

NUOVO CIMENTO B 114, 1435-1440 (December 1999), [gr-qc/9910070 v3]
 ERMAKOV APPROACH FOR $Q = 0$ EMPTY FRW MINISUPERSPACE OSCILLATORS

H. Rosu¹, P. Espinoza¹, and M. Reyes²

1. *Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato, Apdo Postal E-143, León, Gto, Mexico*
2. *Instituto de Física y Matematica, Universidad Michoacana, Apdo Postal 2-82, Morelia, Mich, Mexico*

Summary. The Wheeler-DeWitt equation for empty FRW minisuperspace universes of Hartle-Hawking factor ordering parameter $Q = 0$ is mapped onto the dynamics of a unit mass classical oscillator. The latter is studied by the classical Ermakov invariant method. Angle quantities are presented in the same context.

PACS 04.60 - Quantum gravity

The formalism of Ermakov-type invariants [1] can be a useful, alternative method of investigating evolutionary and chaotic dynamical problems in the “quantum” cosmological framework [2]. Moreover, the Ermakov method is intimately related to geometrical angles and phases [3], so that one may think of cosmological Hannay’s angles as well as various types of topological phases as those of Berry and Pancharatnam [4].

Our purpose in the following is to apply the formal Ermakov scheme to the simplest cosmological oscillators, namely the empty Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (EFRW) “quantum” universes. When the Hartle-Hawking parameter for the factor ordering ambiguity is zero, $Q = 0$ [5], the EFRW Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) minisuperspace equation reads [6]

$$\frac{d^2\Psi}{d\Omega^2} - \kappa e^{-4\Omega}\Psi(\Omega) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where Ω is Misner’s time related to the volume of space V at a given cosmological epoch through $\Omega = -\ln(V^{1/3})$ [7], κ is the curvature index of the universe (1,0,-1 for closed, flat, open, respectively), and Ψ is the wavefunction of the universe. The general solution is obtained as a superposition of modified Bessel functions of zero order, $\Psi(\Omega) = C_1 I_0(\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Omega}) + C_2 K_0(\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Omega})$ in the $\kappa = 1$ case, and ordinary Bessel functions $\Psi(\Omega) = C_1 J_0(\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Omega}) + C_2 Y_0(\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Omega})$ in the $\kappa = -1$ case, where C_1 and C_2 are two arbitrary superposition constants (we shall work with $C_1 = C_2 = 1$). Eq. (1) can be mapped

onto the canonical equations for a classical point particle of mass $M = 1$, generalized coordinate $q = \Psi$, momentum $p = \Psi'$, evolving in Misner's time considered as Newtonian time for which we shall keep the same notation. Thus, one is led to

$$\frac{dq}{d\Omega} = p \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dp}{d\Omega} = \kappa e^{-4\Omega} q. \quad (3)$$

These equations describe the canonical motion for a classical point EFRW universe as derived from the time-dependent oscillator Hamiltonian of the inverted ($\kappa = 1$) and normal ($\kappa = -1$) type, respectively, [8]

$$H(\Omega) = \frac{p^2}{2} - \kappa e^{-4\Omega} \frac{q^2}{2}. \quad (4)$$

For this EFRW Hamiltonian the triplet of phase-space functions $T_1 = \frac{p^2}{2}$, $T_2 = pq$, and $T_3 = \frac{q^2}{2}$ forms a dynamical Lie algebra (i.e., $H = \sum_n h_n(\Omega) T_n(p, q)$) which is closed with respect to the Poisson bracket, or more exactly $\{T_1, T_2\} = -2T_1$, $\{T_2, T_3\} = -2T_3$, $\{T_1, T_3\} = -T_2$. The EFRW Hamiltonian can be written down in the form

$$H = T_1 - e^{4\Omega} T_3. \quad (5)$$

The Ermakov invariant I belongs to the dynamical algebra

$$I = \sum_r \epsilon_r(\Omega) T_r, \quad (6)$$

and by means of the invariance condition

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \Omega} = -\{I, H\}, \quad (7)$$

one is led to the following equations for the unknown functions $\epsilon_r(\Omega)$

$$\dot{\epsilon}_r + \sum_n \left[\sum_m C_{nm}^r h_m(\Omega) \right] \epsilon_n = 0, \quad (8)$$

where C_{nm}^r are the structure constants of the Lie algebra, that have been already given above. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\epsilon}_1 &= -2\epsilon_2 \\ \dot{\epsilon}_2 &= -\kappa e^{-4\Omega} \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3 \\ \dot{\epsilon}_3 &= -2\kappa e^{-4\Omega} \epsilon_2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The solution of this system can be readily obtained by setting $\epsilon_1 = \rho^2$ giving $\epsilon_2 = -\rho\dot{\rho}$ and $\epsilon_3 = \dot{\rho}^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2}$, where ρ is the solution of the Milne-Pinney equation [9]

$$\ddot{\rho} - \kappa e^{-4\Omega} \rho = \frac{1}{\rho^3}. \quad (10)$$

In terms of the function $\rho(\Omega)$ and using (6), the Ermakov invariant can be written as follows [11]

$$I = I_{\text{kin}} + I_{\text{pot}} = \frac{(\rho p - \dot{\rho} q)^2}{2} + \frac{q^2}{2\rho^2} = \frac{\rho^4}{2} \left[\frac{d}{d\Omega} \left(\frac{\Psi}{\rho} \right) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Psi}{\rho} \right)^2. \quad (11)$$

We have followed Pinney [9] and Eliezer and Gray [10] to calculate $\rho(\Omega)$ in terms of linear combinations of Bessel functions such that the initial conditions given by these authors were fulfilled. We worked with the set $A = 1$, $B = -1/W^2$ and $C = 0$ of Pinney's constants, where W is the Wronskian of the pair of Bessel functions. Moreover, we have chosen the angular momentum of the auxiliary Eliezer-Gray two-dimensional motion as unity ($h = 1$). Since $I = h^2/2$ we must get a constant half-unity value for the Ermakov invariant. We have checked this by plotting $I(\Omega)$ for $\kappa = \pm 1$ in Fig. 1.

