

# Equation of motion for a domain wall coupled to gravitational field

Akihiro Ishibashi\* and Hideki Ishihara†

*Department of Physics,  
Tokyo Institute of Technology,  
Oh-Okayama Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152, Japan*

## Abstract

The equation of motion for a domain wall coupled to gravitational field is derived from the Nambu-Goto action. The domain wall is treated as a source of gravitational field. The perturbed equation is also obtained with gravitational back reaction on the wall motion taken into account. For general spherically symmetric background case, the equation is expressed in terms of the gauge-invariant variables.

PACS number(s): 04.30.Nk, 04.40.Nr, 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Hw

## I. INTRODUCTION

As a possible evidence of cosmological phase transitions in the early universe, topological defects may remain somewhere in our universe. The physics of spacetimes containing the defects such as cosmic strings and domain walls has been investigated extensively (see, e.g., Ref. [1]). In particular, domain walls as the boundary of a vacuum bubble in a false vacuum sea play an essential role in the open inflationary cosmology [2] recently developed. There have been increasing interests in dynamics of domain walls in cosmology.

Since, in most situations of cosmological interest, the thickness of domain walls can be neglected compared to all the other scales we shall consider infinitely thin domain walls in what follows. In this thin wall approximation, the trajectory of a thin domain wall in a spacetime is described as a hypersurface called the *world sheet*. In studies of dynamics of domain walls, especially in perturbation theory, we often assume that gravitational effects of domain walls are negligible. The dynamics of the wall is governed by the Nambu-Goto action on a fixed background spacetime. We also expect that the equation derived from the Nambu-Goto action could well describe the behavior of the wall even in the case that gravitational back reaction on the wall motion is taken into account.

In perturbation theory, the small deformation of a domain wall is described by a single scalar field  $\phi$  living in the background world sheet [3,4]. On the assumption neglecting gravitational effects of the domain wall, the perturbation  $\phi$  obeys the Klein-Gordon equation in the form

$$(\Box_3 - m^2)\phi = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where the mass term  $m^2$  is expressed in terms of the difference of the vacuum energy densities on the two sides of the wall, the surface energy density, the three-scalar curvature of the unperturbed world sheet,

---

\*E-mail:akihiro@th.phys.titech.ac.jp

†E-mail:ishihara@th.phys.titech.ac.jp

and the spacetime Ricci tensor at the world sheet. The scalar field  $\phi$  couples to the exterior spacetime geometry only through the mass term. Since this equation has oscillatory solutions we naively expect that the oscillation of  $\phi$  might generate gravitational waves via the perturbed Einstein equations and would be damped gradually by the emission of the gravitational waves.

On the other hand, we can see qualitatively different behavior of domain walls, using the metric junction formalism of Israel [5]. The spacetime containing a thin domain wall as a source of gravitational field becomes singular at the wall hypersurface. The metric junction formalism is one of the ways to treat such singular hypersurfaces. Since the junction condition is derived from the Einstein equations, the influence of gravitational field on the wall motion is automatically taken into account in this formalism. The perturbations of domain walls interacting with gravitational waves are investigated by using the formalism by Kodama, et.al. [6] in a locally flat background case and by the present authors [7] in the one-bubble open inflation [2] background case. In these works, thanks to the high symmetry  $O(3,1)$  of the background geometry, the perturbed motion of the wall coupled to gravitational waves can be solved explicitly. It turns out that, once the gravitational back reaction is taken into account, the oscillatory behavior of the deformation of the domain wall disappears. Furthermore, the domain wall loses its dynamical degree of freedom and the perturbed motion of the wall is completely accompanied with gravitational perturbations. It is remarkable that the results indicate that the gravitational back reaction cannot be ignored even in the first order of the perturbation amplitude.

In general, when we resolve the coupled system of a domain wall and gravitational perturbations, our task is to solve the perturbed Einstein equations and the equation of motion (EOM) for the domain wall coupled to gravitational field simultaneously. Indeed this has been accomplished in the works [6,7], but the relation between the wall behavior in these analyses and the EOM for the domain wall derived from the Nambu-Goto action remains being unclear.

As is well known, the EOM for a source of gravitational field is built into the Einstein equations via the Bianchi identity. Thus the EOM for a domain wall coupled to gravitational field should be consistent with the Einstein equations. Furthermore, as mentioned above, the world sheet of a thin domain wall as a concentrated source is singular hypersurface, hence the dynamics of the wall is that of the singularity. Then there naturally arises a non-trivial question whether the motion of such a singular source is well described by the equation derived from the Nambu-Goto action on a fixed background spacetime.

In this paper, we shall derive the EOM for domain walls coupled to gravitational fields from an action principle. We explicitly show the perturbed EOM for a domain wall coupled to gravitational perturbations in generic background. When we treat perturbations of gravitational fields, we should give care to gauge modes. For general spherically symmetric background case, as a simple example, we express the EOM in terms of the gauge-invariant perturbation variables. We can see that the EOM obtained here actually coincides with that obtained by the metric junction formalism in Ref. [7] in the case of a vacuum bubble nucleation in de Sitter spacetime.

In the next section, we shall develop the procedure to obtain EOM for a domain wall coupled to gravitational field from the Nambu-Goto action to be consistent with the Einstein equations. In Sec. III, we first obtain the perturbed EOM for a domain wall coupled to gravitational perturbations without imposing any symmetry on the background geometry. Next we introduce the gauge-invariant perturbation variables for the general spherically symmetric background case and represent the perturbed equation explicitly in terms of them.

Throughout the paper, the unit  $c = 1$  is adopted and  $8\pi G$  is denoted by  $\kappa$ , the signature of the spacetime metric is taken to be  $(-, +, +, +)$ . The definitions of geometrical quantities and the notation are essentially the same as those in Ref. [7].

