

# On Kerr's corpuscle

A. LOINGER

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano

Via Celoria, 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT. — Simple changes of the radial co-ordinate deprive Kerr's spinning corpuscle of its marvellous properties.

PACS. 04.20 — General relativity.

As it is well known, Kerr discovered in 1963 the following solution of Einstein field equations [1]:

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} [(r^2 + a^2) d\phi - a dt]^2 + \\ + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 ; \quad (G=c=1),$$

where:  $\rho^2 \equiv r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$  ;  $\Delta \equiv r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr$  ;  $a$  and  $M$  are nonnegative parameters. *The case  $M \geq a$  is of remarkable interest.* If  $a=0$ , eq. (1) gives the *standard* form of the solution (Hilbert, Droste, Weyl) of Schwarzschild's problem of the Einstein field of a mass point at rest (**not** the **original** form of solution obtained by Schwarzschild [2]).

Since the radial co-ordinate  $r$  is arbitrary (see e.g. [3]), in lieu of the  $r$  of eq. (1) we can choose any *regular* function of it. Thus, let us perform the following substitution:

$$(2) \quad r \rightarrow [r^3 + (2M)^3]^{1/3}, \quad 0 \leq r < +\infty.$$

(N.B.: Both the  $r$ 's of this formula – and of formula (6), *vide infra* – go from zero to infinite.) We call the second member of (2) *Schwarzschild's expression*, because it is suggested by the **original** formula of this Author concerning the gravitational field of a material point [2]. Then:

$$(3) \quad \Delta \equiv \left( r - M - \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} \right) \left( r - M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} \right) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \left\{ \left[ r^3 + (2M)^3 \right]^{1/3} - M \right\}^2 - M^2 + a^2 ;$$

accordingly, for this second form of  $\Delta$  we have:

$$(4) \quad \Delta \geq 0 ; \quad \Delta [r=0] = a^2 ,$$

and

$$(5) \quad -\Delta + a^2 \sin^2 \theta = \left\{ \left[ r^3 + (2M)^3 \right]^{1/3} - M \right\}^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta + M^2 < 0 .$$

It follows immediately from (4) and (5) that – owing to substitution (2) – we have eliminated the event horizons, the stationary-limit surface, and the so-called ergo-sphere, which are inherent in the original form (1). No magic occurrence is now possible [4]. Kerr's spinning particle does not possess in reality any marvellous property. (Remark that the substitution of (2) in eq. (1) gives an expression for the  $ds^2$  which coincides for  $a=0$  with the  $ds^2$  of Schwarzschild's paper [2]).

We can re-obtain the above result with many other substitutions, e.g. with the simple substitution

$$(6) \quad r \rightarrow r + 2M , \quad 0 \leq r < +\infty ;$$

now:

$$(7) \quad \Delta \rightarrow \left( r + M - \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} \right) \left( r + M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} \right) ;$$

therefore

$$(8) \quad \Delta > 0 ; \quad \Delta [r=0] = a^2 ,$$

$$(9) \quad -\Delta + a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta = -r^2 - 2Mr - a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta < 0 .$$

Again, any amazing property of Kerr's object has disappeared.

**An obvious conclusion:** The form (1) of Kerr's metric has a *physical* meaning only for those values of the radial co-ordinate which are *greater than*  $2M$ . Here the pretension to a geodesic completeness is a nonsense. Of course, the areas of the surfaces  $r = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$  and of the stationary-limit surface  $r = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta}$  are invariant expressions, but this is only a mathematical outcome, devoid of physical importance, because *the original system of co-ordinates does **not** possess any privilege.*

## APPENDIX

When  $a > M$  there is no event horizon for Kerr's metric (1). We have an analogous situation in the problem of the Einstein field of a mass point endowed with an electric charge  $q$ . Indeed, the well-known Reissner-Weyl-Nordström-Jeffery solution can be written as follows:

$$(A.1) \quad ds^2 = -\frac{\Gamma}{r^2} dt^2 + \frac{r^2}{\Gamma} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 ,$$

where

$$(A.2) \quad \Gamma \equiv r^2 - 2Mr + q^2 \equiv \left( r - M - \sqrt{M^2 - q^2} \right) \left( r - M + \sqrt{M^2 - q^2} \right) .$$

For an *electron*  $q > M$ , and therefore the metric is quite regular, except at  $r=0$ .

Of course, substitutions like (2), resp. (6), give a  $ds^2$  devoid of any event horizon.

REFERENCES

- [1] See e.g. CHANDRASEKHAR S., *The Observatory*, **92** (1972) 160, and the relevant literature quoted there, in particular: KERR R.P., *Phys. Rev. Letters*, **11** (1963) 237; BOYER R.H. AND LINDQUIST R.W., *J. Math. Phys.*, **8** (1967) 265.
- [2] SCHWARZSCHILD K., *Berl. Ber.*, (1916) 189. For an English version of this fundamental memoir, see <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/9905030> (12 May 1999)).
- [3] HILBERT D., *Mathem. Annalen*, **92** (1924) 1; also in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Dritter Band (Berlin, J. Springer) 1935, p.258.
- [4] Cf. e.g. CHANDRASEKHAR [1], pp.171 and 172.

\*—————\*