

DATA ANALYSIS FOR CONTINUOUS GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE SIGNALS

A. KRÓLAK

*Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8,
00-950 Warsaw, Poland*

and

*Astronomical Center, Pedagogical University, Lubuska 2,
Zielona Góra, Poland*

The main problem that we will face in the data analysis for continuous gravitational-wave sources is processing of a very long time series and a very large parameter space. We present a number of analytic and numerical tools that can be useful in such a data analysis. These consist of methods to calculate false alarm probabilities, use of probabilistic algorithms, application of signal splitting, and accurate estimation of parameters by means of optimization algorithms.

1 Introduction

The interest in the data analysis for continuous gravitational-wave signals is growing. A prime example of a source of such signals is a spinning neutron star. These may be the first signals to look for in data streams from the long-arm laser interferometers and they are attractive sources for currently operating bar detectors. A number of theoretical papers were published on various data analysis schemes and a certain amount of real data were analyzed involving data from the prototypes of laser interferometers and from the bar detectors. Limited space precludes a review of available literature on this subject. The theoretical research clearly shows that data analysis will involve processing of very long time series and exploration of a very large parameter space. It turns out that optimal processing of month long data streams that we need to ensure a reasonable chance of detection of such signals is computationally prohibitive¹ and methods to reduce the computational burden must be found. In this contribution we present a few data analysis tools that can be useful in processing data for continuous signals and that were a subject of our recent research. We do not offer a complete end-to-end data analysis scheme.

2 Data analysis tools for continuous signals

2.1 Significance of detected events

We assume that the noise n in the detector is an additive, stationary, Gaussian, and zero-mean continuous in time t random process. Then the data x (if the signal h is present) can be written as

$$x = n + h. \quad (1)$$

We have shown^{2,3} that the gravitational-wave signal from a spinning neutron star can be approximated by the following signal

$$h(t; h_o, \Phi_o, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = h_o \sin [\Phi(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}) + \Phi_o], \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}) = & 2\pi \sum_{k=0}^s f_k \left(\frac{t}{T_o} \right)^{k+1} \\ & + \frac{2\pi}{c} \{ \alpha_1 [R_{ES} \sin(\phi_o + \Omega_o t) + R_E \cos \lambda \cos \varepsilon \sin(\phi_r + \Omega_r t)] \\ & + \alpha_2 [R_{ES} \cos(\phi_o + \Omega_o t) + R_E \cos \lambda \cos(\phi_r + \Omega_r t)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and where T_o is the observation time, $R_{ES} = 1\text{AU}$ is the mean distance from the Earth's center to the SSB, R_E is the mean radius of the Earth, Ω_o is the mean orbital angular velocity of the Earth, ϕ_o is a deterministic phase which defines the position of Earth in its orbital motion at $t = 0$, ε is the angle between the ecliptic and the Earth's equator, λ is detector's latitude. The vector $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, f_0, \dots, f_s)$, so the phase Φ depends on $s + 3$ parameters, h_o and Φ_o are respectively constant amplitude and phase. The parameters α_1 and α_2 are defined by

$$\alpha_1 := f_0 (\cos \varepsilon \sin \alpha \cos \delta + \sin \varepsilon \sin \delta), \quad \alpha_2 := f_0 \cos \alpha \cos \delta, \quad (4)$$

where α is right ascension and δ is declination of the source.

From the maximum likelihood principle we find that in order to detect the signal we need to compute the following statistics

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{2T_o}{S_h(f_0)} |F|^2, \quad (5)$$

where

$$F = \int_{-T_o/2}^{T_o/2} x(t) \exp \{-i\Phi(t; \boldsymbol{\xi})\} dt, \quad (6)$$

and where $S_h(f_0)$ is the one-sided spectral density at frequency f_0 . We assume that we can neglect variations of S_h over the bandwidth of the signal. The statistics $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ is a certain generalized multiparameter random process called the *random field*⁴. If the vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is one-dimensional the random field is simply a random process. When the signal is absent the above random field \mathcal{F} is *homogeneous* i.e. its mean m is constant and the autocovariance function C depends only on the difference $\boldsymbol{\tau} := \boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'$.

$$C(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}') := E \{ [\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) - m(\boldsymbol{\xi})][\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}') - m(\boldsymbol{\xi}')] \} = C(\boldsymbol{\tau}). \quad (7)$$

The homogeneity of the random field is a direct consequence of the linearity of the phase of the signal in parameters. Moreover one can show that for the case of a Gaussian noise the field \mathcal{F} is a (generalized) χ^2 field³. To assess the significance of events we need to calculate the probability the statistics \mathcal{F} crosses a certain threshold when the data is only noise³ which is called the *false alarm probability*. We have developed two approaches to calculate this probability: one based on the concept of an elementary cell of the parameter space and the other on the geometry of the random fields.