## II. GENERAL FORMULA

Consider a four-dimensional spacetime  $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$  with a continuous metric containing a domain wall whose world sheet  $\Sigma$  divides the spacetime manifold  $M$  into two regions:  $M_-$  and  $M_+$ . Provided that the whole spacetime manifold  $M = M_+ \cup \Sigma \cup M_-$  is smooth and  $\Sigma$  is also smooth as a submanifold in  $M$ , a smooth coordinate system  $\{x^\mu\}$  (the indices  $\mu, \nu, \dots$  run over 0,1,2,3) is taken in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ . Then the embedding of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$  is described by

$$x^\mu = x^\mu(\zeta^i), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\{\zeta^i\}$  (the indices  $i, j, \dots$  run over 0,1,2) is an intrinsic three-coordinate system assigned on  $\Sigma$ . The unit normal vector  $n^\mu$  of  $\Sigma$  pointing toward  $M_+$  and the tangent vectors defined as

$$e_i^\mu := \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \zeta^i}, \quad (2.2)$$

are characterized by

$$g_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu = 1, \quad g_{\mu\nu} e_i^\mu n^\nu = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, the intrinsic metric  $q_{ij}$  on  $\Sigma$  is induced as

$$q_{ij} := g_{\mu\nu} e_i^\mu e_j^\nu, \quad (2.4)$$

and its inverse satisfies

$$q^{ij} e_i^\mu e_j^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} - n^\mu n^\nu. \quad (2.5)$$

The action for the coupled system of a domain wall and gravitational field is given by

$$S = -\sigma \int_\Sigma d^3\zeta \sqrt{-q} - \rho_+ \int_{M_+} d^4x \sqrt{-g} - \rho_- \int_{M_-} d^4x \sqrt{-g} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R. \quad (2.6)$$

The first term is so-called the Nambu-Goto action for the domain wall which is in proportion to the proper three-volume of wall's world sheet. The constant  $\sigma$  represents the surface energy density of the wall. The second and the third terms are proportional to the four-volume of the  $M_+$  and  $M_-$  regions respectively. The constants  $\rho_\pm$  are the vacuum energy densities of the  $M_\pm$  regions respectively. The last term is the Einstein action, where  $R$  is the scalar curvature associated with  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

First, consider the variation of the action (2.6) with respect to small changes in  $x^\mu(\zeta^i)$  on  $\Sigma$ ,

$$x^\mu \longrightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu. \quad (2.7)$$

Then we obtain the equation [3,4]

$$\square_3 x^\mu + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} n^\mu = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\square_3$  is the d'Alembertian in  $\Sigma$  defined by

$$\square_3 x^\mu := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-q}} \partial_i (\sqrt{-q} q^{ij} \partial_j x^\mu) = {}^{(3)}D^j e_j^\mu, \quad (2.9)$$

$\Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu}$  is the Levi-Civita connection associated with  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  ${}^{(3)}D^j$  is the covariant derivative with respect to  $q_{ij}$ , and  $\rho := \rho_+ - \rho_-$ .

The tangential directions of  $\delta x^\mu$  to  $\Sigma$  correspond to diffeomorphisms,  $\zeta^i \rightarrow \zeta^i + \delta \zeta^i$ , on  $\Sigma$  and the transverse direction is the only degree of freedom for physical motion. Hence physically relevant component of Eq. (2.8) is the normal one:

$$n_\mu \square_3 x^\mu + n_\mu \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

By the Gauss-Weingarten equation

$${}^{(3)}D_i e_j^\mu + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda = n^\mu K_{ij}, \quad (2.11)$$

Eq. (2.8) is further simplified in the form

$$K = -\frac{\rho}{\sigma}. \quad (2.12)$$

Here,  $K$  is the trace of the extrinsic curvature defined by

$$K_{ij} := -e_i^\mu e_j^\nu \nabla_\nu n_\mu. \quad (2.13)$$

Next, taking the variation of the action in  $g^{\mu\nu}$ , we obtain the Einstein equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.14)$$

For convenience, let us introduce the Gaussian normal coordinate system  $\{\chi, \zeta^i\}$  in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  in which the spacetime metric takes the form

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = d\chi^2 + q_{ij}d\zeta^i d\zeta^j. \quad (2.15)$$

Without loss of generality, one may choose  $\chi = 0$  on  $\Sigma$  and  $\chi > 0 (< 0)$  on  $M_+(M_-)$ , respectively. In this coordinate system the energy-momentum tensor is expressed as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\sigma q_{\mu\nu}(\zeta^i)\delta(\chi) - \rho g_{\mu\nu}\theta(\chi), \quad (2.16)$$

where  $q_{\mu\nu}$  is the four-dimensional extension of  $q_{ij}$ ,  $\delta(\chi)$  the  $\delta$ -function, and  $\theta(\chi)$  the step function.

It should be noted that the whole spacetime manifold  $M$  can be regarded as a hybrid of  $M_+$  and  $M_-$ . The boundary hypersurfaces  $\partial M_\pm$  should be matched with  $\Sigma$ . Since the coordinates  $x^\mu$  are smooth in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  the tangent vectors  $e_i^\mu$  are continuous at  $\Sigma$ . Then the intrinsic metric  $q_{ij}$  defined by Eq. (2.4) is continuous and well defined at  $\Sigma$ . The three-Ricci tensor  ${}^{(3)}R^i_j$  in  $\Sigma$  is also continuous.

On the other hand, since Eq. (2.16) has a singular term which is proportional to the  $\delta$ -function and the Einstein equations (2.14) contain the second derivatives of the spacetime metric, the first derivatives of the spacetime metric become in general discontinuous at  $\Sigma$ , i.e.,  $(\partial_\chi g_{ij})|_- \neq (\partial_\chi g_{ij})|_+$ . The related quantities such as the extrinsic curvature  $K_{ij}$  is not well defined on  $\Sigma$ . Thus Eqs. (2.10) and (2.12) have ambiguities in the evaluation of  $\Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu}$  and  $K_{ij}$  at  $\Sigma$ .

