

Space-time distributions

Mihaela D. Iftime

31 Aug 98

Abstract

The space-time foliation Σ compatible with the gravitational field g on a 4-manifold M determines a fibration π of M , $\pi : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a surjective submersion over the 1-dimensional leaves space \mathcal{N} . M is then written as a disjoint union of the leaves of Σ , which are 3-dimensional spacelike surfaces on M .

The decomposition, $TM = \Sigma \oplus T^0M$, also implies that we can define a lift of the curves on \mathcal{N} to curves (non-spacelike) on M .

The stable causality condition M [2] coincides with Σ being a causal space-time distribution, generated by an exact timelike 1-form $\omega^0 = dt$ where t is some real function on M . In this case M is written as a disjoint union of a family of spacelike 3-surfaces of constant t , which cover $D^+(S)$ of a initial 3-surface S of M .

AMS Classification numbers:

Primary: 83C99

Secondary: 53B30 53C50 53C12 57R30

Keywords: space-time distribution, 4-manifold

1 Introduction

Note: We will denote by small Latin indices a, b, \dots numbers ranging from 0 to 3 and the small Greek indices α, β, \dots numbers ranging from 1 to 3.

The space-time structure on a 4-dimensional manifold M is a uniquely defined by a triplet (g^R, g, Σ) , where g and g^R represent a Riemannian, respectively a Lorentzian metric on M and $\Sigma \subset \tau_M$, the space-time distribution on M compatible with the gravitational field g , is a 3-dimensional spatial tangent subbundle on M orthocomplement (with respect both g and g^R) of the 1-dimensional timelike subbundle T^0M generated by the timelike globally defined vector field $h^0 \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $g^R(h^0, h^0) = -g(h^0, h^0) = 1$ which indicates the time orientation (locally) at every point of M .

If g^R is a Riemannian metric on M (which exists on every paracompact space) and a time-orientation defined by $h^0 \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ then we have a Lorentz metric on M determined by the expression :

$$(1) \quad g(X, Y) = g^R(X, Y) - 2g^R(X, h^0)g^R(Y, h^0) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$

Locally, relating to an orthonormal frame $\{e_a\} = \{h^\alpha, h^0\}$ we find:

$$(2) \quad g_{ab} = g_{ab}^R - 2\omega_a^0\omega_b^0,$$

where $\omega^0 = g^R(h^0, \cdot)$ is the unitary timelike 1-form.

The Pfaff equation $\omega^0 = 0$ generates the 3-dimensional spatial spaces Σ_p in each fibre T_pM of the tangent bundle τ_M and these subspaces are orthogonal to the timelike field h^0 in $p \in M$.

The tensor

$$(3) \quad h_{ab} = g_{ab} + \omega_a^0\omega_b^0$$

defines a Riemannian metric on the tangent subbundle Σ .

We have the following decomposition of tangent bundle:

$$(4) \quad TM = \Sigma \oplus T^0M$$

So, given a Riemannian metric g_{ab}^R on M , each 3-dimensional distribution Σ on M generated by a nonzero 1-form σ on M represents a space-time distribution compatible with a gravitational field g defined by the expression (2), where we take $\omega^0 = -\frac{\sigma}{g^R(\sigma, \sigma)}$.

Inverse, given a gravitational field g on M we find a spacetime distribution Σ compatible with g and a Riemannian metric g^R which satisfy the expression (2).

Two such different triplets (g_i, g_i^R, Σ_i) , $i = 1, 2$ will describe the same space-time structure on M if the space-time distributions coincide, $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$.

The geometric equivalence principle — which states that we may introduce an orthonormal tetrad field $\{h^\alpha, h^0\}$ at each point of M — is also sufficient for defining the space-time structure on a 4-manifold M .

The metric g_{ab} can be transformed to

$$(5) \quad g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1)$$

at any point of M .

Also for any point $p \in M$ and its normal convex neighbourhood $U_p \subset M$, $U_p = \exp_p W_0$, where $W_0 \subset T_p M$ is an open neighbourhood of the origin of $T_p M$, we can have a causal structure; all events q in U_p are divided into three groups according whether the causal relation to p is timelike, null or spacelike and these relations are independent of the choice of coordinate system. But we don't know how to split an arbitrary space-time in space and time globally.

An integrable distribution

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{p \in M} \Sigma_p$$

is a spacetime foliation of spatial hypersurfaces S of M ; $\Sigma_p = T_p S$, $\forall p \in S$.

