shall give only some preliminary remarks.

1. The new interpretation make us free of the unpleasant necessity to deal with two volume elements in the same theory and brings us back to the "normal" volume element d^4Vol_0 . Then the true meaning of the Saa's model will be in the highly nontrivial dynamics of the Plank field $\hbar(x)$ described by the lagrangian \mathcal{L}_G in formula (14) and in its uniform interaction with matter fields.

Here an important remark concerning the mass terms in the lagrangians and field equations of the massive fields is needed. We shell illustrate it on the massive scalar field described in the Section 3. The letter m_ϕ in the mass term in the lagrangian (22) and in the corresponding field equation (23) actually denotes the inverse Compton length λ^{-1} which in physical units is $\lambda^{-1} = \frac{mc}{\hbar}$, m being the mass of the classical particle described by quantum wave equation (23). In the standard theory with Plank constant \hbar we can chose the units $\hbar = c = 1$ and this gives the possibility to denote the inverse Compton length λ^{-1} as a "mass" m_ϕ . In a theory with Plank field $\hbar(x) \neq const$ this will be not correct and the best way to proceed is to turn back to the original physical notations. This means to put in all formulae in Section 3

$$m_\phi = \lambda^{-1} = \frac{mc}{\hbar} = \frac{mc}{\hbar_\infty} e^{-3\Theta} = \lambda_\infty^{-1} e^{-3\Theta} \quad (63)$$

and to correct the formulae in which the term $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_M}{\delta \Theta}$, or the derivatives of m_ϕ may enter.

Hence, in the model with variable Plank "constant" the mass terms of the matter fields introduce an additional *universal* interaction of these fields with the Plank field $\hbar(x)$ described by the equation (61). For all massive fields this interaction is defined via the formula for the inverse Compton wave length as shown in the equation (63). For example in the lagrangian of the massive scalar field we will have a term $\lambda_\infty^{-2} \phi^2 e^{-6\Theta}$, in the lagrangian of the Dirac spinor field ψ – a term $\lambda_\infty^{-1} \bar{\psi} \psi e^{-3\Theta}$, etc. This is an extension of the MCP for the case of variable Plank field connected with the torsion of the specific affine connection we consider.

2. The essential variation of the field Θ (hence of the Plank field $\hbar(x)$) may take place in scales of order of Schwarzschild radius R_S of a given body. This means that we can expect some deviations of the laws of standard quantum mechanics with constant parameter \hbar at such small scales and that it will be hard to see them at the scales of usual laboratory. Such deviations may be essential only for the physics in small domains around the center of the stars ($R_S \approx$ several kilometers), around the center of galaxies ($R_S \approx 10^{11}$ kilometers), (if the the matter's distribution does not smooth the variations of the Plank field $\hbar(x)$), or in the cosmological models. These are just the domains in which we are looking for a new physics being pressed by experimental evidences.

3. If the speculation suggested in this Section of present article really takes place, we must reconsider the existing attempts to quantize gravity taking into account the physical meaning and the role of the field Θ .

4. The original Saa's idea was to interpret the field Θ as a dilaton field, which at first appeared as a scalar partner of the tensor field $g_{\alpha\beta}$ in the low energy limit of string theory. The dilaton field causes difficulties in these theories up to now (See [34] – [36] and references therein). The present interpretation may be useful in dilaton theory, too, because it makes us free of the necessity to consider this field as a matter field. Moreover, in present theory the field Θ is incorporated into the very geometry of the space-time in a definite way and will not violate the equivalence principle associated with the full affine connection [14] in contrast to the dilaton field in usual interpretation of the low energy limit of string theory.

5. If together with dilaton field Θ we consider the general case of nonzero anty-symmetric contorsion $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ in presence of spinor fields, we may share from string theory some more information: the field $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ must be potential and its potential is an anty-symmetric tensor $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$ [34] – [36]. Then we can joint the present theory of the field Θ and the interesting Hammond's theory of the field $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$ described in [34] – [36] and in the series of additional papers by the same author. This way we will reach some new theory of gravity and matter with propagating torsion in Einstein-Cartan spaces which may be able to overcome the old difficulties in ECTG and obviously will be reach in new physical effects.

All these possibilities, as well as the consistency of such theory and its relations with the physical reality at present are open problems under further study. The corresponding results will be presented somewhere else.