Let us see how to evaluate the discontinuous quantity  $K^i_j$  on  $\Sigma$  from the view point of consistency with the Einstein equations on the whole spacetime  $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$ . Let  $\Sigma_\chi$  be a  $\chi = \text{const.}$  hypersurface ( $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\chi=0}$ ). In the Gaussian normal coordinate system, the unit normal vector to  $\Sigma_\chi$  and the extrinsic curvature of  $\Sigma_\chi$ , which is well defined if  $\Sigma_\chi \neq \Sigma$ , are represented respectively by

$$n^\mu = (\partial_\chi)^\mu, \quad (2.17)$$

$$K_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\chi g_{ij}. \quad (2.18)$$

The  $(i, j)$  components of the Einstein equations are formally expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} G^i_j &\equiv {}^{(3)}R^i_j + \frac{1}{2}{}^{(3)}R\delta^i_j - K K^i_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_j \left( 2q^{lm}{}^{(3)}R_{lm} - K^{lm}K_{lm} + K^2 \right) + \partial_\chi K^i_j \\ &= -\kappa\delta^i_j\sigma \left\{ \delta(\chi) + \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\theta(\chi) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Then the extrinsic curvature of  $\Sigma$  should be given by

$$\begin{aligned} K^i_j|_\Sigma &:= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\chi K^i_j \delta(\chi) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa\sigma} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\chi (K^i_m \partial_\chi K^m_j + K^m_j \partial_\chi K^i_m) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa\sigma} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\chi \partial_\chi (K^i_m K^m_j) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa\sigma} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \bar{K}^i_m \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\chi \partial_\chi K^m_j + \bar{K}^m_j \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\chi \partial_\chi K^i_m \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \bar{K}^i_m \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\chi \delta^m_j \delta(\chi) + \bar{K}^m_j \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\chi \delta^i_m \delta(\chi) \right\} \\ &= \bar{K}^i_j, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where Eq. (2.19) is used for the second and the fifth lines, and  $\bar{K}^i_j$  is defined as

$$\bar{K}^i_j := \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \{ K^i_j|_{+\epsilon} + K^i_j|_{-\epsilon} \} = \frac{1}{2} \{ K^{i+}_j + K^{i-}_j \}. \quad (2.21)$$

The extrinsic curvature  $K_{ij}^+(K_{ij}^-)$  of the boundary hypersurface  $\partial M_+(\partial M_-)$  is defined by the right (left) differential coefficient  $\partial_\chi g_{ij}|_+(\partial_\chi g_{ij}|_-)$  on the hypersurface. We should replace the extrinsic quantities on  $\Sigma$  by the algebraically averaged one. Hereafter, we use ‘average’ in the sense of Eq. (2.21).

We therefore should recognize the extrinsic quantities which appear in Eq. (2.10) (and equivalently Eq. (2.12)) as the averaged one. Thus Eq. (2.10) should be replaced by

$$n_\mu \square_3 x^\mu + n_\mu \overline{F}^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

This expression is independent of coordinate choice. Equivalently, Eq. (2.12) should be

$$\overline{K} = -\frac{\rho}{\sigma}. \quad (2.23)$$

We note that, in fact, we can see that Eqs.  $K_\pm = \rho/\sigma$  are incompatible with the continuity of the intrinsic metric  $q_{ij}$  from the junction conditions [6–10]. For example, in the case of a vacuum bubble [7], if  $K_\pm = \rho/\sigma$  expressed the bubble wall motion, it would imply  $r_-^2 \neq r_+^2$  at  $\Sigma$  from Eq. (2.44) in Ref. [7], though  $r_\pm^2$  are the components of the intrinsic metrics  $(q_{ij})_\pm$ .

On the other hand Eq. (2.22) (and equivalently Eq. (2.23)) describes the embedding of  $\Sigma$  into the physical spacetime  $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$  being consistent with the Einstein equations and the motion of the domain wall.

Here, we briefly summarize the procedure how to derive the EOM for a domain wall coupled to gravitational field. (i) Consider the half spacetimes  $M_+$  and  $M_-$  separately and take the variations of the actions (2.6) in the extrinsic coordinates  $x^\mu$  of wall and get tentative equations of motion. (ii) Take an average of these two equations, so we get the desired equation for  $\Sigma$  in  $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$ .

### III. PERTURBED EQUATION

Let us consider the perturbed EOM for a domain wall. Following the procedure proposed in the previous section, we first take the perturbations of the tentative equation (2.10) formally, and then replace the extrinsic quantities on  $\Sigma$  by the averaged ones.

#### A. Perturbed EOMs on generic background spacetimes

We concentrate on  $M_+$  and suppress ‘+’ to keep the simplicity. Let  $\tilde{\delta}$  be a perturbation of the extrinsic coordinates  $x^\mu$  on the wall:

$$\tilde{x}^\mu := x^\mu + \tilde{\delta}x^\mu, \quad (3.1)$$

and consider the perturbation of Eq. (2.10). Note that the perturbation  $\tilde{\delta}$  in Eq. (3.1), which is formally the same as the mathematical variation  $\check{\delta}$  in Eq. (2.7), is a physical perturbation. Since the transverse motion is physically relevant, the wall displacement  $\tilde{\delta}x^\mu$  can be described with a single scalar field  $\phi(\zeta^i)$  in  $\Sigma$  by

$$\tilde{\delta}x^\mu = \phi n^\mu. \quad (3.2)$$

In Refs. [3,4], it is considered that the perturbations of the wall intrinsic metric are induced by  $\tilde{\delta}x^\mu$  through the relation  $q_{ij}(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x)e_i^\mu e_j^\nu$ . However in the coupled system of a domain wall and gravitational field, the wall motion also generates metric perturbations. Then we should take account of them, described by  $\delta'$  as