In this case, at every point $p \in M$ we can define a local coordinate neighbourhood $U_i \subset M$ with local adapted coordinates (x^a) so that the spacelike vector fields $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}\}$ generate Σ locally.

The Pfaff equation $x^0 = \text{const.}$ locally defines the spatial hypersurfaces S of M (i.e. the maximal integral manifolds or the leaves of Σ).

We have,

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_i, t_i : \tau_M^{-1}(U_i) \longrightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^4\}$$

a bundle atlas on TM which is compatible with the subbundle $\Sigma \subset \tau_M$, so that

$$\mathcal{U}^\Sigma = \{U_i, t_i^{-1} : \tau_M^{-1}(U_i) \cap \Sigma \longrightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^3\}$$

is a bundle atlas on Σ , $t_i(\tau_M^{-1}(U_i) \cap \Sigma) = U_i \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

We can have directly: for any adapted local coordinate system $(U_i, (x^a))$, then (x^a, \dot{x}^a) are local bundle coordinates on TM and $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}\}$ are the dual sections. Then, from the local trivialisation of τ_M

$$(6) \quad t_i = (\tau_M|_{\tau_M^{-1}(U_i)}, \dot{x}^a) : \tau_M^{-1}(U_i) \longrightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^4$$

we obtain a local trivialisation on subbundle Σ

$$(7) \quad t_i^\Sigma = (\tau_M|_{\tau_M^{-1}(U_i) \cap \Sigma}, \dot{x}^a) : \tau_M^{-1}(U_i) \cap \Sigma \longrightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

2 Family of spatial hypersurfaces of spacetime

A space-time foliation Σ on M generates a fibration π as follows: we define an equivalence relation on M , $x, y \in M$, $x \sim y$ if x, y are in the same leaf of the foliation.

We denote by $\mathcal{N} = M|_{\sim}$ the space of leaves which has the natural quotient structure of 1-dimensional differentiable manifold, so that $\pi : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a surjective submersion. The leaves of the foliation Σ coincide with the fibres of π , $\pi^{-1}(\nu) = S_\nu$ and $\Sigma = \text{Ker}\pi_*$. We also may suppose that the fibres of π are simply connected even if it is not a necessary condition.

We can define an vertical lift of the tangent vectors on \mathcal{N} to tangent vectors on M which belong to Σ .

For $\bar{X} \in T_\nu \mathcal{N}$ its vertical lift is $X^v \in T_p M$, $X^{va} = h_b^a(p) X^b$, $\forall X \in T_p M$, where $\pi_* X = \bar{X}$.

Here

$$(8) \quad h = (h_b^a) : TM \longrightarrow TM$$

$$h_b^a = g^{ac} h_{cb}$$

is an endomorphism on τ_M , a projection operator, i.e. $h_b^a h_c^b = h_c^a$, which projects any vector $X \in T_p M$ into its part lying in the subspace Σ_p ,

$$(9) \quad X^a = h_b^a X^b - h^{0a} \omega_b^0 X^b$$

and any $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{N})$ then $X^v \in \mathcal{V}(\Sigma)$ defined by $X^v = h(X)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ so that $\pi_* X = \bar{X}$.

Here $\mathcal{V}(\Sigma) = \{X \in \mathcal{X}_{loc}(M) / X_p \in \Sigma_p, \forall p\}$.

The Lorentzian (metric) connection ∇ on M induces by restriction a connection ∇^S on each leaf of Σ ,

$$(10) \quad \nabla_{X^v} Y^v = \nabla_{X^v}^S h(Y) = h(\nabla_{X^v} Y) \in \Sigma.$$

We used

$$(11) \quad 0 = (\nabla_{X^v} h)Y = \nabla_{X^v} h(Y) - h(\nabla_{X^v} Y)$$

and

$$(12) \quad h_{ab;c} = (g_{ab} + \omega_a^0 \omega_b^0)_{;c} = 0$$

It is worth notice that the space-time is a disjoint union of the leaves of the foliation Σ , i.e.

$$M = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{N}} S_\nu$$

where $S_\nu = \pi^{-1}(\nu)$ represents a family of spatial hypersurfaces of M . From decomposition (4) we can define a transport of the those fibres S_ν along the curves $\gamma(\tau)$ on \mathcal{N} . That is to say, a curve $\gamma(\tau) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $\gamma(0) = \nu_0$, $\gamma(1) = \nu_1$ could be lifted on M from each point of the fibre $x_i \in \pi^{-1}(\nu_0) = S$ to a nonspatial future directed curve on M of end-point $y_i \in \pi^{-1}(\nu_1) = S_{\nu_1}$.