In order to get angle variables, we calculate the time-dependent generating function allowing one to pass to new canonical variables for which I is chosen as the new "momentum" [11]

$$S(q, I, \vec{\epsilon}(\Omega)) = \int^q dq' p(q', I, \vec{\epsilon}(\Omega)) , \quad (12)$$

leading to

$$S(q, I, \vec{\epsilon}(\Omega)) = \frac{q^2}{2} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} + I \arcsin \left[\frac{q}{\sqrt{2I\rho^2}} \right] + \frac{q\sqrt{2I\rho^2 - q^2}}{2\rho^2} , \quad (13)$$

where we have put to zero the constant of integration. Thus,

$$\theta = \frac{\partial S}{\partial I} = \arcsin \left(\frac{q}{\sqrt{2I\rho^2}} \right) . \quad (14)$$

Moreover, the canonical variables are now

$$q = \rho\sqrt{2I} \sin \theta , \quad p = \frac{\sqrt{2I}}{\rho} \left(\cos \theta + \dot{\rho} \sin \theta \right) . \quad (15)$$

The dynamical angle will be

$$\Delta\theta^d = \int_{\Omega_0}^{\Omega} \left\langle \frac{\partial H_{\text{new}}}{\partial I} \right\rangle d\Omega' = \int_{\Omega_0}^{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \frac{d}{d\Omega'} \left(\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} \right) \right] d\Omega' , \quad (16)$$

whereas the geometrical (generalized Hannay) angle reads

$$\Delta\theta^g = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_0}^{\Omega} \left[\frac{d}{d\Omega'} (\dot{\rho}\rho) - 2\dot{\rho}^2 \right] d\Omega' . \quad (17)$$

The sum of $\Delta\theta^d$ and $\Delta\theta^g$ is the total change of angle (Lewis angle)

$$\Delta\theta^t = \int_{\Omega_0}^{\Omega} \frac{1}{\rho^2} d\Omega' . \quad (18)$$

Plots of the angle quantities (16-18) for $\kappa = 1$ are presented in Figs. 2,3, and 4, respectively. Similar plots have been obtained for the $\kappa = -1$ case.

In conclusion, a cosmological application of the classical Ermakov procedure has been presented here based on a classical point particle representation of the EFRW WDW equation. Finally, we notice that the Ermakov invariant is equivalent to the Courant-Snyder one in accelerator physics [12] allowing a beam physics analogy to cosmological evolution.

This work was partially supported by the CONACyT Project 458100-5-25844E.

References

1. ERMAKOV V.P., *Univ. Izv. Kiev. Ser. III* **9** (1880) 1; For a recent review, see KAUSHAL R.S., *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, **37** (1998) 1793.
2. See for example, COTSAKIS S., LEMMER R.L., LEACH P.G.L., *Phys. Rev.*, **D 57** (1998) 4691; BERTONI C., FINELLI F., VENTURI G., *Phys. Lett.*, **A 237** (1998) 331; KIM S.P., *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **13** (1996) 1377.
3. BERRY M.V., *Proc. R. Soc. London*, **A 392** (1984) 45; HANNAY J.H., *J. Phys.*, **A 18** (1985) 221; SHAPER A., WILCZEK F., *Geometric Phases in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore 1989; ANANDAN J., CHRISTIAN J., WANLIK K., *Am. J. Phys.*, **65** (1997) 180.
4. DUTTA D.P., *Phys. Rev.*, **D 48** (1993) 5746; *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A 8** (1993) 191, 601.
5. HARTLE J., HAWKING S.W., *Phys. Rev.*, **D 28** (1983) 2960.
6. See for example, MONCRIEF V., RYAN M.P., *Phys. Rev.*, **D 44** (1991) 2375; MACIAS A., *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **31** (1999) 653; OBREGON O., SOCORRO J., *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, **35** (1996) 1381; ROSU H.C., *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A 13** (1998) 227.
7. MISNER C.W., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **22** (1969) 1071; *Phys. Rev.*, **186** (1969) 1319, 1328.
8. See for example, BASKOUTAS S. et al., *J. Phys.*, **A 26** (1993) L819; A thorough discussion of the normal (not inverted) oscillator (pendulum) with time dependence in both mass and frequency may be found in LEACH P.G.L., *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **34** (1978) 496.
9. MILNE W.E., *Phys. Rev.*, **35** (1930) 863; PINNEY E., *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1950) 681.
10. ELIEZER C.J., GRAY A., *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **30** (1976) 463.
11. LEWIS H.R. Jr., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **18** (1967) 510; *J. Math. Phys.*, **9** (1968) 1976.
12. According to the second footnote in Ref. 11b. See also, COURANT E.D., SNYDER H.S., *Ann. Phys.*, (N.Y.) **3** (1958) 1; ROSU H.C., SOCORRO J., contribution to ICSSUR 6, to appear in NASA Conf. Publ. Series (gr-qc/9908028).




Fig. 1: The Ermakov invariant as a function of Ω for the closed EFRW minisuperspace model.
We got this plot for the open case as well.




Fig. 2: The dynamical angle for the closed EFRW model.




Fig. 3: The geometrical angle as a function of Ω for the same model.




Fig. 4: The total angle as a function of Ω for the same model.