$$\delta' : g_{\mu\nu}(x) \longrightarrow g'_{\mu\nu}(x) := g_{\mu\nu}(x) + h_{\mu\nu}(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Now, full perturbation is  $\delta := \tilde{\delta} + \delta'$ . The spacetime metric then transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : g_{\mu\nu}(x) &\longrightarrow g'_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) = g_{\mu\nu}(x + \tilde{\delta}x) + h_{\mu\nu}(x) \\ &= g_{\mu\nu}(x) - 2\phi K_{\mu\nu}(x) + h_{\mu\nu}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where  $K_{\mu\nu}$  is the four-dimensional extension of  $K_{ij}$  of the background world sheet. The wall intrinsic metric  $q_{ij}$  transforms as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta : q_{ij}(x) &\longrightarrow q'_{ij}(\tilde{x}) = \{g_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) + h_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x})\} e_i^\mu(\tilde{x}) e_j^\nu(\tilde{x}) \\ &\simeq q_{ij}(x) - 2\phi K_{ij}(x) + h_{ij}(x),\end{aligned}\quad (3.5)$$

where

$$e_i^\mu(\tilde{x}) := \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}{\partial \zeta^i} = e_i^\mu(x) + n^\mu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \zeta^i}, \quad (3.6)$$

and we use the orthogonality  $e_i^\mu n_\mu = 0$ . Thus,

$$\delta q_{ij}(x) = -2\phi K_{ij}(x) + h_{ij}(x), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\delta q^{ij}(x) := -q^{il} q^{jk} \delta q_{lk}(x) = 2\phi K^{ij}(x) - h^{ij}(x). \quad (3.8)$$

From Eq. (2.8), the perturbation of Eq. (2.10) reduces to

$$n_\mu \delta \left( \square_3 x^\mu + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} n^\mu \right) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

The first term in the LHS of Eq. (3.9) is

$$n_\mu \delta (\square_3 x^\mu) = n_\mu {}^{(3)}D^j \delta e_j^\mu = \square_3 \phi, \quad (3.10)$$

where we use the relation  $\delta e_j^\mu = \partial \delta x^\mu / \partial \zeta^j = n^\mu \partial_j \phi$ . After some calculation, which is shown in Appendix A, the second term in the LHS of Eq. (3.9) becomes

$$n_\mu \delta \left( \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda \right) = {}^{(3)}D^j h_{\chi j} - \frac{1}{2} q^{ij} \partial_\chi h_{ij} - K h_{\chi\chi} - K_{ij} h^{ij} + 2 \left( K_{ij} K^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} q^{ij} \partial_\chi K_{ij} \right) \phi. \quad (3.11)$$

It should be noted that  $K_{ij}$  and  $\partial_\chi K_{ij}$  in Eq. (3.11) are defined by the right differential coefficient in  $M_+$  on  $\partial M_+$ . From  $g_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu = 1$ , the third term in the LHS of Eq. (3.9) becomes

$$n_\mu \delta \left( \frac{\rho}{\sigma} n^\mu \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{\sigma} h_{\chi\chi}. \quad (3.12)$$

We therefore find that Eq. (3.9) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & (\square_3 + 2K_{ij} K^{ij} + q^{ij} \partial_\chi K_{ij}) \phi(\zeta^i) \\ & + {}^{(3)}D^j h_{\chi j} - \frac{1}{2} q^{ij} \partial_\chi h_{ij} - K_{ij} h^{ij} - \left( K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \right) h_{\chi\chi} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

With the aid of the relation

$$-\frac{1}{2} q^{ij} \partial_\chi h_{ij} - K_{ij} h^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\chi h^j_j, \quad (3.14)$$

and the Gauss-Codazzi equation

$$\partial_\chi K = 2K_{ij} K^{ij} + q^{ij} \partial_\chi K_{ij} = q^{ij} R_{ij} - {}^{(3)}R + K^2 =: -m^2, \quad (3.15)$$

we obtain

$$(\square_3 - m^2) \phi(\zeta^i) + J = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$J := {}^{(3)}D^j h_{\chi j} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\chi h^j_j - \left( K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \right) h_{\chi\chi}. \quad (3.17)$$

Considering the perturbation of the wall motion in  $M_-$ , we obtain the equation for  $\phi$  in the same form as Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17).

Replacing the extrinsic quantities ( $K_{ij}, K^2, R_{ij}$ ) of  $\Sigma$  by the averaged values ( $\overline{K}_{ij}, \overline{K}^2, \overline{R}_{ij}$ ) and using Eq. (2.23), we obtain

$$\left(\square_3 - \overline{m}^2\right) \phi(\zeta^i) + \overline{J} = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

with

$$\overline{m}^2 = -\overline{R}_{ij} q^{ij} + {}^{(3)}R - \left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right)^2, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\overline{J} = {}^{(3)}D^j h_{\chi j} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\partial_\chi h^j_j} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right) h_{\chi\chi}. \quad (3.20)$$

This is the perturbed EOM for a domain wall coupled to gravitational perturbations. Note that the source term  $J$  is described by the longitudinal part, the trace part, and the scalar part of the metric perturbations. In the derivation of Eq. (3.18), no symmetry is required to the background geometry.

