

# Tolman's energy of a stringy charged black hole

S. S. Xulu\*

## Abstract

Virbhadra and Parikh studied the energy distribution associated with stringy charged black hole in Einstein's prescription. We study the same using Tolman's energy-momentum complex and get the same result as obtained by Virbhadra and Parikh. The entire energy is confined inside the black hole.

Typeset using REVTeX

---

\*Dept of Applied Mathematics, University of Zululand, Private Bag X1001, 3887 KWA-DLANGEZWA, South Africa. E-mail: ssxulu@pan.uzulu.ac.za

The energy-momentum localization has been one of the most important and challenging research topics in general theory of relativity. This subject has fascinated many renowned scientists (see Møller 1958, Hawking 1968, Penrose 1982, and Rosen 1994 and references therein). Following the Einstein energy-momentum complex many definitions of energy, momentum, and angular momentum for a general relativistic system have been proposed (see in Brown and York 1993, Aguirregabiria et al. 1996). The physical interpretation of these nontensorial energy-momentum complexes have been questioned by a number of physicists, including Weyl, Pauli and Eddington (see in Chandrasekhar and Ferrari 1991). There prevails suspicion that different energy-momentum complexes could give different energy distributions in a given spacetime. To this end Virbhadra and his collaborators have considered many spacetimes and have shown that several energy-momentum complexes give the same and acceptable result for a given spacetime. Virbhadra (1990) considered the Kerr-Newman metric and carried out calculations up to the third order of the rotation parameter. Cooperstock and Richardson (1991) extended his investigations up to the seventh order of the rotation parameter and reported that several definitions yield the same result. Virbhadra (1992) carried out calculations for the Vaidya (radiating Schwarzschild) metric and found that several definitions give the same result. Virbhadra and Parikh (1994), after obtaining a conformal scalar dyon black hole solution, calculated the energy distribution with this black hole and found reasonable result. Chamorro and Virbhadra (1995) studied the Bonnor-Vaidya spacetime and found that energy-momentum complexes give the same result as obtained by Tod using the Penrose definition (see for details in Aguirregabiria et al. 1996). Rosen and Virbhadra (1993) and Virbhadra (1995) investigated the well-known Einstein-Rosen spacetime and found that several energy-momentum complexes give the same result for the energy and energy current densities. Aguirregabiria et al. (1996) showed that several well-known energy-momentum complexes coincide for any Kerr-Schild class metric. These are definitely encouraging results.

For the Reissner-Nordström metric, several definitions of energy give

$$E(r) = M - \frac{Q^2}{2r} \quad (1)$$

(see in Tod 1983, Hayward 1994, Aguirregabiria et al. 1996). Thus the energy is both in its interior and exterior. There is considerable difference in the solutions in the Einstein-Maxwell theory and the low-energy string theory. Virbhadra and Parikh (1993) deduced, using Einstein's prescription, that gravitational energy of a stringy charged black hole is given by  $E = M$ . and thus the energy is confined to the interior of the black hole. It is worth investigating whether or not other definitions of energy give the same result as obtained by them. Throughout this paper we use  $G = 1$  and  $c = 1$  units and follow the convention that Latin indices take values from 0 to 3 and Greek indices take values from 1 to 3.

For a static, spherically symmetric charged black hole in low-energy string theory, the line element is given by (Garfinkle et al. 1991)

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{r}\right)r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\alpha = Q^2 \frac{\exp(-2\phi_0)}{M}. \quad (3)$$

$M$  and  $Q$  are, respectively, mass and charge parameters;  $\phi_0$  is the asymptotic value of the dilaton field. It is well-known that the energy-momentum complexes give meaningful result if calculations are performed in quasi-Cartesian coordinates. The line element (2) may be transformed to quasi-Cartesian coordinates:

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{r}\right)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) - \frac{(1 - 2M/r)^{-1} - (1 - \alpha/r)}{r^2}(xdx + ydy + zdz)^2, \quad (4)$$

according to

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \quad \theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}\right), \quad \phi = \tan^{-1}(y/x). \quad (5)$$

The energy-momentum complex of Tolman (1934) is

$$\mathcal{T}_k^i = \frac{1}{8\pi} U_{k,j}^{ij}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$U_k^{ij} = \sqrt{-g} \left[ -g^{pi} (-\Gamma_{kp}^j + \frac{1}{2} g_k^j \Gamma_{ap}^a + \frac{1}{2} g_p^j \Gamma_{ak}^a) + \frac{1}{2} g_k^i g^{pm} (-\Gamma_{pm}^j + \frac{1}{2} g_p^j \Gamma_{am}^a + \frac{1}{2} g_m^j \Gamma_{ap}^a) \right]. \quad (7)$$

