

# KILLING-YANO TENSORS AND NAMBU TENSORS

Dumitru Baleanu<sup>1 2</sup>

JINR, Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics

141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

## Abstract

We present the conditions when a Killing-Yano tensor becomes a Nambu tensor. We have shown that in the flat space case all Killing-Yano tensors are Nambu tensors. In the case of Taub-NUT metric and Kerr-Newmann metric we found that a Killing-Yano tensor of order two generate a Nambu tensor of order three.

---

<sup>1</sup>after 1st September 1999 at Institute of Space Sciences, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: baleanu@thsun1.jinr.ru, baleanu@venus.nipne.ro

# 1 Introduction

Killing tensors are indispensable tools in the quest for exact solutions in many branches of general relativity as well as classical mechanics. Killing tensors can also be important for solving the equations of motion in particular space-time. The notable example is the Kerr-Newmann metric which admits a second rank Killing tensor [1]. In [2] third rank Killing tensors in  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional geometries were investigated and classified. When a manifold admits a Killing-Yano tensor we can construct a new constant of motion in the case of geodesic motion [3]. It was a big success of Gibbons at all. to have been able to shown that the Killing-Yano tensors, which had long been known for relativistic systems as a rather mysterious structure, can be understood as an object generating "non-generic symmetry", i.e. supersymmetry appearing only in the specific space-time [4]. Exact solutions of Einstein's equations typically admits a number of Killing vector fields. Some of these vector fields may be motivated by physical consideration. The higher rank Killing tensors are only geodesic symmetries. They have no obvious geometric interpretation. An interesting examples of Einstein's metrics with Killing-Yano tensors are Taub-NUT metric and Kerr-Newmann metric.

In 1973 Nambu proposed a profound generalization of classical Hamiltonian mechanics [5]. The fundamental principles of a canonical form of Nambu's generalized mechanics, similar to the invariant geometrical form of Hamiltonian mechanics, has been given by Leon Takhtajan [6]. Nambu's generalization of mechanics is based upon a higher order ( $n \geq 2$ ) algebraic structure defined on a phase space  $M$ . In [7] was demonstrated that several Hamiltonian systems possessing dynamical symmetries can be realized in the Nambu formalism of generalized mechanics. Despite the elegance of Nambu's mechanics, it turns out to be somewhat restrictive with many basic problems waiting to be solved.

The main aim of this paper is to investigate the connection between Killing-Yano tensors and Nambu tensors.

The plan of this paper is as follows.

In Sec.2 we summarize the relevant equations for the Killing-Yano tensors and the associated Killing tensors of order  $r$ . In Sec.3 a brief review about Nambu's mechanics is presented. In Sec.4 we investigate the connection between Killing-Yano tensors and Nambu tensors. In Sec.5 the results in the case of the flat space, Taub-NUT metric and Kerr-Newmann metric are presented. Sec.6 contains our comments and concluding remarks.

## 2 Killing-Yano tensors

Let us consider a  $M$  a manifold of dimension  $N$  with a metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and no torsion. An  $r$ -form field ( $1 \leq r \leq N$ )  $\eta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}$  is said to be a Killing-Yano tensor [3] of order

r iff

$$D_{(\mu_1 \eta_{\mu_2} \dots \mu_{r+1})} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $D_\mu$  denotes the covariant derivative and the parenthesis denote complete symmetrization over the components indices. According to (1) the  $(r - 1)$  form field

$$l_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{r-1}} = \eta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{r-1} m} p^m, \quad (2)$$

is parallel transported along affine parametrized geodesics with tangent field  $p^a$ . Let us consider  $\eta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}$  a Killing-Yano tensor of order r, then a tensor field

$$K_{ab} = \eta_{a\mu_2 \dots \mu_r} \eta^{\mu_2 \dots \mu_r}_b, \quad (3)$$

is symmetric and proves to be a Killing tensor called the associated Killing tensor. We know that a symmetric tensor field  $K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}$  is called a Killing tensor of valence r iff

$$D_{(\mu_1} K_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{r+1})} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the parenthesis denote complete symmetrization over the components indices. The equation (4) ensures that  $K_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} p^{\mu_1} \dots p^{\mu_r}$  is a first integral of the geodesic equation. For every Killing-Yano tensor  $\eta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}$  of order r, in the case of the geodesic motion, from (3,4) we construct a constant of motion. Then the quantities  $H = g_{\mu\nu} p^\mu p^\nu$ ,  $K^l = \eta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{r-1} a} \eta^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{r-1} b} p^a p^b$  (for  $l = 3, \dots, N$ ) are conserved quantities in the case of the geodesic motion.