In relativistic perturbation theory, perturbed quantities have unphysical gauge freedom. Associated with an infinitesimal coordinate transformation

$$\bar{\delta} : x^\mu \longrightarrow x^\mu + \bar{\delta}x^\mu =: x^\mu + \xi^\mu, \quad (3.21)$$

the metric perturbations transform as

$$\bar{\delta} : h_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow h_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\delta}h_{\mu\nu} := h_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu - \nabla_\nu \xi_\mu. \quad (3.22)$$

As seen below, though Eq. (3.18) is gauge-invariant, the scalar field  $\phi$  and  $J$  themselves are gauge-dependent. Indeed, associated with the transformation (3.21),  $\phi$  transforms, by the definition (3.2), as

$$\bar{\delta}\phi = \xi_\chi, \quad (3.23)$$

and  $J$  transforms as

$$\bar{\delta}J = -(\square_3 - m^2)\xi_\chi - \xi^j D_j K, \quad (3.24)$$

where we use the equation

$$q^{ij} \partial_\chi {}^{(3)}D_i \xi_j = \partial_\chi {}^{(3)}D^l \xi_l - 2K^{ij} {}^{(3)}D_i \xi_j. \quad (3.25)$$

Once the average is taken, the second term in the RHS of Eq. (3.24) vanishes from Eq. (2.23). This suggests that the wall variable  $\phi$  combines with a gauge-dependent gravitational variable contained in  $J$  to form a gauge-invariant wall displacement variable. We shall represent Eq. (3.18) in terms of the gauge-invariant variables explicitly in general spherically symmetric background case in the next section.

## B. Spherically symmetric background case

In spherically symmetric background case we can expand the perturbations by the tensor harmonics and express the perturbed equation in terms of the harmonic expansion coefficients. Furthermore, introducing the gauge-invariant variables for the metric perturbations according to Gerlach and Sengupta [11], we find the gauge-invariant wall displacement variable. Then, we write down Eq. (3.18) explicitly in the gauge-invariant way.

In general spherically symmetric spacetime, the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \gamma_{ab}(y^c) dy^a dy^b + r^2(y^c) \Omega_{pq} dz^p dz^q. \quad (3.26)$$

Here,  $\Omega_{pq} dz^p dz^q$  is the metric on a unit symmetric two-sphere with angular coordinates  $z^p$ , i.e.

$$\Omega_{pq} dz^p dz^q = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 =: d\Omega^2, \quad (3.27)$$

where  $\theta, \varphi$  are the standard angular coordinates. The functions  $r(y^c)$  and  $\gamma_{ab}(y^c)$  are scalar and tensor fields on the two-dimensional orbit space  ${}^2M$  spanned by the two-coordinates  $y^a$  and each point of  ${}^2M$

represents a symmetric two-sphere. The indices  $a, b, c, \dots$  represent indices on the orbit space and  $p, q, r, \dots$  on the two-sphere. The history of the spherically symmetric domain wall is described by a timelike orbit in  ${}^2M$ .

Let  $\tau^a$  be the future directed unit timelike vector of the orbit of  $\Sigma$  in  ${}^2M$ . The proper time  $\tau$  of  $\Sigma$  is defined by  $\tau^a \partial_a \tau = 1$ . Then, the orbit space metric can be decomposed as

$$\gamma_{ab} = -\tau_a \tau_b + n_a n_b, \quad (3.28)$$

where  $n_a$  is the unit normal vector to  $\Sigma$  in  ${}^2M$ . The wall intrinsic metric is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} q_{ij} d\zeta^i d\zeta^j &= -\tau_a \tau_b dy^a dy^b + q_{pq} dz^p dz^q \\ &= -d\tau^2 + r^2(\tau) d\Omega^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

The intrinsic coordinates  $\zeta^i$  are naturally taken by

$$\zeta^i = (\tau, \theta, \varphi). \quad (3.30)$$

Let  $D_a$  and  $\hat{D}_p$  be the covariant derivatives with respect to the orbit space metric  $\gamma_{ab}$  and the unit two-sphere metric  $\Omega_{pq}$ , respectively. Then, the components of the extrinsic curvature  $K_{ij} d\zeta^i d\zeta^j$  are represented by

$$K_{ab} = -\tau_a \tau_b K^c{}_c = -\tau_a \tau_b \tau^c D_{\parallel} n_c, \quad (3.31)$$

$$K_{ap} = 0, \quad (3.32)$$

$$K_{pq} = -\frac{1}{2} K^r{}_{r} q_{pq} = -r^2 \Omega_{pq} \frac{D_{\perp} r}{r}, \quad (3.33)$$

where and hereafter, we use the abbreviated notation such as  $f_{\parallel} := \tau^a f_a$  and  $f_{\perp} := n^a f_a$ . We also note that  $K^c{}_c = -\tau^{\mu} \tau^{\nu} K_{\mu\nu}$ , the partial trace  $K^p{}_p = K^2{}_2 + K^3{}_3$ ,  $D_a n^a$ , and  $D_{\perp} r$  are scalar fields on  ${}^2M$ .

### 1. Tensor harmonics expansion

We introduce the vector and tensor harmonics on the unit symmetric two-sphere, which are induced from the spherical harmonic functions  $Y_l^m(z^p)$  satisfying

$$\left\{ \hat{\Delta}_2 + l(l+1) \right\} Y_l^m = 0, \quad (3.34)$$

where  $\hat{\Delta}_2 := \Omega^{pq} \hat{D}_p \hat{D}_q$ .

The vector and tensor harmonics are given by, for the odd mode,

$$(V^{(o)}_{lm})_p := \epsilon_{pq} \hat{D}^q Y_l^m, \quad (3.35)$$

$$(T^{(o2)}_{lm})_{pq} := \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{qr} \hat{D}_p + \epsilon_{pr} \hat{D}_q) \hat{D}^r Y_l^m, \quad (3.36)$$

and for the even mode,

$$(V^{(e)}_l^m)_p := \hat{D}_p Y_l^m, \quad (3.37)$$

$$(T^{(e0)}_l^m)_{pq} := \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{pq} Y_l^m, \quad (3.38)$$

$$(T^{(e2)}_l^m)_{pq} := \left\{ \hat{D}_p \hat{D}_q + \frac{1}{2} l(l+1) \Omega_{pq} \right\} Y_l^m. \quad (3.39)$$

It is obvious that

$$\hat{D}_p (V^{(o)}_{lm})^p = 0, \quad (T^{(o2)}_{lm})^p{}_p = 0, \quad (3.40)$$

$$(T^{(e2)}_{lm})^p{}_p = 0. \quad (3.41)$$

Then, the odd modes are irrelevant for  $J$  given by Eq. (3.17), which contains neither transverse nor traceless part of the metric perturbations.