Cells

The correlation function $C(\tau)$ has a maximum at $\tau = 0$ and we can define the characteristic correlation hypersurface by the equation

$$C(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}C(0). \quad (8)$$

The volume of this hypersurface defines an *elementary cell* in the parameter space and we can calculate the number of cells N_c from the formula

$$N_c = \frac{V^{\text{total}}}{V^{\text{cell}}}. \quad (9)$$

where V^{total} is the volume of the parameter space. We approximate the probability distribution $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ in each cell by probability $p_0(\mathcal{F})$ when the signal parameters are known (in our case $p_0(\mathcal{F}) = \exp(-\mathcal{F})$). The values of the statistics \mathcal{F} in each cell can be considered as independent random variables. The probability that \mathcal{F} does not exceed the threshold \mathcal{F}_o in a given cell is $1 - \exp(-\mathcal{F}_o)$. Consequently the probability that \mathcal{F} does not exceed the threshold \mathcal{F}_o in all the N_c cells is $[1 - \exp(-\mathcal{F}_o)]^{N_c}$. The probability P_F^c that \mathcal{F} exceeds \mathcal{F}_o in *one or more* cell is given by

$$P_F^c(\mathcal{F}_o) = 1 - [1 - \exp(-\mathcal{F}_o)]^{N_c}. \quad (10)$$

This is the false alarm probability when the phase parameters are unknown.

Geometry of random fields

The second approach to calculate the false alarm probability involves calculation of the number of threshold crossings by the statistics \mathcal{F} . We need to count somehow the number of times a random field crosses a fixed hypersurface. Let $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ be M -dimensional homogeneous real-valued random field where parameters $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_M)$ belong to M -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^M and let \mathbf{K} be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^M . We define the *excursion set* of $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ inside \mathbf{K} above the level \mathcal{F}_o as

$$A_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}_o, \mathbf{K}) := \{\xi \in \mathbf{K} : \mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{F}_o\}. \quad (11)$$

It was found⁴ that when the excursion set does not intersect the boundary of the set \mathbf{K} then a suitable analogue of the mean number of level crossings is the expectation value of the Euler characteristic χ of the set $A_{\mathcal{F}}$. For simplicity we shall denote $\chi[A_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}_o, \mathbf{K})]$ by $\chi_{\mathcal{F}_o}$. It turns out that using the Morse theory the expectation values of the Euler characteristic of $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ can be given in terms of certain multidimensional integrals⁴ and close form formulae could be obtained for homogeneous M -dimensional Gaussian fields and 2-dimensional χ^2 fields⁴. Recently Worsley⁵ obtained explicit formulae for M -dimensional homogeneous χ^2 field. One finds that asymptotically (i.e. for large thresholds) the false alarm probability can be approximated by

$$P_F^g \cong \frac{(\mathcal{F}_o/2)^{M/2}}{\Gamma(M/2 + 1)} P_F^c, \quad (12)$$

where P_F^c is given by Eq. 10. Our numerical simulations have shown that the formula for the false alarm probability based on the cell concept (Eq. 10) applies when the statistics \mathcal{F} is coarsely sampled by means of FFT whereas formula based on the expectation of the Euler characteristic (Eq. 12) applies when the statistics is finely sampled. Using Eq. 10 We have found that for observation time T_o of 7 days and extremal values of spindowns estimated form $|f_k|_{\max} = k!|\frac{f_{\max}}{\tau_{\min}^k}|$ where maximum frequency f_{\max} is 1kHz and minimum spindown age τ_{\min} is taken as 10^3yr the number of cells is 10^{16} what corresponds to 10 Teraflop computing power

when we want to keep the data processing rate equal to data acquisition rate. In numerical calculation we expand the left hand side of Eq. 8 in Taylor series keeping only terms to the 2nd order. This gives approximation of the hypersurface of correlation by a hyperellipse.

It is interesting to note that the above approach has been used in the search for significant signals in the cosmic microwave background maps⁶ and brain tomography images⁵.

2.2 Probabilistic algorithms

Our estimate at the end of the previous section shows that the number of independent cells to search for continuous signals is very large and computing power required huge. However in data analysis for gravitational-wave signals we would first like to know whether any gravitational-waves are present. Our primary objective is to detect the first gravitational-wave signal. To do so one does not need to search the whole parameter space. A related problem occurs in testing whether a certain very large number is a prime. Primes are very important in designing encryption schemes. Here a brilliant solution in the form of Miller-Rabin test⁷ was found with the help of probabilistic algorithms. We want to test that a certain very large natural number n is a prime. We choose randomly a natural number b_i less than n . We then perform the Miller test which shows for some numbers b_i with certainty that n is not a prime. If test is passed b_i is called witness of nonprimality. Then by lemma due to Rabin we know that if n is not a prime at least $1/2$ of the positive integers between 1 and n are witnesses. Thus probability P_D that n is a prime after m random choices of b_i is $\geq 1 - 1/2^m$ and thus for very modest values of m is very high. Of course we only know that n is a prime with a certain (very high) probability. However this is good enough for many applications. In the case of signal detection in noise we can only detect the signal with the certain probability thus use of probabilistic algorithms is not a limitation. However here we are only able to offer a very naive application of probabilistic algorithms: choose the values of parameters of the templates randomly from the parameter space instead of searching systematically the parameter space. If we have N_c cells in the parameter space and there are signals in N_E cells the probability of detection of the signal after m random choices of a cell to search is given by

$$P_D = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{N_E}{N_c}\right)^m. \quad (13)$$