### 3 Nambu Mechanics

In this section we would like to make a brief review of the Nambu's mechanics. M is called a Nambu-Poisson manifold [6] if there exists a R-multilinear map

$$\{\cdot, \dots, \cdot\} : [C^{(\infty)}]^\otimes \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \quad (5)$$

called a Nambu bracket of order n such that  $\forall f_1, f_2 \dots, f_{2n-1}$

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} = (-)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \{f_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(n)}\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\{f_1 f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{n+1}\} = f_1 \{f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{n+1}\} + \{f_1, f_3, \dots, f_{n+1}\} f_2, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_n\}, f_{n+1}, \dots, f_{2n-1}\} + \{f_n, \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_{n+1}\}, f_{n+2}, \dots, f_{2n-1}\} \\ & + \dots + \{f_n, \dots, f_{2n-2}, \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_{2n-1}\}\} \\ & = \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \{f_n, \dots, f_{2n-1}\}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $\sigma \in S_n$ - the symmetric group of n elements and  $\epsilon(\sigma)$  is its parity. Equations (6,7) are the standard skew-symmetry and derivation properties found for the ordinary ( $n = 2$ ) Poisson bracket, whereas (8) is a generalization of the Jacobi

identity and was called [6] the fundamental identity. The dynamics on a Nambu-Hamiltonian manifold  $M$  (i.e. a phase space) is determined by  $n-1$  so called Nambu-Hamiltonians  $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$  and is governed by the following equations of motion [6]

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}\}, \forall f \in C^\infty(M) \quad (9)$$

The Nambu bracket is geometrically realized by the Nambu tensor field  $\eta \in \wedge^n TM$ , a section of the  $n$ -fold exterior power  $\wedge^n TM$  of a tangent bundle  $TM$ , such that

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} = \eta\{df_1, \dots, df_n\}. \quad (10)$$

In local coordinates  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  it becomes

$$\eta = \eta^{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_n}}. \quad (11)$$

The fundamental identity (8) is equivalent to the algebraic constraint equation for a Nambu tensor  $\eta^{i_1 \dots i_n}$

$$N^{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n} + N^{j_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_n i_1 j_2 j_3 \dots j_n} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} : &= \eta^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} + \eta^{j_n i_1 i_3 \dots i_n} \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n-1} i_2} \\ &+ \dots + \eta^{j_n i_2 i_3 \dots i_{n-1} i_1} \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n-1} i_n} - \eta^{j_n i_2 i_3 \dots i_n} \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n-1} i_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and one differential constraint equation [see Refs.8,9 for more details]

$$\begin{aligned} D^{i_2 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n} := & \eta^{k i_2 \dots i_n} \partial_k \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} + \eta^{j_n k i_3 \dots i_n} \partial_k \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n-1} i_2} \\ & + \dots + \eta^{j_n i_2 i_3 \dots i_{n-1} k} \partial_k \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n-1} i_n} - \eta^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n-1} k} \partial_k \eta^{j_n i_2 i_3 \dots i_n} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It has been shown [10] that Nambu tensors are decomposable (as conjectured in [9]) which in particular means that they can be written as determinants of the form (for more details see Ref.11)

$$\eta^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} v^{i_1 \alpha_1} \dots v^{i_n \alpha_n}. \quad (15)$$

## 4 Nambu and Killing-Yano tensors

In this section we present the connection between Killing-Yano tensors and Nambu tensors.

Let us suppose that a  $N$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  with a metric and no torsion admits a covariant constant Killing-Yano tensor  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ . We define  $\eta^{\mu_i \alpha_j}$  as  $\eta^{\mu_i \alpha_j} = g^{\mu_i \lambda} g^{\alpha_j \beta} \eta_{\lambda \beta}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ . Then the following two propositions hold.

### Proposition 1

If  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  satisfies  $D_\lambda \eta_{\mu\nu} = 0$  then

$$\eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r} = \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r} \eta^{\mu_1 \alpha_1} \cdots \eta^{\mu_r \alpha_r}, \quad (16)$$

is a covariant constant tensor.