The even mode metric perturbations  $h_{\mu\nu}$  are expanded as

$$h_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = \sum_{l,m} \left\{ (f^{(e)}_{lm})_{ab} Y_{lm} dy^a dy^b + r(f^{(e1)}_{lm})_a (V^{(e)}_{lm})_p (dy^a dz^p + dz^p dy^a) \right. \\ \left. + \left( r^2(f^{(e0)}_{lm})(T^{(e0)}_{lm})_{pq} + r^2(f^{(e2)}_{lm})(T^{(e2)}_{lm})_{pq} \right) dz^p dz^q \right\}. \quad (3.42)$$

Hereafter, the angular integers  $l, m$  and summation  $\sum_{l,m}$  are suppressed for simplicity. The expansion coefficients,  $f(y^c), f_a(y^c), f_{ab}(y^c)$  are scalar, vector, and symmetric tensor fields on the orbit space  ${}^2M$ , respectively.

The scalar function  $\phi(\zeta^i) = \phi(\tau)Y_l^m(z^p)$  and  $J$  are described by the even mode functions. After straightforward manipulation, we can express Eq. (3.16) in the form

$$\left\{ -D_{\parallel}^2 - 2 \left( \frac{D_{\parallel} r}{r} \right) D_{\parallel} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - m^2 \right\} \phi(\tau) \\ - D_{\parallel} f_{\parallel\perp}^{(e)} - 2 \left( \frac{D_{\parallel} r}{r} \right) f_{\parallel\perp}^{(e)} + \frac{1}{2} D_{\perp} f_{\parallel\parallel}^{(e)} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r} f_{\perp}^{(e1)} - \frac{1}{2} D_{\perp} f^{(e0)} - \left( K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \right) f_{\perp\perp}^{(e)} = 0, \quad (3.43)$$

where we omit  $Y_l^m(z^p)$ . The operator acting on  $\phi$  in the first term is nothing but the Klein-Gordon operator on the background world sheet.

## 2. Gauge-invariant expression

Let us introduce the gauge-invariant perturbation variables and express Eq. (3.43) in terms of them. The even mode generator  $\xi_\mu dx^\mu$  of the gauge transformation (3.21) is expanded as

$$\xi_\mu^{(e)} dx^\mu = \xi_a^{(e)} Y dy^a + r \xi^{(e)} V_p^{(e)} dz^p. \quad (3.44)$$

Then, the even mode gauge transformed metric perturbations are

$$\bar{\delta} h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -(D_a \xi_b^{(e)} + D_b \xi_a^{(e)}) Y dy^a dy^b - \left\{ \xi_a^{(e)} + r^2 D_a \left( \frac{\xi^{(e)}}{r^2} \right) \right\} V_p^{(e)} (dy^a dz^p + dz^p dy^a) \\ + 2 \left\{ l(l+1) \xi^{(e)} - 2r \xi_a^{(e)} D^a r \right\} T_{pq}^{(e0)} dz^p dz^q - 2 \xi^{(e)} T_{pq}^{(e2)} dz^p dz^q. \quad (3.45)$$

From Eqs. (3.42) and (3.45), we take the even mode gauge-invariant metric perturbation variables as

$$\mathcal{F} := f^{(e0)} + l(l+1)f^{(e2)} - \frac{4}{r} X^a D_a r, \quad (3.46)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} := f_{ab} - D_a X_b - D_b X_a + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \gamma_{ab}, \quad (3.47)$$

where the vector  $X^a$  is defined by

$$X^a := r f^{(e1)a} - \frac{1}{2} r^2 D^a f^{(e2)}. \quad (3.48)$$

In terms of  $\mathcal{F}_{ab}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $J$  is represented as

$$J = \left[ -D_{\parallel} \mathcal{F}_{\parallel\perp} - 2 \left( \frac{D_{\parallel} r}{r} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\parallel\perp} + \frac{1}{2} D_{\perp} \mathcal{F}_{\parallel\parallel} - \frac{1}{4} D_{\perp} \mathcal{F} - \left( K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \right) \left( \mathcal{F}_{\perp\perp} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ -D_{\parallel}^2 - 2 \left( \frac{D_{\parallel} r}{r} \right) D_{\parallel} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + D_{\perp} K \right\} X_{\perp} \right. \\ \left. - \left( K + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \right) D_{\perp} X_{\perp} - X_{\parallel} D_{\parallel} K \right] Y, \quad (3.49)$$

where we use  $\tau_c D_{\perp} n^c = 0$ , Eqs. (3.31), and (3.33). From Eq. (2.23), the average of the last line vanishes, i.e.,

$$\left(\overline{K} + \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right) \overline{D_{\perp} X_{\perp}} = \overline{X_{\parallel} D_{\parallel} K} = 0. \quad (3.50)$$

Thus, taking the average we obtain consequently

$$\left(\square_3 - \overline{m^2}\right) \Xi + \overline{J} = 0, \quad (3.51)$$

where

$$\Xi := (\phi + X_{\perp}) Y \quad (3.52)$$

is the wall displacement variable and

$$\overline{J} = \left\{ -\overline{D_{\parallel} \mathcal{F}_{\parallel\perp}} - 2 \left( \frac{D_{\parallel} r}{r} \right) \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\parallel\perp}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{D_{\perp} \mathcal{F}_{\parallel\parallel}} - \frac{1}{4} \overline{D_{\perp} \mathcal{F}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \right) \left( \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\perp\perp}} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right) \right\} Y \quad (3.53)$$

is the source term. Since, for the transformation (3.21), the vector  $X^a$  transforms as

$$\bar{\delta} X^a = -\xi^a, \quad (3.54)$$

and from Eq. (3.23), it turns out that the wall displacement variable  $\Xi$  given by Eq. (3.52) is gauge-invariant. Thus, Eq. (3.51) is a manifestly gauge-invariant form of Eq. (3.18) for general spherically symmetric background case.