7 Trajectories of Classical Particles in TEATG as a Semiclassical Limit of Wave Equation

Finally in the present article we will explain the solution of the consistency problem described at the end of the Introduction. This solution depends on the model of the particle dynamics we accept in TEATG. The very derivation of the semiclassical limit of the autoparallel equation (3) for the free wave motion in Einstein-Cartan space $\mathcal{M}^{(1,3)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x)\}$ is universal. Independently of the particle dynamics it yields the eikonal equation

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\varphi\partial_\beta\varphi = \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \quad (64)$$

with an inverse Compton length $\lambda_\phi^{-1} = \frac{mc}{\hbar} = m_\phi$ – the "mass" of the scalar field ϕ , m being the mass of the classical particle which correspond to this wave field according to quantum mechanics.

First we shall consider the two cases: 1) the strict Saa's model (See Section 4.1) and 2) the model with the variable Plank field (See Sections 4.3, 6). In them the particle dynamics is derived from *the standard* variational principle. Hence, the Hamilton formalism and especially, the Hamilton-Jacobi theory are well known.

1. In the strict Saa's model the classical equation of motion of the free test particle (5) derived from the lagrangian $L_0 = -mc\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu}$ is of geodesic type. The canonical four-momenta which correspond to this lagrangian are $p_{0\alpha} = -mc\frac{g_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\beta}{\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu}}$. They are subject to the constraint $g^{\alpha\beta}p_\alpha p_\beta = (mc)^2$. Introducing the classical action function $S(x)$ related with the four-momenta according to the formula $p_\alpha = \partial_\alpha S$ we obtain the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha S\partial_\beta S = (mc)^2 \quad (65)$$

which coincides with the eikonal equation (64) if $S = \hbar\varphi$. As we see, the semiclassical limit of the wave mechanics of autoparallel type in this case leads to the geodesic motion of the classical trajectories in Einstein-Cartan space. Hence, this semiclassical limit is consistent with the model under consideration.

2. In the case of the variable Plank field the particle trajectories are derived from the lagrangian $L = e^{-3\Theta}L_0$, See the equation (51). The canonical four-momenta $p_\alpha = e^{-3\Theta}p_{0\alpha}$ which correspond to this lagrangian are subject to the constraint $g^{\alpha\beta}p_\alpha p_\beta = (mce^{-3\Theta})^2$. Introducing the classical action function $S(x)$ related with the four-momenta according to the standard formula $p_\alpha = \partial_\alpha S$ we obtain the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha S\partial_\beta S = (mce^{-3\Theta})^2 \quad (66)$$

which coincides with the eikonal equation (64) if $S = \hbar_\infty\varphi$ because the relation (61) take place in this model. Hence, the semiclassical limit of *the corresponding* autoparallel wave equation (3) with mass m_ϕ given by the formula (63) is consistent with the classical mechanics in the model with variable Plank field $\hbar(x)$.

We can invert these arguments and *derive* the formula (63) from the requirement for consistency of the semiclassical limit of the wave equation and the classical mechanics of particles in this model. This way we see that the universal interaction between the field Θ and the massive fields according to the equation (63) is a consequence of this consistency requirement.

3. In the model described in the Section 4.2 an additional problems appear. For the non-standard variational principle based on the commutation relation (44) a consistent Hamilton formulation is not known. Therefore at first glance it is impossible to construct the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for some classical action function and to relate it with the classical particle trajectories as usual. This seems to make impossible the comparison between the semiclassical limit (64) of

the autoparallel equation of wave motion (3) and the corresponding autoparallel equation of motion (6).

But for the special affine connection we use, the Saa's condition (8) yields the gradient condition (9) and brings us to the situation described very recently in [37]: we may derive *the same autoparallel equation* (6) for the classical trajectories using the standard variational principle with commutation relation (39) for the auxiliary lagrangian $\tilde{L} = e^{-\Theta} L_0$. Then the canonical momenta for the lagrangian \tilde{L} : $\tilde{p}_\alpha = e^{-\Theta} p_{0\alpha}$ are subject to the constraint $g^{\alpha\beta} p_\alpha p_\beta = (mc e^{-\Theta})^2$ and introducing the classical action function $S(x)$ related with them according to the standard formula $\tilde{p}_\alpha = \partial_\alpha S$ we obtain the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha S \partial_\beta S = (mc e^{-\Theta})^2. \quad (67)$$