Assuming that $\frac{N_E}{N_c} \ll 1$ we find that we need $\frac{N_c}{N_E}$ random choices of cells in order that detection probability is $> 90\%$. This can lead to a substantial reduction of the computational cost to detect the first signal with respect to the cost to search the whole parameters space. For example for the case given at the end of the previous section if the number of signals is 10^3 the computational power decreases to a manageable amount of 10 Gflops.

2.3 Signal splitting

In this section we would like to discuss a technique that can distribute the data processing into several smaller computers. We shall call this technique *signal splitting*. We can divide the available bandwidth of the detector (f_{\min}, f_{\max}) into M adjacent intervals of length B . We then apply a standard technique of heterodyning. For each of the chosen bands we multiply our data time series by $\exp(-2\pi i f_I)$, where $f_I = f_{\min} + IB$, ($I = 0, \dots, M-1$). Such an operation moves the spectrum of the data towards zero by frequency f_I . We then apply a low pass filter with a cutoff frequency B and we resample the resulting sequence with the frequency $2B$. The result is M time series sampled at frequency $2B$ instead of one sampled at $2f_{\max}$. The resampled sequences are shorter than the original ones by a factor of M and each can be processed by a separate computer. We only need to perform the signal splitting operation once before the signal search. The splitting operation can also be performed continuously when the

data are collected so that there is no delay in the analysis. The signal splitting does not lead to a substantial saving in the total computational power but yields shorter data sequences for the analysis. For example for the case of 7 days of observation time and sampling rate of 1 kHz the data itself would occupy around 10 GB of memory (assuming double precision) which is available on expensive supercomputers whereas if we split the data into a bandwidth of 50 Hz so that sampling frequency is only 100 Hz each sequence will occupy 0.5 GB memory which is available on inexpensive personal computers. Analysis of these short sequences can be distributed over a large number of small computers with no need of communication between the processors.

2.4 Fine search

To estimate the signal parameters we need to find the global maximum of the statistics \mathcal{F} . We propose an algorithm that consists of two parts: a *coarse* search and a *fine* search. The coarse search involves calculation of \mathcal{F} on an appropriate grid in parameter space and finding the maximum value of \mathcal{F} on the grid and the values of the parameters of the signal that give the maximum. This gives coarse estimators of the parameters. Fine search involves finding the maximum of \mathcal{F} using fast maximization routines with the starting values determined from the coarse estimates of the parameters. The grid of the coarse search is determined by the region of convergence of the maximization routine. We have tested extensively by means of Monte Carlo simulation one such maximization algorithm - the Nelder-Mead simplex method³. This method applies to function of arbitrary number of parameters and does not involve calculation of the derivatives of the function. In Figure 1 we illustrate our method for the case of a signal with just one spindown parameter f_1 so that we need to find the maximum of \mathcal{F} with respect to frequency f_0 and f_1 . The use of the maximization procedure leads to an increase in the significance of the detected events and an improved accuracy of the estimation of the parameters of the signal. It does not involve an increase in the complexity of the computation with respect to a one-step search over a grid of templates. The complexity is still of the order of $N_F(N \log_2 N + L)$, where N_F is the number of templates in the grid, N is the number of points in the data sequence (we assume we use FFT to calculate our statistics) and L is some small number.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Piotr Jaranowski for helpful discussions. This work was supported by Polish Science Committee grant KBN 2 P303D 021 11.

References

1. P. R. Brady, T. Creighton, C. Cutler, and B. F. Schutz, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 2101 (1998).
2. P. Jaranowski and A. Królak, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 063003 (1999).
3. P. Jaranowski and A. Królak, submitted to Phys. Rev. D, gr-qc/9901013.
4. R. Adler, *The Geometry of Random Fields*, (John Wiley & Sons, 1981).
5. K. J. Worsley, Advances in Applied Probability **26**, 13 (1994).
6. S. Torres, Ap. J. **423**, L9 (1994).
7. M. O. Rabin, in *Algorithms and Complexity*, ed. J. E. Traub, (Academic Press, 1976).




Figure 1: A two-step procedure to find the maximum of the statistics \mathcal{F} to estimate the parameters of the signal. A search over a coarse grid gives a maximum marked by a circle and a fine search using the Nelder-Mead algorithms gives the maximum marked by a star. The true maximum is marked by a diamond. The upper plot gives the magnitude of the FFT for data before filtering (dotted line) and after filtering (continuous line) implied in the definition of \mathcal{F} . The lower plot is a contour plot of the statistics \mathcal{F} as a function of frequency f_0 and spindown parameter f_1 . The steps of the simplex algorithm are displayed.