In the gauge choice such that  $X_{\perp} = 0$  on the perturbed world sheet,  $\phi(\tau)$  represents the displacement of the perturbed wall from the background world sheet. On the other hand, in the gauge  $\phi = 0$  on the perturbed world sheet, which is used in Refs. [6,7],  $X_{\perp}$  measures the wall displacement.

In the case of a vacuum bubble nucleation in a false vacuum sea, provided that  $M_-$  is Minkowski spacetime and  $M_+$  de Sitter spacetime with the cosmological constant  $\Lambda =: 3H^2 = \kappa\rho$ , the boundary of the bubble is a domain wall whose world sheet has an  $O(3,1)$  symmetry. Then, using the constraints of the Einstein equations (see Ref. [7] or [12]),

$$\mathcal{F}^a_{\phantom{a}a} = \mathcal{F}, \quad D_b \mathcal{F}^b_a = D_a \mathcal{F}, \quad (3.55)$$

we can easily verify that Eq. (3.51) reduces to Eq. (5.3) in Ref. [7] in the gauge choice  $\phi = 0$ .

For such an  $O(3,1)$  symmetric background case, Eq. (3.18) is also described in a simple way analogous to the usual cosmological perturbation [13]. In Appendix B, we introduce the gauge-invariant variables following Ref. [13] and express the equation in terms of them.

#### IV. SUMMARY

We showed the procedure to obtain the EOM for a domain wall coupled to gravitational field from the Nambu-Goto action. We should take care that the spacetime is singular at the world sheet of the wall, where the left and the right derivatives of the metric (extrinsic quantities) are defined respectively and these do not coincide. First, we concentrated on each half spacetime and got two equations by the action principle for the world sheet as the boundaries of the half spacetimes. Next, in order to obtain the EOM which is consistent with the Einstein equations we should take the average of these equations, in other words, take the average of the left and the right derivatives.

Following the proposed procedure, we derived the perturbed EOM for the domain wall in general background geometries with taking the gravitational back reaction into account. The effects of the gravitational back reaction is included in the source term of the equation.

In treatment of gravitational perturbations, there is the gauge ambiguity. In spherically symmetric background case, as a simple example, we found that  $\phi$  combines with a gravitational variable to form a gauge-invariant wall displacement variable, and derived the EOM in a manifestly gauge-invariant form.

In the special cases considered in Refs. [6] and [7], wall's EOMs, which are derived by the use of the metric junction conditions in these works, coincide with Eq. (3.51) if we take the gauge  $\phi = 0$ . Since the metric junction conditions are nothing but the Einstein equations at the world sheet, this coincidence is quite natural. Indeed, the Einstein equations contain the EOM for matter via

$$\nabla_{\nu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

which is ensured by the Bianchi identity. In this viewpoint, we may expect that, once we regard the orbit of a domain wall as a singular hypersurface, the dynamics of the wall is completely described in terms of the dynamics of gravitational field as discussed by Einstein, Infeld, and Hoffmann [14]. In Refs. [6] and [7], for the case of perturbations of the O(3,1) symmetric domain walls, it is shown that the walls lose their dynamical degrees of freedom. In addition, there is no spontaneous oscillation of the wall. These results suggest that the gravitational back reaction term  $J$  in Eq. (3.18) is important for the motion of domain walls coupled to gravitational perturbations.

In order to clarify the feature of the coupled system of extended objects and gravitation in more detail, we should analyze more generic cases. To find a gauge-invariant form of Eq. (3.18) in generic background case is an interesting problem. Generalization of the study for the system of a cosmic string and gravitational field is one of the next steps.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Kouji Nakamura and Professor Akio Hosoya for discussion and their continuous encouragement. We also would like to thank Dr. Takahiro Tanaka for useful comments.

## APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF THE CONNECTION TERM

The second term in the LHS of Eq. (3.9) is decomposed as

$$n_\mu \delta(\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda) = n_\mu \delta\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda + n_\mu \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} \delta q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda + 2n_\mu \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu \delta e_j^\lambda. \quad (\text{A1})$$

From Eq. (3.4), the first term in the RHS of Eq. (A1) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} n_\mu \delta\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda &= \frac{1}{2} n^\mu q^{ij} (\nabla_i h_{\mu j} + \nabla_j h_{\mu i} - \nabla_\mu h_{ij}) \\ &\quad - n^\mu q^{ij} \{ \nabla_i (\phi K_{\mu j}) + \nabla_j (\phi K_{\mu i}) - \nabla_\mu (\phi K_{ij}) \} \\ &= {}^{(3)}D^j h_{\chi j} - \frac{1}{2} q^{ij} \partial_\chi h_{ij} - K h_{\chi\chi} + \phi q^{ij} \partial_\chi K_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The second term in the RHS of Eq. (A1) becomes

$$n_\mu \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} \delta q^{ij} e_i^\nu e_j^\lambda = 2\phi K_{ij} K^{ij} - K_{ij} h^{ij}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

and the last term of Eq. (A1) vanishes.