It is important to stress that being introduced in the framework of the *standard* Hamilton-Jacobi approach for the auxiliary lagrangian \tilde{L} the action function $S(x)$ is related in a standard *geometric* way with the trajectories of the *autoparallel* equation (6) derived from the lagrangian \tilde{L} via the usual variational principle. Then this function $S(x)$ will be connected with the wave mechanics of the classical particles in usual way because this depends on the geometry and the geometric relations do not depend on the analytic way they are derived. In other words the use of the auxiliary lagrangian \tilde{L} instead of the original one L_0 is not essential for the geometric relations between the function $S(x)$ and the trajectories of the autoparallel equation (6) in configuration space. Hence, in the present model we can accept the equation (67) as a Hamilton-Jacobi equation for autoparallels. Now, the experience we gained in the previous consideration shows that the semiclassical limit (64) of the autoparallel wave equation (3) is consistent with the autoparallel equation (6) if we put $S = \hbar\varphi$ and impose the additional condition:

$$m_\phi = \frac{mc}{\hbar} e^{-\Theta} = \lambda^{-1} e^{-\Theta} \quad (68)$$

The last condition is analogous to the condition (63) in the model with variable Plank field and shows that the consistency of the semiclassical limit of the autoparallel wave equation (3) and the corresponding autoparallel equation of motion (6) dictates a new universal interaction of the massive fields with the field Θ . For example in the lagrangian of the massive scalar field we will have a term $\lambda^{-2} \phi^2 e^{-2\Theta}$, in the lagrangian of the Dirac spinor field ψ – a term $\lambda^{-1} \bar{\psi} \psi e^{-\Theta}$, etc. This is the corresponding extension of the MCP for the model of TEATG we consider here.

As we see, a proper interpretation of the TEATG permits us to derive the autoparallel equation (6) as a semiclassical limit of the wave equation of autoparallel type (3).

We shall not describe here in details the solution of the consistency problem for the semiclassical limit of the wave equation and classical motion in the fourth variant of the particle dynamics in TEATG considered in the present article. It

may be reached combining the ideas which gave the solution in the previous two cases.

The considerations in this Section show that the semiclassical limit of the wave equation (3) does not lead to a preferable model of particle dynamics in the TEATG. Instead, the requirement of the consistency of this limit with the classical motion yields a definite universal interaction of the massive fields with the torsion potential Θ which is different for each of the variants of the particle dynamics¹². Thus in the special type of Einstein-Cartan spaces we study, this consistency requirement may be considered as *a new principle* for construction of the theory and enables one to extend the MCP.

How to generalize the above semiclassical considerations, as far as the whole Saa's model for affine theories of more general type than TEATG is still an open problem which will be considered somewhere else.

8 Appendix

Here we give the basic properties of the special type of affine geometry in the TEATG in presence only of spin zero matter. In this case the condition $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv 0$ implies $K_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -2g_{\alpha[\beta}S_{\gamma]}$, $S_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = K_{[\alpha\beta]\gamma} = S_{[\alpha}g_{\beta]\gamma}$, i.e. we reach a geometry with semi-symmetric affine connection described in [5], but with the additional restriction (9). Hence, the torsion reduces to the torsion vector, which in its turn is potential:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} = S_{[\alpha}\delta_{\beta]}^{\gamma} = \partial_{[\alpha}\Theta\delta_{\beta]}^{\gamma}. \quad (69)$$

For Cartan curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature in D-dimensional space $\mathcal{M}^{(D)}\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x), \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(x)\}$ with such special type of geometry we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= \overset{\{}}{R}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + 4g_{[\alpha[\nu}(\nabla_{\beta]}S_{\mu]} - S_{\beta]}S_{\mu]} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\beta]\mu]}S_{\sigma}S^{\sigma}), \\ R_{\alpha\beta} &= \overset{\{}}{R}_{\alpha\beta} + (D-2)\nabla_{\alpha}S_{\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\sigma}S^{\sigma} + (D-1)g_{\alpha\beta}S_{\sigma}S^{\sigma}, \\ R &= \overset{\{}}{R} + 2(D-1)\nabla_{\sigma}S^{\sigma} + D(D-1)S_{\sigma}S^{\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Because of the zero nonmetricity condition $\nabla_{\alpha}g_{\beta\gamma} \equiv 0$ we have the properties:

$$R_{\alpha\beta(\mu\nu)} = 0, \quad R_{\alpha\beta\sigma}{}^{\sigma} = 0. \quad (71)$$

The second of the equations (70) gives $R_{[\alpha\beta]} = (D-2)\nabla_{[\alpha}S_{\beta]}$. Then the relation (69) leads to symmetric Ricci tensor:

$$R_{[\alpha\beta]} = 0. \quad (72)$$

¹²For the strict Saa's model this requirement actually leads to an absent of such interaction.

