

Is a ‘hadronic’ shear current one of the sources in metric-affine gravity?

Friedrich W. Hehl

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, D-50923 Köln, Germany

Yuri N. Obukhov

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia

The Minkowski space of special relativity can be understood as a flat 4-dimensional affine space enriched by a constant Minkowski metric. If we gauge the general affine group and ‘superimpose’ the metric, then we arrive at the metric-affine theory of gravity (MAG). The gravitational potentials are the spacetime coframe, the metric, and the linear connection. The material energy-momentum is coupled to the coframe (and the metric), a hypothetical hypermomentum current to the connection. The hypermomentum splits in a spin, a dilation, and a *shear* piece. We collect some evidence in favor of the existence of a material shear current in the context of Regge type trajectories of ‘hadronic’ matter, thus supporting the link between particle physics and MAG.

1 Introduction

“...the question whether this [spacetime] continuum is Euclidean or structured according to the Riemannian scheme or still otherwise is a genuine physical question which has to be answered by experience rather than being a mere convention to be chosen on the basis of expediency.”¹

Modern data convincingly demonstrate the validity of Riemannian geometry on macroscopic scales. In the framework of Einstein’s general relativity theory (GR), mass (energy-momentum) of matter alone determines the structure of spacetime at large distances. However, at high energies, the properties of matter are significantly different, with additional spacetime related characteristics, such as spin and scale charge coming into play. Correspondingly, one can expect that the geometric structure of spacetime on small distances may deviate from Riemannian geometry.

2 Formal structure of MAG

The structure of (flat) Minkowski space suggests the gauging of the four-dimensional affine group $T^4 \rtimes GL(4, R)$, with the metric to be superimposed. As gravitational potentials we find in this way the coframe ϑ^α (related to the subgroup of translations), the linear connection $\Gamma_\alpha{}^\beta$ (linear subgroup), and the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$. The latter is not a gauge potential proper, but rather takes values in the coset $GL(4, R)/SO(1, 3)$ bundle and is interpreted as a Higgs type field which emerges in the context of symmetry breaking mechanism. “If... the metric tensor is not a fundamental physical entity but an order parameter of a quantum condensate, then it is possible that at very high energies there is some distortion in nature”², that is, nonmetricity $Q_{\alpha\beta} := -\overset{\Gamma}{D}g_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$. In GR, we have $Q_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. The interplay between metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ (distance, angle) and connection $\Gamma_\alpha{}^\beta$ (parallel displacement, inertial properties)

lays at the foundation of spacetime physics. The corresponding MAG gauge field strengths are (T^α = torsion, $R_\alpha{}^\beta$ = curvature):

$$(Q_{\alpha\beta}, T^\alpha, R_\alpha{}^\beta) := (-\overset{\Gamma}{D}g_{\alpha\beta}, \overset{\Gamma}{D}\vartheta^\alpha, \overset{\Gamma}{D}\Gamma_\alpha{}^\beta). \quad (1)$$

The total action of the gravitational gauge fields and the minimally coupled matter fields Ψ reads³

$$W = \int [V(g_{\alpha\beta}, \vartheta^\alpha, Q_{\alpha\beta}, T^\alpha, R_\alpha{}^\beta) + L(g_{\alpha\beta}, \vartheta^\alpha, \Psi, \overset{\Gamma}{D}\Psi)]. \quad (2)$$

Matter currents arise from the matter Lagrangian L as variational derivatives:

$$\sigma^{\alpha\beta} := 2 \frac{\delta L}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}}, \quad \Sigma_\alpha := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \vartheta^\alpha}, \quad \Delta^\alpha{}_\beta := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \Gamma_\alpha{}^\beta}. \quad (3)$$

Here $\sigma^{\alpha\beta}$ and Σ_α are metric and canonical energy-momentum current, respectively.

3 Hypermomentum and shear current

The hypermomentum current can be decomposed into 3 pieces:

$$\text{hypermomentum current } \Delta_{\alpha\beta} = \text{spin current } \Delta_{[\alpha\beta]} \quad (4)$$

$$\oplus \text{ dilation current } \Delta_\gamma{}^\gamma \quad (5)$$

$$\oplus \text{ shear current } \Delta_{(\alpha\beta)} - g_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_\gamma{}^\gamma / 4. \quad (6)$$

Thus an independent (unconstrained) connection yields a new type of *shear* current corresponding to the quotient $SL(4, R)/SO(1, 3)$. An $SL(3, R)$ current was originally proposed in the context of the classification of sequences of hadrons⁴. The Lie algebra of the $SO(3)$ was extended by means of the five operators of the time derivatives of the quadrupole moments of the ‘hadronic’ energy-momentum current. Later this procedure was generalized to the $SL(4, R)$ and, eventually, to the general linear group $GL(4, R)$. For the Dirac field, we can directly relate the time derivatives of the quadrupole excitations to the (orbital) shear current⁵,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int d^3x x^\alpha x^\beta \Sigma^{0\kappa} = 2 \int d^3x x^{(\alpha} \Sigma^{\beta)\kappa}. \quad (7)$$

(here $\Sigma^\alpha = \Sigma^{\kappa\alpha} \eta_\kappa$ and $\eta_\kappa := {}^* \vartheta_\kappa$).