This way we obtain an 1:1 correspondence between S and S_{ν_1} . Then we have a family of maps (homeomorphisms)

$$(13) \quad \alpha_\gamma : S_{\gamma(0)} \rightarrow S_{\gamma(1)}, \quad \alpha_\gamma : x_i \mapsto y_i$$

satisfying natural conditions: $\alpha_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} = \alpha_{\gamma_1} \alpha_{\gamma_2}$, $\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}} = (\alpha_\gamma)^{-1}$, $\alpha_\gamma = \text{id}$ for $\gamma = \text{const.}$, α_γ is independent of the choice of the parameter τ on γ .

On the other hand \mathcal{N} is 1-dimensional (connected) manifold. This implies that \mathcal{N} must be diffeomorphic with an interval $[0, 1]$ or with circle S^1 .

Supposing \mathcal{N} has a fixed orientation given by the unique unitary $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{N})$, then $\mathcal{N} = \gamma_{\bar{X}}([0, 1])$, where $\gamma_{\bar{X}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the integral curve of \bar{X} . We will have the two cases: when $\gamma_{\bar{X}}$ is an injective integral curve, $\mathcal{N} = [0, 1]$ and when $\gamma_{\bar{X}}$ admits cross-points (i. e. $\gamma_{\bar{X}}$ may intersect itself), $\mathcal{N} = S^1$.

Lifting $\gamma_{\bar{X}}$ from each point $x_i \in \pi^{-1}(\nu_0) = S$ we obtain a family of timelike future directed curves $\tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{X}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, $\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}_{\bar{X}}(\tau) \in T_p^0 M$, $\pi(p) = \nu$ which start on S and intersect each leaf S_ν orthogonally.

The stable causality condition holds on M ¹ means that the space-time foliation Σ is causal, being generated by a globally defined timelike 1-form $\omega^0 \in \wedge^1 M$ which is exact, i.e. $\omega^0 = dt$ where t is some real function on M .

In this case, the leaves of Σ are spatial hypersurfaces of M of constant t , and

$$M = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{N}} S_\nu$$

is the disjoint union of a family of spatial hypersurfaces S_ν of constant t on M .

If we suppose that the initial surface S is a partial Cauchy surface of M we obtain M as a disjoint union of such homeomorphic partial Cauchy surfaces S_ν , which in addition satisfy the following conditions: for $\nu_i < \nu_j$ then S_{ν_j} lies to the future of S_{ν_i} and they cover $D^+(S)$.

¹This condition of stability is equivalent to the existence of a function f on M , whose ∇f is everywhere timelike.

In the case when \mathcal{N} is diffeomorphic with S^1 , provided that S is a partial Cauchy surface on M , a curve $\gamma_{\tilde{X}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $\gamma_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \gamma_{\tilde{X}}(1) \in S^1$ is lifted from a point x_i of S to a closed timelike curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ on M with $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = x_i = \tilde{\gamma}(1)$.

3 Some discussion

Physically, it seems more convenient to choose the surfaces S_ν so that they intersect \mathcal{I}^+ [2]. This means that every S_ν tend asymptotically to null surfaces.

Also by assumption of strong asymptotic predictability (i.e. there exists a partial Cauchy surface S of M such that (a) \mathcal{I}^+ lies in the boundary of $D^+(S)$ and (b) $J^+(S) \cap \partial J^-(\mathcal{I}^+)$ lies in $D^+(S)$) for some ν , the surfaces S_{ν_i} for $\nu_i > \nu$ will intersect the event horizon $\partial J^-(\mathcal{I}^+)$. Then a connected component of the nonempty set $S_{\nu_i} \setminus J^-(\mathcal{I}^+)$ will represent a black hole on the surface S_{ν_i} .

References

- [1] **Berger, B.K., Chru'sciel, P.T., Isenberg, J., Moncrief, V.**, *Global foliations of vacuum spacetimes with $T\bar{2}$ isometry*, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.), 260, 117-148, (1997)
- [2] **Hawking, S.W.**, *Hawking on the Big Bang and Black Holes*, World Scientific (1993)
- [3] **Iriondo, M., Leguizam'on, E., and Reula, O.**, *The Newtonian Limit on Asymptotically Null Foliations*, Online Los Alamos Archive Preprint (1997)
- [4] **Rendall, A.D.**, *Existence and non-existence results for global constant mean curvature foliations*, Online Los Alamos Archive Preprint, (1996)
- [5] **Sardanashvily, G., Zakharov, O.**, *Gauge gravitation theory*, World Scientific (1992)