As a consequence the Einstein tensor turns to be symmetric:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}R = G_{\beta\alpha} \quad (73)$$

with trace $G = -\frac{D-2}{2}R$ as in Riemannian space. In addition we have the inverse relation $R_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{D-2}g_{\alpha\beta}G$ ¹³. One may represent the Einstein tensor and its trace in another convenient form:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\beta} &= \overset{\{\}}{G}_{\alpha\beta} + (D-2)(\nabla_\alpha S_\beta - g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\sigma S^\sigma) - \frac{(D-1)(D-2)}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}S_\sigma S^\sigma \\ G &= \overset{\{\}}{G} - (D-1)(D-2)\nabla_\sigma S^\sigma - \frac{D(D-1)(D-2)}{2}S_\sigma S^\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Taking into account the relation (69) and the general property of the curvature tensor in spaces with semi-symmetric connection: $R_{[\alpha\beta\mu]}{}^\nu = 2\delta_{[\alpha}^\nu \nabla_{\beta]} S_{\mu]}$ we reach

$$R_{[\alpha\beta\mu]}{}^\nu = 0. \quad (75)$$

At the end the Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_{[\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta]\mu}{}^\nu = -2S_{[\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta]\mu}{}^\nu \quad (76)$$

in spaces with semi-symmetric affine connection after some algebra leads to the important identity

$$\nabla_\sigma G_\alpha^\sigma + 2G_\alpha^\sigma S_\sigma = 0. \quad (77)$$

In the absence of torsion this is the well known identity of general relativity which leads to the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor of matter. The role of the generalized identity (77) in TEATG is not investigated up to now. We study this problem in presence of spinless matter. In this case the identity (77) may be represented in a form $\overset{\{\}}{\nabla}_\sigma G_\alpha^\sigma = 2R_\alpha^\sigma S_\sigma$, too.

The above described formulae show how much in general may differ the space-time geometry of TEATG from the Riemannian geometry if only spinless matter is present. For a more precise description of this geometry we have to take into account the dynamical equations of this theory.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to S. Yazadjiev for many useful discussions during the preparation of this article, and especially to A. Saa, R. T. Hammond, and J. G.

¹³Note that only in four dimensional space we have got a more simple and symmetric relations $G = -R$, and $R_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}G$.

Pereira who were kind to send to the author prints of their articles on the subject of the present paper.

The author would like, too to express his thanks to the unknown referee for the suggestion to explain in the present article how the semiclassical approach yields particle trajectories from wave equation in TEATG and for the useful remarks concerning the literature references.

The work has been partially supported by the Sofia University Foundation for Scientific Researches, Contract No. 245/97, and by the Bulgarian National Foundation for Scientific Researches, Contract F610/97.

References

- [1] Hehl F., Von der Heyde P., Kerlick G., *General Relativity with Spin and Torsion: Foundations and Prospects*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **48**, p. 393, 1976.
- [2] Hehl F., McCrea J., Mielke E., Ne'eman Y., *Metric-Affine Gauge Theory of Gravity: Field Equations, Noether Identities, World Spinors, and Breaking of Dilation Invariance*, Phys. Rep. **258**, p. 1, 1995.
- [3] Gronwald F., Hehl F., *On the Gauge Aspects of Gravity*, in the Proc. of the 14th Course of the School of Cosmology and Gravitation on Quantum Gravity, Erice, Italy, May 1995, ed. P. Bargman, V. de Sabbata, and H. Treder, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
- [4] Hehl F., *How does one measure torsion of space-time?* Phys. Lett. **A 36**, p. 225, 1971.
- [5] Schouten J.A., *Tensor Analysis for Physicists*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1954; *Ricci-Calculus*, Springer, Berlin, 1954.
- [6] Dirac P. A. M., *The Lagrangian in Quantum mechanics*, Phys. Zeit. der Sowietunion, **3**, p. 64, 1933.
- [7] Feynman R. P., Hibbs A. R., *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, McGraw-Hill Co., New York, 1965.
- [8] Kleinert H., *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics and Polymer Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, Second Edition, 1995.
- [9] Fizev P. P., Kleinert H., *Comment on Path Integral Derivation of Schrödinger Equation in Spaces with Curvature and Torsion*, Journal of Physics **A 29**, p. 7619, 1996; E-print: hep-th/9604172.
- [10] Ponomorev V. N., *Observable Effects of Torsion in Space-Time*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. (Math., astr., phys.), **19**, p. 545, 1971.
- [11] Timan H., *Variational Principle with Torsion*, Ingenier, **5**, p. 82, 1970.
See also:
Krechet V. G., Ponomorev V. N., *Observable Effects of Torsion in Space-Time (Equations of Motion)*, in Problemi Teorii Gravitacii i Elementarnih Chastic, editor Staniukovich K.P., Atomizdat, Moskow, p. 174, 1976 (in Russian).
- [12] Fizev P. P., Kleinert H., *Variational Principle for Classical Particle Trajectories in Spaces with Torsion*, Preprint Freie Universität Berlin, Europhys. Lett., **35** (4), p. 241, 1996; E-print hep-th/9503073.