### Proof.

Let us denote by  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  a covariant constant Killing-Yano tensor . Because  $D_\lambda \eta_{\mu\nu} = 0$  and  $D_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  we conclude from (1) that

$$D_\lambda \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand  $\eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}$  from (16) is antisymmetric by construction. Using (16) and (17) we can deduce immediately that  $D_\lambda \eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r} = 0$ . **q.e.d.**

### Proposition 2

A covariant constant tensor  $\eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}$  in a determinant form is a Nambu tensor.

### Proof.

Let us suppose that  $\eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}$  is a covariant constant tensor in the form (15). On the other hand we know that any antisymmetric tensor of order r  $\eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}$  automatically satisfies (12) by  $N^{i_1 \cdots i_n j_1 \cdots j_n} = 0$  (for more details see Ref.11).

From  $D_k \eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r} = 0$  we get

$$\frac{\partial \eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}}{\partial x^k} = -\Gamma_{km}^{\mu_1} \eta^{m \cdots \mu_r} - \cdots - \Gamma_{km}^{\mu_r} \eta^{\mu_1 \cdots m}. \quad (18)$$

Taking into account (18) the relation (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D^{i_2 \cdots i_n j_1 \cdots j_n} : &= \eta^{k i_2 \cdots i_n} [\Gamma_{km}^{j_1} \eta^{m \cdots j_n} + \cdots + \Gamma_{km}^{j_n} \eta^{j_1 \cdots m}] + \\ &\cdots + \eta^{j_n \cdots i_{n-1} k} [\Gamma_{km}^{j_1} \eta^{m \cdots i_n} + \cdots + \Gamma_{km}^{i_n} \eta^{j_1 \cdots m}] \\ &- \eta^{j_1 \cdots j_{n-1} k} [\Gamma_{km}^{j_n} \eta^{m i_2 \cdots i_n} + \cdots + \Gamma_{km}^{i_n} \eta^{j_n \cdots m}]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here  $\Gamma_{km}^{i_1}$  denotes a Christoffel symbol. Because  $N^{i_1 \cdots i_n j_1 \cdots j_n} = 0$  after some calculations we found that (19) becomes

$$D^{i_2 \cdots i_n j_1 \cdots j_n} := \Gamma_{km}^{j_1} \eta^{j_n i_2 \cdots i_n} \eta^{m j_2 \cdots j_{n-1} k} + \cdots + \Gamma_{km}^{j_{n-1}} \eta^{j_n i_2 \cdots i_n} \eta^{j_1 \cdots m k}. \quad (20)$$

Using the fact that the manifold M has no torsion we conclude that (20) is identically zero. Then  $\eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}$  becomes a Nambu tensor.

**q.e.d.**

An interesting case arises when a manifold  $M$  with a metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and torsion free admits a non-trivial Killing-Yano tensor  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ . And in this case we can construct a tensor in a determinant form as  $\eta^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} = \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} \eta^{\mu_1 \alpha_1} \dots \eta^{\mu_r \alpha_r}$ , where

$\eta^{\mu_i \alpha_j} = g^{\mu_i \lambda} g^{\alpha_j \beta} \eta_{\lambda \beta}$ . If this tensor satisfies (14) we said that a Killing-Yano tensor of order two generates a Nambu tensor of order  $r$ .

## 5 Examples

In this section we present the connection between Killing-Yano tensors and Nambu tensors in the cases of flat space, Taub-NUT metric and Kerr-Newmann metric.

### 5.1 Flat Space

Let  $E^{n+1}$  be an Euclidean space and  $x^\lambda$  ( $\lambda = 1 \dots n+1$ ) an orthogonal coordinate system. A Killing-Yano tensor of order  $r$  is an antisymmetric tensor such that

$$\partial_{(\mu} \eta_{\nu_1) \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} = 0. \quad (21)$$

Here  $r = 3, \dots, n+1$  and  $\eta_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{n+1}}$  is proportional with  $\epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n+1}}$ . The general solution of (21) is

$$\eta_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_r} = x^\nu g_{\nu \nu_1 \dots \nu_r} + h_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_r}. \quad (22)$$

where  $g_{\nu \nu_1 \dots \nu_r}$  and  $h_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_r}$  are constant antisymmetric tensors. Because euclidean space has dimension  $n+1$  we get  $n-1$  Killing-Yano tensors of the form (22).