$\mathcal{T}_0^0$  is the energy density,  $\mathcal{T}_0^\alpha$  are the components of energy current density, and  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha^0$  are the momentum density components. The energy  $E$  is given by the expression:

$$E = \int \int \int \mathcal{T}_0^0 dx dy dz \quad (8)$$

Using the Gauss theorem (noting that the spacetime under consideration is static) one has

$$E = \int \int U_0^{0\beta} n_\beta dS \quad (9)$$

$n_\beta$  stands for the 3-components of unit vector over an infinitesimal surface element  $dS$ . For the metric given by Eq. (4) Virbhadra and Parikh (1995) computed the determinant of the metric tensor and the contravariant components of the tensor. To compute energy using Eq. (9), we require the following list of nonvanishing components of Christoffel symbol:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{01}^0 &= \frac{Mx}{r^2(r-2M)}, & \Gamma_{02}^0 &= \frac{My}{r^2(r-2M)}, \\ \Gamma_{03}^0 &= \frac{Mz}{r^2(r-2M)}, & \Gamma_{00}^1 &= \frac{M(r-2M)x}{r^4}, \\ \Gamma_{00}^2 &= \frac{M(r-2M)y}{r^4}, & \Gamma_{00}^3 &= \frac{M(r-2M)z}{r^4}, \\ \Gamma_{11}^1 &= x(a_1 + a_2x^2), & \Gamma_{11}^2 &= y(a_3 + a_2x^2), \\ \Gamma_{11}^3 &= z(a_3 + a_2x^2), & \Gamma_{22}^1 &= x(a_3 + a_2y^2), \\ \Gamma_{22}^2 &= y(a_1 + a_2y^2), & \Gamma_{22}^3 &= z(a_3 + a_2y^2), \\ \Gamma_{33}^1 &= x(a_3 + a_2z^2), & \Gamma_{33}^2 &= y(a_3 + a_2z^2), \\ \Gamma_{33}^3 &= z(a_1 + a_2z^2), & \Gamma_{12}^1 &= y(a_4 + a_2x^2), \\ \Gamma_{13}^1 &= z(a_4 + a_2x^2), & \Gamma_{21}^2 &= x(a_4 + a_2y^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{23}^2 &= z(a_4 + a_2 y^2), & \Gamma_{31}^3 &= x(a_4 + a_2 z^2), \\
\Gamma_{32}^3 &= y(a_4 + a_2 z^2), \\
\Gamma_{23}^1 &= \Gamma_{13}^2 = \Gamma_{12}^3 = a_1 xyz,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \frac{1}{2r^4}(\alpha r + 4Mr - 2\alpha M + \frac{2\alpha r^2}{r - \alpha}), \\
a_2 &= \frac{1}{2r^6}(2\alpha M - 3\alpha r - 4Mr - \frac{2Mr}{r - 2M} - \frac{2\alpha^2 r}{r - \alpha}), \\
a_3 &= \frac{1}{2r^4}(\alpha r + 4Mr - 2\alpha M), \\
a_4 &= \frac{\alpha}{2r^2(r - \alpha)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Further, after straightforward but very lengthy calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
U_0^{01} &= \frac{2Mx}{r^3}, \\
U_0^{02} &= \frac{2My}{r^3}, \\
U_0^{03} &= \frac{2Mz}{r^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now using (12),  $n_\beta = (x/r, y/r, z/r)$ , and  $dS = r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$  in (9), we get

$$E = M. \tag{13}$$

Thus the entire energy of a charged black hole in low-energy string theory lies inside the black hole. The “effective gravitational mass”, given by Eq. (13), that a neutral test particle experiences is always positive. This is quite different from the case of the Reissner-Nordström metric (for  $r < Q^2/2M$  the “effective gravitational mass”,  $E(r)$ , is negative). Further, it is worth noting that we, using the Tolman energy-momentum complex, got the same result as obtained by Virbhadra and Parikh (they used the Einstein energy-momentum complex). Our result supports importance of energy-momentum complexes.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Thanks are due to K. S. Virbhadra for his guidance.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aguirregabiria, J. M., Chamorro, A. and Virbhadra, K. S. *General Relativity and Gravitation* **28**, 1393 (1996)
- [2] Brown, J. D. and York, J. W., Jr., *Physical Review* **D47**, 1407 (1993).
- [3] Chamorro, A., and Virbhadra K. S., *Pramana-J. Physics* **45**, 181 (1995).
- [4] Chandrasekhar, S. and Ferrari, V., *Proceedings of Royal Society of London* **A435**, 645 (1991)
- [5] Cooperstock, F. I. and Richardson, S. A. in *Proc. 4th Canadian Conf. on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [6] Garfinkle, D., Horowitz, G. T. and Strominger, A. *Physical Review* **D43**, 3140 (1991);  
Erratum : *Physical Review* **D45**, 3888 (1992).
- [7] Hawking, S. W. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **9** (1968) 598.
- [8] Hayward, S. A. *Physics Review* **D49**, 831 (1994).
- [9] Møller, C. *Annals of Physics* (NY) **4**, 347 (1958).
- [10] Penrose, R. *Proceedings of Royal Society of London* **A381**, 53 (1982).
- [11] Rosen, N. *General Relativity and Gravitation* **26**, 319 (1994);
- [12] Rosen, N. and Virbhadra, K. S. *General Relativity and Gravitation* **25**, 429 (1993).
- [13] Tod, K. P. *Proceedings of Royal Society of London* **A388**, 467 (1983).
- [14] Tolman, R. C. *Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology* (Oxford Univ. Press, London, (1934) p. 227.
- [15] Virbhadra, K. S. *Physical Review* **D41**, 1086 (1990).
- [16] Virbhadra, K. S. *Physical Review* **D42**, 1066 (1990).

- [17] Virbhadra, K. S. *Physical Review* **D42**, 2919 (1990).
- [18] Virbhadra, K. S. *Pramana-J.Physics* **38**, 31 (1992).
- [19] Virbhadra, K. S. *Pramana-J.Physics* **45**, 215 (1995).
- [20] Virbhadra, K. S. and Parikh, J. C. *Physics Letters* **B317**, 312 (1993).
- [21] Virbhadra, K. S. and Parikh, J. C. *Physics Letters* **B331**, 302 (1994).