- [13] Fiziev P. P., Kleinert H., *Anholonomic Transformations in the Mechanical Variational Principle*, in Proceedings of the Workshop on Variational and Local Methods in the Study of Hamiltonian Systems, editors A. Ambrosetti and G. F. Dell'Antonio, World Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore, p. 166, 1995; E-print: gr-qc/9605046.
- [14] Fiziev P. P., *On the Action Principle in Affine Flat Spaces with Torsion*, in the Proceedings of the 2-nd Bulgarian Workshop "New Trends in Quantum Field Theory", Razlog, 1995, editors A. Ganchev, R.Kerner, I.Todorov, Heron Press Science Series, Sofia, p. 248, 1996, E-print: gr-qc/971202.
- [15] Kleinert H., *Quantum Equivalence Principle*, in Functional Integration, Basics and Applications, editors C. DeWitt-Morette, P. Cartier, A. Folacci, NATO ASI Series, Series B: Physics, **361**, Plenum Press, N. Y. and London, 1997.
- [16] Kleinert H., *Nonholonomic Mapping Principle for Classical Mechanics in Spaces with Curvature and Torsion. New Covariant Conservation Law for Energy-Momentum Tensor*, Jadwisin Lecture Notes: APS preprint aps1997sep03-002; gr-qc/9801003.
- [17] Kleinert H., Pelster A., *Lagrange Mechanics in Spaces with Curvature and Torsion*, FU-preprint, gr-qc/9605028 .
- [18] Kleinert H., Shabanov S. V., *Spaces with torsion from embedding and the special role of autoparallel trajectories*, E-print: gr-qc/9709067.
- [19] Schrödinger E., *Expanding Universe*, Cambridge University Press, 1956.
- [20] Saa A., *Propagating Torsion from First Principles*, Gen. Rel. and Grav. **29**, p. 205, 1997.
- [21] Saa A., *On Minimal Coupling in Riemann-Cartan Space-Times*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8**, p. 2565, 1993.
- [22] Saa A., *Gauge Fields on Riemann-Cartan Space-Times*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9**, p. 971, 1994.
- [23] Saa A., *A Geometrical Action for Dilaton Gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, L85, 1995.
- [24] Saa A., *Volume-forms and Minimal Action Principles in Affine Manifolds*, J. Geom. and Phys., **15**, p. 102, 1995.
- [25] De Andare V.C., Pereira J.G., *Gravitational Lorentz force and the description of the gravitational interaction*, Phys. Rev. **D 54**, p. 4689, 1997.

- [26] De Andare V.C., Pereira J.G., *Riemannian and Teleparallel Description of the Scalar Field Gravitational Interaction*, Gen. Rel. and Grav., **30**, p. 1, 1998.
- [27] De Andare V.C., Pereira J.G., *Torsion and the Electromagnetic Field*, gr-qc/9708051.
- [28] Kleinert H., Fortschritte der Physik, **6**, p. 1, 1968;
 Kleinert H., *Group Dynamics of the Hydrogen Atom* in Lectures in Theoretical Physics, edd. W. E. Brittin and A. O. Barut, Gordon and Breach, N. Y., p. 427, 1968.
 Ringwood G. A., Devreese J. T., *The Hydrogen atom: Quantum mechanics on the quotient of conformally flat manifold*, J. Math. Phys. **21**, p. 1390, 1980.
- [29] Fock V. A., *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1964.
- [30] Landau L. D., Lifshitz E. M., *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Vol. 2 of *Course of Theoretical Physics*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1962.
- [31] Kleinert H., *Gauge Fields in Condensed Matter*, Vol. 2, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [32] Brans C., Dicke R.H., *Mach's Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, Phys. Rev., **124**, p. 925, 1961.
- [33] Brans C., *Mach's Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation. II*, Phys. Rev., **125**, p. 2194, 1961.
- [34] Hammond R. T., *Spin, Torsion, Forces*, Gen. Rel. and Grav., **26**, p. 247, 1994.
- [35] Hammond R. T., *Gravitation, Torsion, and String Theory*, Gen. Rel. and Grav., **28**, p. 749, 1996.
- [36] Hammond R. T., *Helicity Flip Cross Section from Gravity with Torsion*, Class. Quant. Grav. **13**, p. 1691, 1996.
- [37] Kleinert H., Pelster A., *Novel Geometric Gauge Invariance of Autoparallels*, gr-qc/9801030.