The associate Killing tensor  $K_{ab}$  has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ab} &= \eta_{a \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} \eta^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_b = x^\alpha x^\beta (g_{a \alpha \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} g^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_{b \beta} + g_{b \alpha \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} g^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_{a \beta}) \\ &+ x^\alpha (g_{a \alpha \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} h^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_b + g_{b \alpha \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} h^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_a + g^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_{\alpha b} h_{a \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} + g^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_{\alpha a} h_{b \nu_2 \dots \nu_r}) \\ &+ h_{a \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} h^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_b + h_{b \nu_2 \dots \nu_r} h^{\nu_2 \dots \nu_r}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From (22) and (23) we get  $n-1$  constants of motions of the form  $K = K_{ab} p^a p^b$ .

If we take into account the Hamiltonian  $H$  we have  $n$  constants of motion for the flat space case.

### 5.2 Taub-NUT metric

The four-dimensional Taub-NUT metric depends on a parameter  $m$  which can be positive or negative, depending on the application; for  $m > 0$  it represents a nonsingular solution of the self-dual Euclidean equation and as such is interpreted as a gravitational instanton. The standard form of the line element is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \left(1 + \frac{2m}{r}\right) (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \\ &+ \frac{4m^2}{1 + 2m/r} (d\psi + \cos \theta d\varphi)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The symmetries of extended Taub-NUT metric and dual metrics were investigated in [13]. The metric (24) admits four Killing-Yano tensors [12]. Three of these, denoted by  $f_i$  are special because they are covariant constant. In the two-form notation the explicit expressions are [12]

$$f_i = 4m(d\psi + \cos\theta d\varphi)dx_i - \epsilon_{ijk}(1 + \frac{2m}{r})dx_j \wedge dx_k, \quad (25)$$

where the  $dx_i$  are standard expressions in terms of the 3-dimensional spherical co-ordinates  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ . The fourth Killing-Yano tensor has the following form

$$Y = 4m(d\psi + \cos\theta d\varphi) \wedge dr + 4r(r+m)(1 + \frac{r}{2m})\sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi. \quad (26)$$

Because  $D_\lambda f_i = 0$  from Proposition 1 we get a Killing-Yano tensor of order three and using Proposition 2 we found that it is a Nambu tensor. We have the following results:

**Case 1.**

For  $i=1$  we found three independent components of Nambu tensor  $\eta^{i_1 i_2 i_3}$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{r\theta\psi} &= 4 \frac{\sin\varphi}{mr \sin\theta(r+2m)^2}, \eta^{r\varphi\psi} = -4 \frac{\cos\varphi}{mr \sin^2\theta(r+2m)^2}, \\ \eta^{\theta\varphi\psi} &= -4 \frac{\sin\varphi}{mr^2 \sin\theta(r+2m)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

**Case 2.**

When  $i=2$  we have obtained three independent components of Nambu tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{r\theta\psi} &= -4 \frac{\cos\varphi}{mr \sin\theta(r+2m)^2}, \eta^{r\varphi\psi} = 4 \frac{\sin\varphi}{mr \sin^2\theta(r+2m)^2}, \\ \eta^{\theta\varphi\psi} &= -4 \frac{\sin\varphi}{mr^2 \sin\theta(r+2m)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

**Case 3.**

For  $i=3$  we have only two independent components for Nambu tensor

$$\eta^{r\varphi\psi} = -4 \frac{\cos\theta}{mr \sin^2\theta(r+2m)^2}, \eta^{\theta\varphi\psi} = -4 \frac{\cos\theta}{mr^2 \sin^2\theta(r+2m)^2}. \quad (29)$$

The Killing-Yano tensor  $Y$  from (26) has not covariant derivative zero but we can construct and in this case a tensor  $\eta^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}$  in a determinant form which satisfies (14). Then the Killing-Yano tensor  $Y$  generates a Nambu tensor of order three. By direct calculations we found that in this case the component of Nambu tensor is

$$\eta^{\theta\varphi\psi} = \frac{2(r^4 + 4r^3m + 6r^2m^2 + 4rm^3 + m^4)}{m^3r^2[-\sin^2\theta(r^4 + 16m^4) + 8mr \cos^2\theta(r^2 + 3rm + 4m^2)]}. \quad (30)$$

### 5.3 Kerr-Newmann metric

The Kerr-Newmann geometry describes a charged spinning black hole; in a standard choice of coordinates the metric is given by the following line element [1]:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} [dt - a \sin^2(\theta) d\varphi]^2 + \frac{\sin(\theta)^2}{\rho^2} [(r^2 + a^2) d\varphi - a dt]^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2. \quad (31)$$