It is instructive to have a realistic model for matter with shear. For continua with *microstructure*, such as in Mindlin’s model⁶, we have, besides the stress concept, that of an hyperstress. A 4-dimensional generalization of the Mindlin model leads to the hyperfluid of Tresguerres and one of us⁷. The basic variables are the *flow 3-form* u of the hyperfluid, which is related to its velocity vector field via $u_\alpha := e_\alpha \rfloor {}^* u$, and three deformable *directors*. The hyperfluid is a continuous medium the elements of which are characterized by the density of the classical ‘charges’ of the gauge group, that is, by the pair $(P_\alpha, J_\beta^\alpha)$ corresponding to the

different generators of $GA(4, R)$. Thus the material current 3-forms of the fluid are given by $\Sigma_\alpha = uP_\alpha$ and $\Delta^\alpha_\beta = uJ^\alpha_\beta$. The variational principle⁷ yields explicitly,

$$\Sigma_\alpha = \varepsilon uu_\alpha - p(\eta_\alpha - uu_\alpha) + 2uu^\beta g_{\gamma[\alpha} j^\gamma_{\beta]}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta^\alpha_\beta = uJ^\alpha_\beta, \quad (9)$$

where ε = energy, p = pressure, and $\dot{\Phi} := -\star \overset{\Gamma}{D}(u\Phi)$.

The dynamics of the hypermomentum is governed by the equations:

$$\overset{\Gamma}{D}\Delta^\alpha_\beta = u^\alpha u_\lambda \overset{\Gamma}{D}\Delta^\lambda_\beta + u_\beta u^\lambda \overset{\Gamma}{D}\Delta^\alpha_\lambda. \quad (10)$$

The hypermomentum density J^α_β satisfies a generalized Frenkel type condition: $J^\alpha_\beta u^\beta = J^\alpha_\beta u_\alpha = 0$. This classical description supposedly is a crude approximation to nature.

For the description of fundamental fermionic fields, we need to generalize the special-relativistic Dirac spinor to MAG. “The dramatic way to general-relativize spinors is to add extra components until we get a direct-sum representation that can be extended... But in the case at hand, it takes an infinite-dimensional representation of GL_4 to be double-valued. We would need infinitely many physical partners for each spinor particle. A few brave people presently explore this domain, especially Y. Ne’eman... ”² In this way one derives the so-called multi-spinors which carry infinite many components. Technically they arise as unitary irreducible representations of the covering group of $SL(4, R)$. Typically they are ordered in Regge type trajectories. Such matter should be used in order to measure the nonmetricity of spacetime⁸. At low energies, we expect a symmetry breakdown such that the affine group reduces to the Poincaré group.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Bonn) project He-528/17-2.

References

1. A. Einstein: *Geometrie und Erfahrung*. Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. (1921) 123-130; our translation.
2. D.R. Finkelstein: *Quantum Relativity – A Synthesis of the Ideas of Einstein and Heisenberg* (Springer, Berlin 1996) pp. 339 and 356.
3. F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke, and Y. Ne’eman: *Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: Field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance*. Phys. Rep. **258** (1995) 1-171.
4. Y. Dothan, M. Gell-Mann and Y. Ne’eman: *Series of hadron energy levels as representations of non-compact groups*. Phys. Lett. **17** (1965) 148-151.
5. F. Gronwald and F.W. Hehl: *Stress and hyperstress as fundamental concepts in continuum mechanics and in relativistic field theory*, in: *Advances in Modern Continuum Dynamics*, G. Ferrarese, ed. (Pitagora Editrice, Bologna 1993) pp. 1-32; see also Los Alamos eprint archive gr-qc/9701054.

6. R.D. Mindlin: *Micro-structure in linear elasticity*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **16** (1964) 51-78.
7. Yu.N. Obukhov and R. Tresguerres: *Hyperfluid – a model of classical matter with hypermomentum*. Phys. Lett. **A184** (1993) 17-22.
8. Y. Ne'eman and F.W. Hehl: *Test matter in a spacetime with nonmetricity*. Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997) A251-A259.