## APPENDIX B: PERTURBED EOM FOR AN O(3,1) SYMMETRIC DOMAIN WALL

In a Gaussian normal coordinate system, the metric of a spacetime with an O(3,1) symmetric timelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is described as

$$ds^2 = d\chi^2 + a(\chi)^2 (-d\tilde{\tau}^2 + \cosh^2 \tilde{\tau} d\Omega^2), \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}$  is the proper time of  $\Sigma$  normalized by  $a(0)$ . Hereafter, we shall consider the three-metric  $\check{q}_{ij}$  rescaled by  $a^2$ , i.e.,  $\check{q}_{ij} d\zeta^i d\zeta^j = -d\tilde{\tau}^2 + \cosh^2 \tilde{\tau} d\Omega^2$  and denote the covariant derivative with respect to  $\check{q}_{ij}$  by  $\check{D}_i$ . Let the metric perturbations be

$$h_{\chi\chi} =: 2\alpha, \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$h_{\chi j} =: a\beta_j, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$h_{ij} =: 2a^2 (h_L \check{q}_{ij} + h_{Tij}), \quad (\text{B4})$$

where  $\check{D}^i h_{Tij} = 0$ .

Decompose the perturbations into the scalar, the vector, and the tensor type as follows: for a vector  $v^j$  in  $\Sigma$ ,

$$v^j = \check{D}^j v_L + v_T^j, \quad (\text{B5})$$

where

$$\check{D}^l \check{D}_l v_L = \check{D}_l v^l, \quad \check{D}_l v_T^l = 0, \quad (\text{B6})$$

for a second rank symmetric tensor  $t_{ij}$  in  $\Sigma$ ,

$$t_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} t_L \check{q}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} (\check{D}_j t_{Ti} + \check{D}_i t_{Tj}) + \left( \check{D}_i \check{D}_j - \frac{1}{3} \check{q}_{ij} \check{D}^l \check{D}_l \right) t_T + t_{TTij}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

where

$$t_L := t^l_l, \quad \check{D}_l t_T^l = 0, \quad \check{D}_l t_{TT}^l_j = 0, \quad t_{TT}^l_l = 0. \quad (\text{B8})$$

Associated with the infinitesimal coordinate transformation (3.21), the metric perturbations transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tensor type,} \\ \bar{\delta} h_{TTij} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vector type,} \\ \bar{\delta} \beta_{Tj} = -a \dot{\xi}_{Tj}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\bar{\delta} h_{Tj} = -\xi_{Tj}, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scalar type,} \\ \bar{\delta} \alpha = -\dot{\xi}_\perp, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$\bar{\delta} \beta_L = -a \dot{\xi}_L - \frac{1}{a} \xi_\perp, \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$\bar{\delta} h_L = -\frac{1}{3} \check{D}^l \check{D}_l \xi_L - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \xi_\perp, \quad (\text{B14})$$

$$\bar{\delta} h_T = -\xi_L, \quad (\text{B15})$$

where the *dot* denotes the  $\chi$  derivative and  $\xi_\perp := \xi^\chi$ . Then, the gauge-invariant perturbation variables are chosen as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tensor type,} \\ h_{TTij}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B16})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vector type,} \\ \sigma_T^j := a \beta_T^j - a^2 \dot{h}_T^j, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B17})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scalar type,} \\ \Phi := \mathcal{R} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \sigma_L, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B18})$$

$$\Psi := \alpha - \dot{\sigma}_L. \quad (\text{B19})$$

Here

$$\mathcal{R} := h_L - \frac{1}{3} \check{D}^l \check{D}_l h_T, \quad \sigma_L := a \beta_L - a^2 \dot{h}_T, \quad (\text{B20})$$

and their gauge transformations are

$$\bar{\delta} \mathcal{R} = -\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \xi_\perp, \quad \bar{\delta} \sigma_L = -\xi_\perp. \quad (\text{B21})$$

In terms of these variables, Eq. (3.18) is rewritten as

$$\left( \square_3 - \overline{m^2} \right) \Xi - \frac{3}{2} \overline{\Phi} + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \overline{\Psi} = 0, \quad (\text{B22})$$

where  $\Xi := \phi(\zeta^i) + \sigma_L$  is the gauge-invariant wall displacement variable.

- 
- [1] A. Vilenkin and E.P.S. Shellard : *Cosmic strings and other topological defects*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England 1994).
  - [2] K. Yamamoto, M. Sasaki, and T. Tanaka, *Astrophys. J.* **455**, 412 (1995); M. Bucher, A.S. Goldhaber, and N. Turok, *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, 3314 (1995).
  - [3] J. Garriga and A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D44**, 1007 (1991).
  - [4] J. Guven, *Phys. Rev.* **D48**, 4604 (1993).
  - [5] W. Israel, *Nuovo Cimento* **B44**, 1 (1966).
  - [6] H. Kodama, H. Ishihara, and Y. Fujiwara, *Phys. Rev.* **D50**, 7292 (1994).
  - [7] A. Ishibashi and H. Ishihara, *Phys. Rev.* **D56**, 3446 (1997).
  - [8] H. Sato, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **76**, 1250 (1986).
  - [9] V.A. Berezin, V.A. Kuzmin, and I.I. Tkachev, *Phys. Rev.* **D36**, 2919 (1987).
  - [10] S.K. Blau, E.I. Guendelman and A.H. Guth, *Phys. Rev.* **D35**, 1747 (1987).
  - [11] U.H. Gerlach and U.K. Sengupta, *J. Math. Phys.* **20**, 2540 (1979).
  - [12] U.H. Gerlach and U.K. Sengupta, *Phys. Rev.* **D19**, 2268 (1979).
  - [13] J.M. Bardeen, *Phys. Rev.* **D22**, 1882 (1980); H. Kodama and M. Sasaki, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement*, **78**, 1 (1984).
  - [14] A. Einstein, L. Infeld, and B. Hoffmann, *Ann. Math.* **39**, 65 (1938).