Here

$$\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr + Q^2, \rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad (32)$$

with  $Q$  the background electric charge, and  $J = Ma$  the total angular momentum. The expression for  $ds^2$  only describes the fields *outside* the horizon, which is located at

$$r = M + (M^2 - Q^2 - a^2)^{1/2}. \quad (33)$$

The Killing-Yano tensor for the Kerr-Newmann is defined by [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu &= a \cos \theta dr \wedge (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi) \\ &+ r \sin \theta d\theta \wedge [-a dt + (r^2 + a^2) d\phi]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The Killing-Yano tensor (34) has not covariant derivative zero but generates a Nambu tensor of order three. We found in this case the following non-vanishing components

$$\eta^{r\varphi t} = \frac{\cos^6 \theta \sin \theta a^7 r^3}{\sin^2 \theta (r^2 + a^2)^2}, \eta^{\theta\varphi t} = \frac{r^6 \cos^3 \theta a^3}{\sin^2 \theta (r^2 + a^2)^2}. \quad (35)$$

## 6 Concluding remarks

The physical and geometrical interpretation of Killing-Yano tensors of rang higher than two is not clarified [14]. The existence of a Killing-Yano tensor is both a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a new supersymmetry of the spinning space [4,15].

In this paper we investigate the connection between Killing-Yano tensors and Nambu tensors. If the manifold admits a covariant constant Killing-Yano tensor of order two we have constructed a covariant constant tensor of higher order. We have proved that a covariant constant tensor, in a determinant form, on a given N-dimensional manifold with metric and no torsion, is a Nambu tensor. The flat space case was investigated in details. In this case the general solution of Killing-Yano equations was presented and we have proved that all Killing-Yano tensors are Nambu tensors. The expression for the associated Killing tensors was obtained. Taub-NUT metric admits four Killing-Yano tensors of order two . Three of these,



denoted by  $f_i$  are covariant constant. Using Proposition 1 and Proposition 2, for each Killing-Yano tensor  $f_i$ , we found the corresponding Nambu tensor of order three. The fourth Killing-Yano tensor  $Y$  is not covariant constant. In this case we have constructed a tensor of order three in a determinant form. We found that this tensor is a Nambu tensor because it satisfies (14). Kerr-Newmann metric admits one non-trivial Killing-Yano tensor of order two. And in this case we have constructed a tensor of rank three in a determinant form and we have proved that it is a Nambu tensor. Then all Killing-Yano tensors of order two in the case of Taub-NUT and Kerr-Newmann metric generate Nambu tensors of order three.

## 7 Acknowledgments

I am grateful to M. Flato and D. Alekseevsky for useful discussions. I would like to thank TUBITAK for financial support and METU for the hospitality during his working stage at Department of Physics.

## References

- [1] R. Penrose and M. Walker, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **18** 265 (1970).
- [2] M. Karlovini and K. Rosquist, preprint gr-qc/9807051.
- [3] K. Yano, *Ann. of Math.*, **55** 328 (1952). W. Dietz and R. Rudiger, *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, **375** 361 (1981).
- [4] G. W. Gibbons, R. H. Rietdijk and J. W. van Holten, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B 404** 42 (1993).
- [5] Y. Nambu, *Phys. Rev. D.*, **7** 2405 (1973).
- [6] Takhtajan L. A., *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **160** 295 (1994).
- [7] R. Chatterjee, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **36** 117-126 (1996).
- [8] D. Alekseevsky and P. Guha, *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.*, **vol 65, no. 1** 23 (1996).
- [9] L. Takhtajan and R. Chatterjee, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **37** 475-482 (1996).
- [10] P. Gautheron, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **37** 103-106 (1996).
- [11] H. Hietarinta, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **30** (1997) L27-L33.
- [12] J. W. van Holten, *Phys. Lett.*, **B342** 47 (1995).

- [13] D.Baleanu, *Gen.Rel.Grav.*,**30**, **no.2** 195 (1998) ,  
D.Baleanu, *Helv.Acta.Phys.*,**71**, **no.3** 343-351 (1998) ,  
D.Baleanu and S.Codoban, to appear in *Gen.Rel.Grav.*, **31** (1999).
- [14] K.Rosquist ,*J.Math.Phys.*,**30** 2319-2321 (1989),  
S.L. Bazański, *9th Italian Conference on General Relativity and Gravitational Physics*, pp.2-9, R.Cianci et al..eds..World Scientific, Singapore,(1991).
- [15] D.Vaman and M.Visinescu, *Phys.Rev.D*,**54** 1398 (1996).